STATE O	F WISCONSIN,	
	PLAINTIFF,	MOTION HEARING DAY 5
s.		Case No. 06 CF 88
RENDAN	R. DASSEY,	
	DEFENDANT.	
ATE:	JANUARY 22, 2010	
EFORE:	HON. JEROME L. FOX Circuit Court Judge	•
PPEARAI	NCES:	
	KENNETH R. KRATZ Special Prosecutor On behalf of the State	e of Wisconsin.
	THOMAS FALLON Special Prosecutor On behalf of the State	e of Wisconsin.
	STEVEN DRIZIN	
	Attorney at Law On behalf of the defer	dant.
	ROBERT J. DVORAK	
	Attorney at Law On behalf of the defer	dant.
	LAURA H. NIRIDER	
	Attorney at Law On behalf of the defer	dant.
	JOSHUA A. TEPFER Attorney at Law	.dant
	On behalf of the defer	
	. ^ ¬	

COPY

1	THOMAS F. GERAGHTY
2	Attorney at Law On behalf of the defendant.
3	ALEX HESS
4	Law Student On behalf of the defendant.
5	Adar Crosley Law Student
6	On behalf of the defendant.
7	Brendan R. Dassey Defendant
8	Appeared in person.
9	* * * * * *
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	Reported by Jennifer K. Hau, RPR
12	Official Court Reporter
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	MARK WIEGERT	
4	Direct Examination by ATTORNEY GERAGHTY	5-129
5	Cross-Examination by ATTORNEY FALLON	
6	Redirect Examination by ATTORNEY GERAGHTY	
7	Redirect Examination by Allohnel Geraghii	140-140
8	BARBARA TADYCH	
9	Direct Examination by ATTORNEY NIRIDER	146-159
10	Cross-Examination by ATTORNEY KRATZ	161-180
11	Redirect Examination by ATTORNEY NIRIDER	180-185
12	MUOMA C. EX CODENDED	
13	THOMAS FASSBENDER	100 004
14	Direct Examination by ATTORNEY GERAGHTY	186-224
15	EXHIBITS MARKED MOVED	ADMITTED
16	69 129	132
17	91 129	130
18	97 129	
19	211 129	132
20	363 129 & 225	225
21	371 4	
22	372 4	
23	374 226	231
24		
25		

THE COURT: This is State of Wisconsin v.

Brendan Dassey, 06 CF 88. Court of Appeals No. is

07 XX 1073. Appearances, starting with the prosecution.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: Good morning, Judge.

The State appears by Calumet County DA Ken Kratz

and Assistant Attorney General Tom Fallon, acting
as special prosecutors.

ATTORNEY TEPFER: For Brendan Dassey, who's present in court, Joshua Tepfer, um, Laura Nirider, Robert Dvorak, Steve Drizin, Tom Geraghty, and two law students, Adar Crosley and Alex Hess.

THE COURT: All right. We have some stipulations, I think, that were arrived at yesterday that have been reduced to writing; is that correct?

ATTORNEY TEPFER: That's correct, Your Honor. Um, we just seek admission of Exhibit -- it's marked as Exhibit 371 and 372.

Exhibit 371 is the stipulation to Attorney Jerome Buting.

And Exhibit 372 is the stipulation of Debra Smith, assigned counsel, Division Director for the Wisconsin Public Defender's Office.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, you've seen them?
2	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I have, Judge, and the
3	State has no objection to their receipt.
4	THE COURT: All right. The Court has
5	examined the stipulations, 371 and 372. They are as
6	Attorney Tepfer stated on the record. So we are set
7	to proceed today.
8	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Morning, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Morning.
10	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Thomas Geraghty.
11	I'd like to call Investigator Wiegert.
12	Your Honor, I believe this is the point
13	where, um, if Mrs. Tadych is going to testify,
14	that she be asked to step out of the room.
15	THE COURT: That's fine. I is she
16	present?
17	MS. CROSLEY: She just walked out.
18	ATTORNEY NIRIDER: She just walked out,
19	Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Very well.
22	MARK WIEGERT,
23	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
24	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state

1		your name and spell your last name for the record.
2		THE WITNESS: Mark Wiegert,
3		W-i-e-g-e-r-t.
4		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: May I proceed, Your
5		Honor?
6		THE COURT: You may.
7		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Thank you.
8	ļ	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY A	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY:
10	Q	Um, Investigator, um, good morning.
11	A	Good morning.
12	Q	You, um, became involved in this case right after
13		the disappearance of Ms. Halbach; is that con
14		is that correct?
15	A	That's correct. Yes.
16	Q	And you've worked on the case, uh, since then.
17		Through this trial and through the Avery trial as
18		well; is that right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	You, um, are aware of all of the steps that were
21		taken to investigate this case; is that right?
22	A	Majority of them, yes.
23	Q	Because you were intimately involved in that
24	 	investigation; is that correct?
25	A	Yes.

- 1 Q As a matter of fact, you were one of the lead 2 investigators?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And, um, I trust that -- that before testifying
- 5 here today you re-read all of the reports that
- 6 you could and perhaps even some of the trial
- 7 transcript; is that right?
- 8 A As much as I could, yes.
- 9 Q And I saw that when you came in this morning you
- 10 had a file with you; is that right?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 | Q Um, I have no objection if you -- if you would
- feel more comfortable having that file with you
- in referring to (unintelligible) --
- 15 A I don't have it with me. It's in the other room
- 16 so...
- 17 Q All right. Okay. Now, at the time, um, you
- 18 became involved in the Brendan Dassey in --
- investigation, or the Avery investigation, you,
- at that time, were an experienced investigator;
- 21 is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Um, with the -- with the sheriff's department; is
- 24 that right?
- 25 A Calumet County Sheriff's Department, yes.

- 1 Q Um, and you had a great deal of experience in --
- in -- interrogating suspects; correct?
- 3 A I've had experience, yes.
- 4 Q And training; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You had been -- you had been trained in -- in the
- John Reid techniques, if I'm correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Uh, and you'd received that training at John Reid
- seminars; is that -- is that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Uh, and would it be fair to say that, um -- that
- you were an adherent to the John Reid technique
- 14 for conducting interrogations?
- 15 A Some yes, some no.
- 16 Q All right. So there's some things about the John
- 17 Reid technique that you agree with and some
- things that you don't; is that right?
- 19 A No, that's not right.
- 20 | Q That's not right. Well, um, why don't you tell
- 21 me what you meant by that answer when you said
- 22 some things yes, some things no?
- 23 | A Sometimes I use it, I guess, and sometimes I don't.
- 24 And after you've done interviews for several years,
- 25 um, you kind of develop your own style, I think, and

- you incorporate some things from different trainings
 that you attend.
- All right. So, um, you incorporated things
 from -- from other trainings as well; is that
 right?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Not just John Reid?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q What other trainings?
- 10 A Um, I've had several other one-day seminars, um, I
 11 couldn't tell you, specifically, but on interviews
 12 and interrogations.
- 13 Q And, um, you also, of course, rely on your own experience; is that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q As to -- you just said, um, you have to adjust -you have the techniques to the circumstances; is
 that correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And doing a good interrogation is a -- an information gathering process; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And it involves using techniques and tactics to obtain that information; doesn't it?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Um, and in conducting those -- those 2 examinations, you, at some point, um, often come 3 to the conclusion that a suspect may not be 4 telling you the truth or telling everything that 5 he or she knows; is that right? 6 Α Yes. 7 And that is a matter of a judgment that you've 8 been able to develop over a period of years; is 9 that correct? 10 Um, that's one of the ways, using your judgment plus, um, comparing what they're saying to evidence, things 11 12 like that, yes. But judgment plays a big part, doesn't it? 13 14 It plays a part in it. 15 Now, prior to, um, your contacts with Brendan 16 Dassey, which I'm going to get to in a moment, 17 did you have any special training in 18 interrogating juveniles? 19 I've had some training in, um, interrogating 20 juveniles, yes. 21 Is that part of the John Reid training? 22 Α No. And the training that you've had in -- in 23

that there are special considerations that should

interviewing juveniles, um, in part, tells you

24

```
1
          be taken to -- into account when interviewing
 2
          a -- a child or a juvenile; isn't that right?
 3
     A
          Yes.
         All right. Um, and one of those considerations
 5
          is that juveniles or children are not likely to,
 6
          um, understand Miranda warnings as well as an
 7
          adult; is that correct?
 8
          Well, that depends on a lot of things. Age of the
 9
          child, um, experience, things --
10
          So --
     Q
11
          -- like that.
12
          So one thing would be age; is that correct?
13
          Yes.
14
          And you say, "experience." And when you say
15
          experience, do you mean experience in the
16
          criminal justice system?
17
          That'd be one thing. Life experience, um, yes.
18
          And, for example, um, a -- a juvenile who had
19
          never been arrested before might have more
20
          difficulty understanding Miranda rights than a
21
          juvenile who had been arrested many times; is
22
          that right?
23
          Yes.
```

And would it also be fair to say that children

and juveniles, um, the trainings that you took

24

1		revealed, are more susceptible to suggestion than
2		adults?
3	A	Not necessarily. Depends on the type of questions
4		and things that are asked.
5	Q	But you have to be especially careful with
6		juveniles when you interrogate them to avoid
7		suggesting things; isn't that correct?
8	A	We generally are more careful, yes.
9	Q	All right. And that's because children are
10		more and juveniles are more suggestible
11		than adults; isn't that right?
12		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. At this
13		particular point we haven't qualified him as
14		being an expert in the social psychology of
15		suggestion.
16		And, second, that's not what the
17		research says for children after age ten.
18	1.8	And, three, we're beyond the field here.
19		This is relevance of generalizations as they
20		pertain to this post-conviction motion.
21		THE COURT: Sustained.
22	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, I want to turn to
23		your contacts with Brendan in February of 2006,
24		okay? Um, prior to that time, um, you did not
25		know Brendan Dassey; is that correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 | Q You had not met him before; is that right?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q And I'm talking specifically about your first
- 5 contact with him on February 27, 2006.
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Would that have been your first contact with him?
- 8 A I believe so, yes.
- 9 Q Before meeting Brendan, you did not know anything
- about his -- his social or his educational
- 11 background, did you?
- 12 | A Um, yes, I did. Um, there were interviews that were
- conducted from other officers who I had talked to
- prior to doing that interview. So I did know some
- 15 things about Brendan.
- 16 Q All right. So you knew that he had been
- interviewed in November of and December of 2005;
- is that right?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q And you spoke to those officers; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you reviewed their reports?
- 23 | A At some point I did review their reports, yes.
- 24 | Q And you knew that, um -- you knew something about
- 25 his family background? Would that be fair to

- 1 say? That's what you gathered from those 2 interviews? 3 Α Yes. Right. You did not know anything in February 5 of -- of 2006 about his educational background, 6 did you? 7 Um, I -- you know, without reviewing reports I don't 8 recall exactly the time that we had spoke with some 9 of the school officials and learned some things about 10 Brendan. I -- I couldn't put a date on it. 11 Do you recall whether you spoke to any school 12 officials prior to interviewing Brendan Dassey 13 for the first time on February 27, 2006? 14 I don't recall the dates I spoke to them specifically 15 about Brendan's education and things. Um, I can say 16 that I did speak with the -- I believe it was the 17 dean of students, briefly, prior to speaking with 18 Brendan. 19 But that was to obtain permission to speak to
- 20 Brendan; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes. And I -- from my recollection, I think there
 22 was a little bit of background given to us on
 23 Brendan.
- Q Okay. So you knew -- and what -- what did the,
 um -- what did the school principal, who I think

1 is the person you spoke to, tell you about 2 Brendan? 3 I think it was the dean of students, I think his name 4 was, but, uh -- his -- his title. I'm not sure about 5 that, but I believe that's what it was. But I recall 6 him telling us that Brendan had really never been in 7 any trouble. He was generally a quieter kid. Things like that. 8 9 Did he tell you that -- that Brendan was in a 10 special education class or two? 11 Not to my recollection. 12 Did you ask him? 13 I don't believe so. 14 Is it important to know something about the 15 background of a interviewee or suspect -- and 16 we'll get to that question about whether he was 17 an interviewee or a suspect at that point -- but 18 just for the moment let's assume an interviewee, 19 it's important to know something about the 20 background of a -- of an interviewee before you 21 interview them? 22 No, not necessarily. Um, when you go into an 23 interview, um, and you're treating somebody like a

witness, you don't always know things about them.

You don't always have the opportunity to gain that

24

1	knowledge prior to speaking with them.
2	Q So you didn't have any background knowledge about
3	Brendan Dassey's educational background other
4	than what you had been given by the principal
5	before you interviewed him on 2/27?
6	ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Asked and
7	answered insofar as he says he I talked to the
8	school officials at some point. I don't know
9	when. So the question is argumentative.
10	And, second, we're now 15 minutes in
11	and and all I've got is a leading
1 2	questions. Now we're at the point where the
13	testimony matters, so I object to the formation
14	of the question as leading as well.
15	THE COURT: I'll overrule it.
16	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I'll I'll get to
17	the interrogation, yes
18	THE COURT: Let's
19	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge.
20	THE COURT: move.
21	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Yes. Could you read
2 2	the question back, please?
23	(Wherein reporter attempts to read the
24	question back.)
25	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Maybe I can cut this

1		short. I'll just ask the question again. I'm
2		sorry. It probably wasn't a very good question.
3		I'm sorry.
4	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) I asked you whether it
5		was important to know something about the person
6		that you're interviewing or interrogating before
7		you interview or interrogate them?
8	A	I think is what I answered before is there's two
9		different things, an interview and an interrogation.
10		And in an interview you don't always have the
11		opportunity. Uh, you're treating these people like a
12		witness. And, no, not necessarily.
13	Q	Okay. Now, you did, um, contact Brendan Dassey
14		at the Mishicot High School on February 27, 2006;
15		is that correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you went to that school because you had
18		information from one of Brendan's family members
19		that you thought, um, was appropriate to prompt
20		you to interview Brendan; is that correct?
21	A	That would be one of the reasons.
22	Q	And that information that you had placed Brendan
23		Dassey at the Avery at the area in which
24		remains of Teresa Halbach were eventually found;
25		is that correct?

A Some of the information that I had learned early on was that Brendan Dassey was attending a fire where
Teresa's remains were found.

Uh, the other information was that Brendan was crying uncontrollably at times.

Brendan had lost a lot of weight. He basically wasn't being himself.

Again, there were a lot of other things that had come up after reviewing initial interviews were reasons that we went back and talked to Brendan.

- Q Okay. I'm not quarreling that you didn't have information. I'm -- I'm just asking you what the information was --
- 15 A Sure.

- Q -- that made -- so you were focusing on a need to interview Brendan Dassey; is that correct?
- A I wouldn't say focusing on. Um, we had learned the information. And he was one of many people that we went back and re-interviewed. Um, I don't think we were focusing on Brendan at that time. He was somebody that was, for lack of better word, on our radar screen that we needed to come back and talk to at that time.
- Q And the interview of Brendan Dassey had -- had

- also to do with the -- the prosecution of
- 2 Steven Avery; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q You were gathering information about -- you were
- 5 hoping to gather information about Steven Avery;
- 6 is that right?
- 7 A Yes, at that point we were. Right.
- 8 Q And information that might be useful in the
- 9 prosecution of Steven Avery; is that --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q -- correct? All right. And you thought that
- 12 | Brendan Dassey might be of assistance to you in
- 13 that respect; is that right?
- 14 A For obvious reasons, yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Now, when you, um, went to the Mishicot
- High School, as we said, you spoke to the -- one
- of the officials of the school; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q And you asked Brendan Dassey -- you asked the --
- 20 the official to bring Brendan Dassey to the room
- 21 that you were in; is that right?
- 22 A We asked permission to speak with him and to use a
- 23 room to speak --
- 24 Q All right.
- 25 A -- with him, yes.

- 1 Q Now, prior to speaking to the principal, or the
- 2 school official who eventually summoned Brendan
- 3 to that room, um, had you spoken to Brendan's
- 4 mother?
- 5 A And this is the February 27 interview --
- 6 Q Correct.
- 7 A -- correct? No, we did not.
- 8 Q All right. Had you spoken to any member of his
- 9 family before you interviewed him at the school
- 10 on February 27?
- 11 | A About permission to interview him?
- 12 Q Correct.
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q Okay. Um, you knew at that time where or how to
- get a hold of Barb Janda; is that correct?
- 16 | A Yes.
- 17 Q You had her phone number?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 | Q Okay. And you also knew how to get a hold of
- other Dassey family members; is that right?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q 'Cause you had their phone numbers?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And you knew where they lived?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Now, when you were first -- when your -- when --
- 2 when you brought -- or when Brendan was brought
- 3 into that room, you introduced yourself; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A That's correct. Yes.
- 6 | Q And you were with Mr. -- I'm sorry -- Special
- 7 Agent Fassbender; is that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And Special Agent Fassbender, uh, and you were
- 10 co-responsible for the investigation of the
- Dassey -- of the Teresa Halbach murder; is that
- 12 right?
- 13 A That's correct. Yes.
- 14 Q And at that time you were working in cooperation
- with Special Prosecutor Kratz; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Because Special Prosecutor Kratz had been
- appointed to lead the prosecution of Steven
- 19 Avery; is that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q And Special Agent -- I'm sorry -- Special
- 22 Prosecutor Kratz knew that you were going to the
- 23 | Mishicot High School on February 27, 2006?
- 24 | A You know, I don't know if he knew that or not.
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A I don't.
- 2 Q At some point he did become aware of that; is --
- 3 A Yes --
- 4 Q -- that right?
- 5 A -- that's correct.
- 6 Q Okay. Well, we'll get to that in a moment. Now,
- 7 let me ask you, um -- I want to ask you a couple
- 8 questions about things that you said to Brendan
- 9 on February 27, 2006.
- 10 And, uh, am I correct that the -- that
- 11 the contact with Brendan at the high school was
- 12 audio recorded?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And that the audio recording didn't work very
- 15 well?
- 16 A It was intermittent. You could hear parts of it.
- 17 Q Because it was a cassette player that you put on
- 18 a table; is that --
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 | Q -- right?
- 21 A Before we had digital, yes.
- 22 Q Okay. Uh, and so, um, instead of playing -- we
- actually have those on clips. But instead of
- 24 playing them, because they're -- they're not
- 25 audible -- very audible -- I'm going to read --

1 I'm going to read you just a portion of what you 2 said to Brendan on February 27, 2006. 3 This is chapter one. This is Exhibit 4 315, chapter one, clip one. 5 This one here? 6 I'm sorry, I should have told you about all those 7 binders up there. 8 That's okay. 9 And I -- I'm not going to spend a lot of time 10 with the documents, but some time. 11 I'm there. A 12 All right. Now, um, Investigator Wiegert, I'm 13 going to ask you some questions about what other 14 people said while you were in the room, and 15 I'm -- and I'm -- and if you -- some of these --16 because you and Investigator Fassbender were 17 together, uh, I'm hoping that through my 18 questioning of you we can bring out these facts 19 and then we won't have to go over the same 20 information with Investigator Fassbender. 21 But if you don't remember something that 22 Investigator Fassbender said, then we'll -- he's 23 available, too, and we'll call him later. 24 But let -- let me ask you: Um, you were

present in the room, um, with, um, Brendan on

- 1 2/27, 19 -- 2006; is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q At the Mishicot High School?
- 4 A Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

And, um, you remember Investigator Fassbender
saying, quote, you're a kid, you know, and we
got -- we got people back at the sheriff's
department, district attorney, district
attorney's office, and they're looking at this
now saying there's no way that Brendan Dassey was
out there and didn't see something.

They're talking about trying to link

Brendan Dassey to this event. They're not saying that Brendan did it. They're saying that Brendan could have had something to do with it or to cover it up of it which would mean Brendan Dassey could potentially be facing charges for that.

Do you recall Agent Fassbender saying that?

- 20 A I do now, yeah.
- Q All right. Do you have any quarrel with the fact that that's what he said?
- 23 A No.
- Q Okay. And then chapter one, Exhibit 315, clip two. And, again, Agent Fassbender saying:

"We've gotten a lot of information and, you know, some people don't care. Some people back there and say, no, we'll just charge him. We said no. Let us talk to him. Give him the opportunity to come forward with the information that he has and get it off his chest. Now, make it look -- you can make it look however you want."

Do you recall Special Agent Fassbender saying that to Brendan Dassey?

- A Again, after seeing this, yes.
- Q Okay. And I don't expect you to remember
 everything. And that's why we're using these
 notes --
- 15 A Sure.

16 Q -- to refresh recollection. Okay. And then I

17 want to read you clip three, chapter one, Exhibit

18 315. And, again, this is Special Agent

19 Fassbender.

"Mark and I, yeah, we're cops. We're investigators and stuff like that. But I'm not right now. I'm a father that has a kid your age, too. I want to be there for you. There's nothing like more than to come over -- nothing I'd like more than to come over and give you a

1		big hug 'cause I know you're hurting."
2		Do you remember that?
3	A	Yep. And I think he meant that. Yeah.
4	Q	You think he meant that?
5	A	Yeah, I do.
6	Q	Okay. Well, I guess that's that's great. Um,
7		but that's what he said; right?
8	A	That's what he said.
9	Q	Okay.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Uh, now, would it be fair to characterize clip
12		one and clip two as statements by Special Agent
13		Fassbender that Brendan is facing some criminal
14		liability?
15	A	Uh, number one, no. Number two, yes.
16	Q	So you would you'd agree that number two does
17		indicate that you believe Brendan is is facing
18		some criminal liabilitly; is that right?
19		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. That's not
20		what the question was. And what was intended by
21		Agent Fassbender when he said those things can be
22		asked of Agent Fassbender. What Mr. Wiegert
23		believed him to mean is irrelevant.
24		And, more importantly, the key question
25		is, what did Mr. Dassey believe?

1		So what the officers the
2		unarticulated intent of the officers to the
3		suspect in an interrogation is irrelevant and
4		immaterial under Wisconsin law.
5		THE COURT: The objection's sustained.
6	·.	That's a correct statement of the law.
7		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay.
8	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) But these you recall
9		that these were the things that were these
10		were the three of the things that were said to
11		Mr. Dassey at the beginning of the interview at
12		the Mishicot High School on February 27, 2006?
13	Å	There are three things that were said to him. I
1.4		don't know, 'cause they're out of context, where they
15		were said.
16	Q	But they were said to him?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Now, let me turn to, again, Exhibit 315, chapter
19	·	one, clip seven, which is on page two of that
20		document. You see that at the top of the page?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And, again, this is Special Agent Fasgen
23		Fassbender.
24		Quote, Mark and I can both can go
25		back

1	•	I'm sorry. Let me start that again. I
2		don't know why
3		"Mark and I both can go back to the
4		district attorney and say, uh, Dassey came
5		forward and finally told us. Can imagine how
6		this was weighing on him. They'll understand
7		that."
8		And then you say:
9		"We'll go to bat for ya, but you have to
10		be honest with that."
11		Do you remember saying that?
12	A	I do.
13	Q	And do you remember Agent Fassbender saying what
14		he what's in that transcript just before you
15		said, "But you have to be honest with us."?
16	A	Again, I don't remember specifically, but it's in the
17		transcript.
18	Q	Okay. And then let me ask you about clip ten on
19		page two of Exhibit 315. Um, and this is you, I
20		believe.
21		"It's not your fault. Remember that."
22		Did you say that?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And then Agent Special Agent Fassbender said:
25		"Yeah, it's not your fault. Like I

said, Mark and I are not going to leave you high 1 and dry." 2 3 Do you remember that? 4 I do. I meant that. Well, that's not -- I mean, I'm -- I'm glad you 5 meant it. But the issue is whether you said it? I said it, yes. A 8 Okay. And then let me refer you to clip 11. 9 this is you. 10 "Brendan, I'm going to ask you a 11 difficult question, okay? Did you help him put 12 that body in the fire? If you did, it's okay." 13 Did I say that? Yes. All right. And did you mean that it was okay to 14 15 put a body in the fire? 16 A What I meant -- and, again, this is all out of 17 context. What I meant is that it was not -- I didn't think it was Brendan's idea to do this. At the time 18 19 he was a witness. 20 I thought that Brendan was, um, there 21 with his uncle, and I thought he was a witness to this. I didn't think that he was the one -- the 22 23 mastermind behind this. 24 But, again, it's difficult for me to

answer that without seeing the questions around

1		this or the statements around it.
2	Q	Well, the the last part of that clip 11 says:
3		"Did you help put him" "Did you help
4		him put that body in the fire? If you did, it's
5		okay."
6		So did you mean that it was okay for
7		someone to help somebody else put a body in the
8		fire?
9	A	Again, it's taken out of context. Do I mean it's
10		okay to have somebody put a body in a fire? No.
11	Ω	Or it's not okay to for anybody to help
12		somebody else put a body in a fire, is it?
13	A	What I meant was I don't believe it was Brendan's
14		idea to do this.
15	Q	And and what you meant wasn't, uh, something
16		that Brendan could have discerned, was it?
17	E	ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. That's
18		speculation. I'm going to renew my objection that
19		the unarticulated intent of the officer doesn't
20		matter.
21		But, again, those are just the trees.
22		The argument the forest argument here is this
23		statement was never played to the jury.
24		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge
	l	

THE COURT: Your objection's sustained.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, may I just

respond?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay? Very briefly.

This is, um -- one of the issues is the question of whether Mr. Dassey was effectively represented at the motion to suppress. And one thing that didn't happen at the motion to suppress was that there was no inquiry into the circumstances that led up to the March 1 statement, which was the statement that was introduced.

And our contention is that you have to take the whole series of in -- of interrogations as one to determine whether Brendan, um, first of all, knowingly and intelligently waived his rights.

Second, whether he was coerced into making a statement.

Um, and -- and -- and when I say -we're -- we're talking about a -- a period of
time that is relatively short, 2/27 to 3/1, where
our contention is that there are a series of
contacts with Brendan which constitute one really
single interrogation. A strategy for getting

Brendan to confess on 3/1 without taking the prior contacts that this officer and Investigator -- Special Agent Fassbender had with Brendan into account.

Q

You -- you -- you don't get a full picture of the, um, coercive nature, the suggestive nature, and you also don't get the question of whether Brendan did understand his Miranda rights at that point.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, um, well, that's an interesting argument, but one that's already been rejected by Wisconsin courts. The statements are to be taken independently although and unless there's a direct taint situation or an attenuation argument at play. This is not one of those circumstances.

And under Wisconsin law, the grand inquisitor theme in theory, this is all one part of -- of the -- the great plot to undermine

Mr. Dassey has been soundly rejected. State v.

Pischke is the case.

THE COURT: Yeah. That's my understanding as well. The -- the objection is sustained.

(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, before interviewing Brendan on the 27th at the Mishicot High School,

did you discuss with Special Agent Fassbender any 1 2 strategies or tactics that you would employ in 3 interviewing Brendan Dassey on the 27th? Α No. 5 You had no discussion whatsoever? 6 Α No. 7 All right. Now, during -- during the course of 8 the -- the interrogation on March 27, Brendan 9 told you some things that -- I'm sorry, 10 February 27 -- told you some things that, um, 11 were relevant to your quest to develop evidence 12 that could be used in the Steven Avery trial; is 13 that right? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. And you then called Special Prosecutor 16 Kratz to let him know that you had uncovered that 17 evidence through your conversations with -- with 18 Brendan; is that right? 19 Α Yes. 20 Q And -- and I'm just taking you through this. 21 am leading, but I think I can maybe speed things 22 up a little bit if I do it.

If you -- don't hesitate to quarrel with me if I ask an unfair question --

25 A Sure.

23

1	Q	okay? But, um, Investigator Special
2		Agent Special Prosecutor Kratz asked you to
3		conduct a further interrogation of Brendan Dassey
4		at the Two Rivers Police Station; is that right?
5	A	No.
6	Q	You had no contact with with with Special
7		Prosecutor Kratz after Brendan Dassey had told
8		you that he saw certain things in the fire?
9	. A	Yes, I did have contact with him.
10	Q	All right. Could you tell me when that contact
11		occurred?
12	A	Right after the interview at the high school was
13		finished.
14	Q	Okay. And what and that was you and and
15		and Prosecutor Kratz on the phone; is that
16		correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And can you tell us what you said and what
19		Mr. Kratz said?
20		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. How is this
21		relevant? It also calls for hearsay. But, setting
22		that aside, why is this relevant?
23		THE COURT: Where's the relevancy here?
24		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Well, Judge, there has

been -- there's some, um, issue here about the

extent to which Mr. Kratz was or was not involved in the investigation of this case.

2.3

And I believe that there is -- it is relevant to know that, um, that -- as I think would be expected -- um, Mr. Kratz was involved in the investigation directing the officers.

THE COURT: I don't find it material. And it's not relevant. The objection's sustained.

- Q (By Attorney Geraghty) After the conversation that you had with -- with Mr. Kratz, did you take Brendan Dassey to the Two Rivers Police Department?
- A After contacting his mother, we did, yes.
 - Q All right. How long after your conversation with Mr. Kratz did you take Brendan Dassey to the Two Rivers Police Department?
 - A Well, we contacted his mother first, and, um, told her that we had talked to him at the school, and we would like to talk to him further at the Two Rivers Police Department, and asked for her permission to take him there.

And, as a matter of fact, she met us at the school, and she rode along in the car with us to Two Rivers Police Department with Brendan.

Q Okay. Did she drive to the school?

- 1 A No. She rode with us.
- 2 | Q No. Um, how did she get to the school? How did
- 3 she get --
- 4 A Oh, to the school. I'm sorry.
- 5 Q Yeah.
- 6 A Um, I assume she drove. I don't know that.
- 7 | Q All right. And so she -- she met you at the
- 8 Mishicot High School pursuant to your request; is
- 9 that right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q And -- and -- and at that point did -- did
- 12 | you -- did you tell Brendan and -- and his mother
- that, um, if they liked, they could -- they could
- 14 drive to the Mish -- to the Two Rivers Police
- 15 Station and meet you there?
- 16 | A I don't recall what the conversation was prior to.
- can tell you that they both rode with myself and
- 18 Agent Fassbender.
- 19 | Q And that's because you asked them to ride with
- 20 you; is that right?
- 21 A I don't know. I don't recall that.
- 22 | Q You don't recall that?
- 23 A Not specifically, no.
- 24 Q And this was in your unmarked police vehicle; is
- 25 that correct?

- 1 A No, it was in Agent Fassbender's.
- 2 Q Unmarked police vehicle?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q All right.
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And the two of you rode in front and they rode in
- 7 back; is that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You -- you took Brendan to the Two Rivers Police
- Department so that he could be further
- 11 questioned; is that right?
- 12 | A Well, I think it was more to review what he had told
- us, and we were aware that the tape that we were
- using, the mechanism to audiotape this wasn't the
- best, and we thought we should have this memorial --
- memorialized better, and we were aware that, um, Two
- Rivers Police Department had the capabilities to do
- 18 | that.
- 19 Q And the -- the idea to videotape the statement
- 20 was whose idea?
- 21 A Um, we had called District Attorney Kratz and
- 22 informed him of what we had done so far, and he
- 23 suggested that we have it on videotape.
- 24 Q All right. And so that was part of your -- part
- of the conversation that you had with Mr. Kratz,

- um, while you were at the Mishicot High School;
 is that right?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, when you got Brendan to the Two Rivers
- 5 Police Station, you had more information about
- 6 Brendan's possible involvement in the
- 7 disappearance and death of Teresa Halbach than
- 8 you had before you interviewed him at the
- 9 | Mishicot High School? Would that be fair to say?
- 10 A That'd be a fair statement, yes.
- 11 | Q All right. And would it also be fair to say that
- as a result of interviewing Mr. Dassey at the
- 13 Mishicot High School, you, um, began to suspect
- 14 that Brendan might have some involvement in the
- actual perpetration of the crime; isn't that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A Um, I don't know if I would agree with that. I -- we
- were still looking at him as a witness. As somebody
- 19 who was at that fire. Um, I guess that's -- that's
- 20 all I can say about that.
- 21 Q But you did think it was possible that Brendan
- 22 might have been involved in the disposal of the
- 23 | corpse; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q As a matter of fact, that's one reason why you

wanted to interrogate him further; is that right? 2 Well, again, the more of, um, the interview, we 3 reviewed what we had already done at the school, um, 4 and I believed at that point that he had given us 5 everything he knew at that point, and we wanted to 6 better memorialize that. That was the reason we went 7 to Two Rivers. 8 Okay. Now, when you got to the Two Rivers Police 9 Station, you Mirandized Brendan Dassey; is that 10 right? 11 That's correct. Α 12 And you did that utilizing a form that had -- was 13 available at the Two Rivers Police Department; is 14 that right? 15 It was provided me by Two Rivers Police Department. 16 Q Okay. Um, I'd like to play another clip, and 17 that is chapter three, clip two, on page 13. And 18 we can watch this one on videotape. So Alex... 19 "But before we ask any questions, 20 Brendan, um, I have to read your rights. This is 21 (unintelligible) okay? 22 Before we ask any questions, you must 23 understand your rights. You have the right to 24 remain silent. Anything you say can be used

1

25

against you in court.

You have the right to -- you have the right to talk to a lawyer for advice before we ask you any questions and have him with you during questioning.

You have this right to the advice and presence of a lawyer, even though you cannot afford to hire one. We have no way of giving you a lawyer, but one will be appointed for you if you wish, and if and when you go to court.

If you wish to answer questions now without a lawyer present, you have the right to stop answering questions at any time.

You also have the right to stop answering questions at any time until you talk to a lawyer.

I have read the above statements of my rights. I understand what my rights are.

I'm willing to answer questions and to make statements. I do not want a lawyer. I understand (unintelligible) what I am doing.

No promises or threats have been made to me and no pressure of any kind has been used against me. Do you agree with that?"

(Inaudible.)

"You have to speak up a little bit."

1		"Yeah."
2		"Yes?"
3		(Unintellligible)
4		And if you agree with making a
5		statement, I need you to sign right there. And
6		if you want to read it, you can read it there.
7		Why don't you put your initials here, and put
8		your initials here. These are the two things I
9	ļ	read to you.
10	!	Okay. I'm just going to put the place
11		up here. Two Rivers Police Department. And the
12		date is 2/27/06. And time is approximately
13		3:21 p.m.
14		Okay. Put that over there for now."
15		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay. Let's stop
16		there.
17	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Um, by the Officer, at
18	 -	that time were you wearing a a sidearm? A
19	i 	firearm?
20	A	I would assume I was. Yes.
21	Q	And you said that Brendan's mother accompanied
22		him to the police station; is that right?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And I assumed you asked you asked his mother
25		whether she wanted to be present during the

- 1 interview; is that right? 2 That's correct. A 3 And you -- she declined; is that right? 4 She did decline. Yes. 5 All right. Did you tell -- did -- did you tell 6 her that, um, it might be best that she not 7 participate in the interview because there might 8 be some grizzly or unpleasant details? 9 No, I don't recall that. 10 Okay. Did you ever hear Agent Fassbender say 11 that to her? 12 Not specifically, no. 13 Okay. Did you -- did you tell -- before 14 Brendan's mother declined to be present in the 15 interview, did you tell Brendan's mother exactly 16 what the purpose of the interview was? 17 A Yes. 18 And what did you tell her? 19 To memor -- better memorialize what he had told us at
- Q Okay. Did you tell her that, uh -- that -- that
 you had some suspicion that Brendan might have
 been involved in the mutilation of a corpse?

 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Relevance.

25 THE COURT: Overruled.

the school.

	ı	
1		THE WITNESS: You know, I I don't
2		recall exactly what we told her about the
3		interview at the school. I don't know.
4	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Well, I was talking about
5		what was going to happen at the interview at the
6		Two Rivers Police Station. Did you tell her
7		that, um, you were going to interview Brendan, in
8		part
9		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Should I stop,
10		Judge?
11		ATTORNEY FALLON: No, go ahead.
12	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Uh, interview
13		Brendan, in part, because you suspected that he
14		might be involved in the mutilation of a corpse?
15		Did you tell her that?
16	A	Specifically that, no.
17	Q	Okay. Did you tell ever tell her that one of
18		the reasons that you wanted to interview Brendan
19		on videotape was that you suspected that he might
20		be that Brendan might be guilty of a crime?
21	A	No.
22	Q	So what Brendan's mother knew was that you were
23		going to interview Brendan simply because he
24		might be a witness in the Avery case?

That's correct.

- Okay. And, by the way, Brendan's mother did not express any reservations about the fact that you were interviewing Brendan about the Steven Avery case, did she?

 No.

 Did you tell Ms. Janda that Brendan had, in his statement at the Mishicot High School, said that
 - Q Did you tell Ms. Janda that Brendan had, in his statement at the Mishicot High School, said that he saw things in the fire that was being -- that that was near the Avery -- Steven Avery house?
 - A Again, as I stated before, I don't recall exactly what we told her.
- 12 Q Would it have been at that time your preference
 13 to have Ms. Janda present during the interview or
 14 not present during the interview?
 - A It was her decision. She made the decision not to be. It didn't matter to me one way or another.
- 17 Q Didn't matter to you one way or the other?
- 18 | A No.

9

10

11

15

16

- 19 Q You weren't trying to isolate Brendan at that 20 time?
- 21 A Well, from my experience, if you want to know my
 22 experience with interviews with -- with people, it's
 23 better one-on-one without somebody else there. But
 24 if she chose to be there, it would have been fine.
 - Q But it would have been better if she chose not

1		to; is that right?
2	A	In my experience with interviewing people it's better
3		if it's a one-on-one thing and they don't have
4		somebody else there.
5	Q	Okay. Now, let me get to the, um let's go
6		back to the video clip that we just saw you, and
7		I think you have a transcript of it in, um,
8		Exhibit, uh oh, boy. I've got it. It's 315.
9		Um
10		ATTORNEY FALLON: The record reflect
11		Exhibit 315 is a series of clips. It's not the
12		transcript of the interview.
13	<u> </u> !	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I stand I stand
14		corrected.
15	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) But I'd like you to look
16		at that transcript of the clip that I just showed
17		you. Um, you say:
18		"Before we ask any questions, Brendan,
19		um, I have to read you your rights."
20		It's
21	A	I don't know where that is. I don't have
22	Q	Okay. I'm sorry. This is clip two, page 13.
23		Same I'm sorry. It's Exhibit
24		THE COURT: Three-fifteen.
25	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Exhibit 315.

```
I have Exhibit 315. Page --
 1
 2
          Look at --
 3
          -- two --
 4
          -- page -- look at page 13.
 5
                   COURT REPORTER: One at a --
 6
          Page thirteen.
 7
                    COURT REPORTER: -- one at a time,
 8
          please.
 9
                    THE WITNESS: Gotcha.
10
                    ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I apologize.
11
                    THE WITNESS: I have it.
12
          (By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Can you look at
13
          clip two on page 13?
14
          Yes.
     A
          All right. You say:
15
16
                    "Before we ask any question, Brendan,
17
          um, I have to read you your rights."
18
                    Is that what you said to him?
19
          Yes.
     Α
20
          Okay. And then you say:
21
                    "It's just what we have to do. Steps.
22
          Okay?"
23
          Yes.
     Α
24
          All right. And I take it that -- that those, um,
25
          statements you made were an effort to sort of
```

- minimize the impact of having to read Brendan his
- 2 rights; is that right?
- 3 A Well, I don't -- we didn't have to read him his
- 4 rights at that point. He wasn't under arrest.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Um, we chose to do it.
- 7 | Q All right. So you wanted to -- you wanted to let
- 8 him know this was sort of a formality; is that
- 9 | right?
- 10 A Yes. And it was a formality. Again, we chose to do
- 11 that. He was made aware that he was not under arrest
- 12 prior to this.
- 13 Q Okay. If Brendan had asked to -- to walk out of
- 14 that interview room and go home with his mother,
- 15 you would have let him go at that point; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A Absolutely.
- 18 Q No question about it?
- 19 A Nope. He went home with his mom after the interview.
- 20 Q Okay. Well, he didn't go home --
- 21 A He didn't go home --
- 22 | Q -- with his mom --
- 23 | COURT REPORTER: One at a --
- 24 A -- he went with his --
- 25 COURT REPORTER: Stop. I didn't get the

1		question or the answer.
2	Ω.	(By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Let me back up. I
3		believe you said he went home with his mother; is
4		that right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	But he didn't go home with his mother, did he?
7	A	No. What I meant to say is he left with his mother.
8	Q	And okay. We'll get to that in a moment.
9	A	Sure.
10	Q	Um, so you didn't have to read him his rights,
11		but just to be on the safe side, you did it?
12	A	That's correct.
13	Q	And you sort of conveyed that to Brendan, like,
14		this wasn't really something that you had to do,
15		but you were doing it just to did you explain
16		to Brendan why you were doing it?
17		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. The record
18		speaks for itself. This is irrelevant and
19		immaterial.
20		THE COURT: You have an audio video clip of
21		this.
22		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay.
23		THE COURT: In which he is talking these
24		very words. I think that's sufficient.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Thank you, Judge.

1 0 (By Attorney Geraghty) Let me just ask you a 2 couple questions about another comment that 3 you -- or a part of the warnings that you gave. And that's in the middle of this paragraph. 5 I want to refer you to the portion that says: 6 "We have no way of getting you a lawyer, 7 but one will be appointed for you if you wish, if 8 and when you go to court." Does that strike you as a correct 9 10 reading of the Miranda decision and the Miranda 11 training that you receive as a police officer? 12 Α It's not my preferred way that I would give Miranda. 13 It --14 What's wrong with that? 15 Α It was read verbatim off of the Two Rivers Police 16 Department form that they provided me. 17 Q And what's wrong, in your opin -- you know, and 18 based on your training, what's wrong with that 19 phrase that I -- that sentence that I just read 20 you? 21 I'm not saying there's anything wrong with it. Α 22 saying it's not how I would deliver it. 23 Why not? 24

I'm not saying there's something wrong with it.

25

I probably wouldn't have that sentence in there, but

- Q Okay. I -- I'm just asking you why you wouldn't have that sentence in there.
- 3 A Because it's not --
- 4 ATTORNEY FALLON: Is this relevant, Judge?
- 5 THE COURT: I don't think so. If that's an
- 6 objection --
- 7 ATTORNEY FALLON: It is.
- 8 THE COURT: -- it's sustained.
- 9 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Now, let's get to the
- 10 point at which Brendan and his mother left the
- 11 Two Rivers Police Station after you had done the
- 12 videotape; okay?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q You -- you did videotape a -- a statement from
- Brendan Dassey; is that right?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q In which he confirmed a lot of the things that he
- had said to you on the audiotape at the Mishicot
- 19 High School; is that right?
- 20 A That's correct. Yes.
- 21 Q And you discussed the results of that -- or did
- you discuss the results of that videotaped
- 23 statement with, um, Mr. Kratz?
- 24 A At some point, yes. I don't know when that was done.
- 25 Q Okay. Was it -- was it done at any time on 2/27,

- 2006? 1
- 2 Α Again, I don't know when it was done. There was a 3 discussion with Mr. Kratz.
- 4 Okay.
- 5 -- couldn't put a date on it.

Fassbender; is that right?

- 6 Okay. You did discuss, obviously, the results of 7 that interview with your partner, Special Agent 8
- 9 Yes, he was there. A
- 10 Okay. And after that interview you made a 11 decision that Brendan and his mother should not 12 return to the -- to their residence; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 It was a suggestion, yes.
- 15 Um, are you saying that -- well, let -- let me 16 ask you what -- what did you say to Brendan and his mother about where they should spend the 17
- 18 night on the evening of 2/27, 2006?
- 19 I can't tell you specifically what was said. 20 can tell you that we suggested to them that it would 21 not -- may not be a good idea to stay at the
- 22 residence that night.
- 23 Okay. And you -- you did -- you made that 24 suggestion for a couple of reasons, didn't you?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Um, the first reason was that you wanted to -- to Q 2 protect the integrity of the investigation; is 3 that right? Yes: 5 And so you wanted to isolate Brendan and his 6 mother from other family members; correct? 7 A Yes. You also were concerned about Brendan's safety; 9 is that right? 10 That's correct. 11 And so that was another reason you suggested that 12 they not spend the night at their house? 13 Yes. 14 Okay. And so they drove to a resort near the Two 15 Rivers Police Station; is that correct? 16 Um, no. Not near Two Rivers Police Station. A 17 The Fox Hills Resort? 18 That's correct. 19 And how far is that from the police station? 20 Oh, it's in, um, the village of Mishicot. I would 21 estimate seven to eight miles. 22 Okay. And you reserved a room for them there? 23 Yes.

enforcement paid for that room; is that right?

And I take it that the county or the -- law

24

- 1 A Somebody did, yes. I didn't --
- 2 Q All right.
- 3 A -- specifically.
- 4 Q And the Dassey --
- 5 A One of the counties --
- 6 COURT REPORTER: Wait.
- 7 A -- paid for it.
- 8 COURT REPORTER: Wait.
- 9 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Okay.
- 10 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Sorry.
- 11 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) The Dasseys didn't pay
- for that room, did they?
- 13 A No.
- 14 | Q Um, and you -- you monitored the -- the
- whereabouts of Brendan and his mother throughout
- 16 | the night; didn't you?
- 17 A I did not.
- 18 | Q But somebody did?
- 19 A That we had somebody there for safe -- excuse me --
- security purposes. We weren't monitoring them. We
- 21 | were -- for security, because we were concerned.
- 22 | Q All right. So you had a -- a squad car or police
- car parked out near that resort; is that correct?
- 24 A Um, I did not make those arrangements. I believe
- 25 that I -- I -- again, I don't know if it was Agent

1		Fassbender or who, but I know there were some
2		arrangements made to have some extra patrol in the
3		area.
4	Q	All right. And part of that, um part of the
5		reason for patrolling there was to see that they
6		didn't leave?
7	А	No.
8	Q	No.
9		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: May I have just a
10		moment, Judge? I'm sorry. Just
11		THE COURT: Sure.
12	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, Agent Wiegert, on
13		the the 28th of March, 2006, there was a a
14		new development in the case with respect to the
15		physical evidence; is that correct?
16	A	I don't know.
17	Q	Okay. I'll I'll ask you to look at Exhibit
18		91?
19		THE COURT: That's in, I think, volume two.
20		THE WITNESS: Okay.
21		ATTORNEY FALLON: Did you say 91, Counsel?
22		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Yes.
23		ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) All right. Um, will you

take a look at -- at Exhibit 91, which is a 1 2 Calumet County Sheriff's Department report dated 3 2/28, 2006? Um, you are the reporting officer 4 and it has to do with laboratory findings on 5 charred cranial pieces. 6 Α Yes. 7 All right. Do you remember receiving that report 8 on -- or, I'm sorry -- actually writing that 9 report on 2/28, 2006? 10 Α Specifically remember that? No. But, I mean, it's 11 here. I -- I would --12 Q Okay. 13 -- believe that was done. And, um, that was when you learned -- I'm --14 15 on -- on February 28, 2006, that there was 16 evidence that Teresa Halbach had been shot; is that correct? 17 18 Um, we had evidence that there was a defect. Α 19 Um, and I can read it to you if you'd like. 20 You can read it, sure. 21 Α Sure. 22 "Item EJ had one piece of charred skull 23 that was labeled suspected entrance" --24 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Please slow

25

down.

1 THE WITNESS: Sure. I'm sorry. 2 -- "that was labeled suspected entrance 3 defect. Elemental analysis was performed on the 4 specif -- suspected entrance defect and other 5 areas of the charred bone. Traces of lead were 6 detected on one of them -- on one area of the 7 exerior surface of the suspected entrance defect. 8 The source of the lead metal would not -- could not" --9 10 COURT REPORTER: Slow down. 11 THE WITNESS: "The source of the lead 12 metal could not be determined." 13 (By Attorney Geraghty) All right. But that --14 that gave you reason to suspect that she had 15 been --16 Yes. 17 -- shot? 18 Yes. 19 Okay. 20 That's correct. 21 Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't phrase that question 22 quite correctly. Um, and this was, um, new and 23 important information; is that right?

And -- and it was important because not only was

24

25

That's correct.

```
1
           she -- was -- was there information indicating
 2
           that Ms. Halbach might have been shot, but that
 3
           she was shot in the head? That was important
 4
          too?
 5
          Yes.
 6
     Q
                 You, on March 1, decided to re-interview,
 7
          re-interrogate -- and we'll get into that in a
 8
          moment -- uh, Brendan Dassey; is that correct?
 9
     Α
          Yes.
10
          You -- you picked Brendan Dassey up at school?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
     Q
          And before picking Brendan Dassey up at school,
13
          you called his mother; is that right?
14
          We did, yes.
15
          You told his mother that, um, you were going to
16
          pick him up; is that right?
     Α
          Yes.
```

- 17
- 18 And take her -- take Brendan to -- to be 19 interviewed or interrogated again; is that right?
- 20 Α We told her that we would like to take him to 21 Manitowoc Sheriff's Department to re-interview him.
- 22 0 And did she give you that permission?
- 23 Α She did.
- 24 During that conversation with Brendan's mother on 25 March 1, 2006, did you ask his mother to come to

- the police station as soon as possible so that

 she could be present during the interview or
- 3 interrogation of Brendan Dassey?
- 4 A I don't remember the specifics of the conversation.
- 5 Again, we asked permission to take him there. She
- 6 granted that permission. And, eventually, she met us
- 7 at the sheriff's department.
- Well, she didn't meet you at the sheriff's department until quite late in the day; right?
- 10 A That's correct. She was here on other business and
- came over to the -- to the courthouse. Excuse me, to
- 12 the sheriff's department. She was at the courthouse.
- for other business.
- 14 | Q What time did you pick up Brendan at school?
- 15 A I couldn't answer that without seeing a transcript
- 16 or...
- 17 | Q Um, I'll -- I'll ask you to look at Exhibit 209?
- 18 A Do you know what binder number?
- 19 Q Um --
- 20 ATTORNEY TEPFER: Binder four.
- 21 | Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Want me to --
- 22 A Two-o-nine?
- 23 Q Yes.
- 24 A Yeah. I should have it here in a second. Yes.
- 25 | Q All right. Um, I believe you're now looking at

```
1
           Exhibit No. 209, which is a police report
 2
           apparently authored by you. Do you recognize
 3
           that?
           I do.
 4
 5
           Is that your report?
 6
     Α
          Yes.
 7
          All right. Um, does it indicate that you
 8
           contacted Barb Janda at approximately 9:50 a.m.
 9
          on March 1, 2006?
10
     Α
          Yes.
11
          And does it also indicate that you arrived at the
12
          Mishicot High School at approximately 10:00 a.m.
13
          on March 1, 2006?
14
     Α
          Yes.
15
          And that at 10:05 Brendan came to the area in
16
          which you were located, and you then took Brendan
17
          to, um, the Manitowoc County Sheriff's
18
          Department?
19
          Yes.
20
          Okay. Now, you're looking at that report.
21
          does it say anywhere in that report that you, um,
22
          offered or even discussed with -- with Barb Janda
23
          the possibility that Barb could go with Brendan
```

to the police department?

In this report, no.

24

25

Α

- 1 Q And do you recall telling Barb that, in fact, she could do that?
- A No. As I indicated earlier, I recall asking her permission to take Brendan to the sheriff's department.
- 6 Q But not to -- you didn't tell her she could come 7 with you?
- 8 A No. And I didn't tell her she couldn't either.
- 9 Q Right. Certainly didn't invite her to come along, did you?
- 11 | A I don't recall if we did or we didn't. I don't know.
- 12 Q Right. And, again, um, that was because you
 13 would -- you would prefer to speak to Brendan
 14 alone; isn't that right?
- 15 A That's true.
- 16 Q Now, in the car on the way to the Manitowoc

 17 County Sheriff's Office, you Mirandized Brendan;

 18 is that right?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q And I'd like to play you, uh, on page 13, clip three. That's Exhibit 315.
- 22 ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, we have a
 23 tape, we have the transcript, um, as already
 24 identified Exhibit 209. Can we just ask the
 25 question about the point in issue? I mean, let's

1 move this along. 2 THE COURT: Any reason, Mr. Geraghty, we 3 couldn't do it that way? 4 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Absolutely not. 5 reason. I'll do it. 6 (By Attorney Geraghty) Um, so -- I'm sorry. If 7 you look at, um, Exhibit 209 -- I'm sorry to get 8 you back to another exhibit. 9 Um, I think that's where I'm at. 10 0 Okay. 11 Two-o-nine. A 12 All right. The second page of that exhibit, 13 which is your -- your page number 526? 14 Yes. 15 Okay. Um, and I'm just going to read you what 16 you -- could -- could -- why don't you go ahead 17 and read what you told Brendan. Starting with 18 when it says, "Wiegert" two-thirds of the way 19 down on the page? 20 A Sure. 21 "Brendan, I'm just going to -- going 22 to -- to read you this form. It's your Miranda 23 rights. And then we'll talk about that a little

The law requires you to advise you have

24

25

bit. Okay?

1		the following rights:
2		You have the right to remain silent.
3		Anything you say can and will be used against you
4		in court.
5		You have the right to consult with a
6		lawyer and have him present with you while you're
7	<u> </u> 	being questioned.
8	ļ	If you cannot afford to hire an
9		attorney, one will be appointed to represent you
10		before any questioning.
11		You have the right to stop answering
12	:	questions at any time."
13	Q	Go ahead and why don't you read the next why
14		don't you read all the way through?
15	A	"Now you got to speak up so this thing picks up your
16		voice, okay? I just got two questions to ask you
17		from there. Do you know and understand each of these
18		rights, your rights, which I have explained?"
19	i	Brendan's comment is:
20		"Yeah."
21		I then, again, ask:
22		"Understanding these rights, do you want
23		to talk to us?"
24		Brendan's comment, again, is:
25		"Yeah."

- 1 Q Okay. Turn to the next page.
- 2 A Okay.
- Q And just read what you said. I think that's the final remark that you make there.
- 5 A "Okay. I'm going to have -- I'm going to have -- I'm
 6 going to sign here. And I need you to sign by the
 7 "x."
- Okay. And those were the warnings that, um -that you gave him in the car on the way to the
 Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department on March 1,
 2006; is that right?
- 12 A Yes.
- Okay. And, again, you gave him those warnings
 because you suspected that he was involved in the
 mutilation of Teresa Halbach's corpse and her
 murder; isn't that correct?
- 17 A No.

22

23

24

- 18 | Q No. You did not suspect that he was involved?
- 19 A Did I suspect at that time that he was involved in the murder? No.
 - ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, I -- I want to -- I just -- it's not an objection, but for appellate record purposes, a point of clarification.
 - Uh, the Court would examine, and Counsel can examine, the ex -- the exhibit again, the

1 reference point that, um, they talked about, that is actually the second reading of Miranda. 2 3 THE COURT: Are you -- are you referring 4 here to Exhibit 209? 5 ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And you're referring to page 7 526 on 209? ATTORNEY FALLON: Uh, if the Court would back up to page 525, he was advised once on the ride 9 10 there, and the portion that Counsel just read is 11 upon arrival in the video room to memorialize what occurred in the car. So just so that the record's 12 13 clear and there's no confusion. 14 THE COURT: I -- it -- I 15 understand --16 ATTORNEY FALLON: First of all, it's all 17 irrelevant. But just so that we're clear. 18 THE COURT: Page 525 says, at paragraph --19 "At approximately 10:10 a.m., I did read Brendan his 20 Mirana -- Miranda rights from the warm -- warning 21 and waiver of rights form which will be included in 22 this report." 23 Then, if we go to page 526, uh, the 24 third paragraph on that page notes that, quote,

at approximately 10:43 a.m. we did arrive at the

1		Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department."
2		And it's after that, that Mr. Wiegert
3		said what he read.
4		ATTORNEY FALLON: Right.
5		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Well, Judge, um, just
6		to clarify, I believe that both of these I'm not
7		reading this correctly, I'm happy to be corrected.
8		But, um, the the warnings that we're referring to
9		took place in the car. Um
10		ATTORNEY FALLON: Why don't you ask him?
11		You can ask the witness.
12		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Hold on.
13		THE COURT: Why don't we just ask the
14		witness?
15	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) All right. Did you
16	1	did you provide Mr Mr. Dassey with warnings
17		in the car on the way over to the Manitowoc
18		County Sheriff's Office?
19	A	I did, yes.
20	Q	All right. And, um, did did you read those
21		warnings from your Manitowoc County Sheriff's
22		Department Miranda card?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Let me just let me just clarify this. Um, in
25		what form, or from what source, did you did

```
1
          you read Mr. Dassey his rights as you were
 2
          traveling from the Two -- from the resort -- I'm
 3
          sorry -- from the Mishicot High School to the
          Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
 5
          I believe they were read from the Calumet County
 6
          warning waiver of rights form. Um, I -- I -- I can't
 7
          be a hundred percent in certain but that's my
          recollection of it.
 9
          Okay. And then when you -- your testimony is
10
          that when you arrived at the Manitowoc County
11
          Sheriff's Department, you read him his rights
12
          again; is that right?
13
          No, I didn't say that.
14
          Okay. The portion of the -- the rights or the
15
          rights that I read to you from page two of
16
          Exhibit 209, where were you when you read that
17
          form?
18
          This form was read in the vehicle on the way to
19
          Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department. And when we
20
          arrived there, um, I reminded him of his Miranda
21
          warnings --
22
          Okay. That's --
     0
23
          (Unintelligible)
24
          -- what I -- that's what I thought.
```

A

Okay.

- 1 Q And so you did not re-read the rights to Brendan
- 2 after you arrived at the Manitowoc County
- 3 Sheriff's Department; is that correct?
- 4 A No, I reminded him of his rights.
- 5 Q You just reminded him?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. Now, this was the, um -- the -- the
- 8 advisement of rights in -- in the auto -- in the
- 9 car on the way over to the Manitowoc County
- 10 | Sheriff's Department was a second time during a
- 11 period of two days that you had read Brendan
- Dassey his *Miranda* rights; is that right?
- 13 A He was read them on the 27th and on this date, which
- 14 | would have been the -- March 1.
- 15 | Q Right. And I take it that, um -- that you read
- 16 him his rights on the 1st of March again just to
- 17 be on the safe side?
- 18 | A Yes. And --
- 19 Q Were you advised by Mr. Kratz to read Brendan his
- 20 rights in the car on the way over to the
- 21 Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
- 22 A No, I don't believe he had advised us of that.
- 23 | Q All right. Were you advised by Mr. Kratz to
- re-Mirandize Brendan on March 1, 2006?
- 25 A I -- I can't answer that. I don't know that -- if he

- 1 ever advised us or not.
- 2 Okay. Can you tell us why you thought it was 3
- 4 2006?
- 5 If you're asking for my opinion, um, my opinion is 6 that in my way of thinking at -- at this point in the 7 case, this was a huge case, um, with the media,

necessary to re-Mirandize Brendan on March 1,

- with -- it was a frenzy here, obviously. And it was 9 a large case, and I wanted to make sure it was done
- 10 correctly. I wanted to make sure there were no
- 11 mistakes done in it. And that's why we did what we
- 12 did.

- 13 All right. And it -- was it also because you did
- 14 suspect that he had been volved -- that Brendan
- 15 had been involved at least in the mutilation of a
- 16 corpse?
- 17 Um, at that point we knew that he was at the fire.
- 18 And possibly involved in the mutilation of a
- 19 corpse?
- 20 Yes. A
- Now, you said that you got to the Manitowoc 21
- 22 Sheriff's Department, and I take it that you then
- 23 took Brendan somewhere in that building; is that
- 24 right?
- 25 Yes. A

- 1 Q Can you tell us where you took him?
- 2 A To the second floor, I believe it is, in the
- 3 detective area of their department.
- 4 Q And you put him in a room; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q At that time Barb Janda was not present; was she?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q All right. And, also, at that time, if Brendan
- 9 had decided to leave, you wouldn't have let him
- 10 go, would you?
- 11 | A · Yes, we would have.
- 12 Q If he had asked you if he could go home, you
- 13 | would have just let him go?
- 14 A At that point when we first got there, yes.
- 15 | Q Did you tell him that?
- 16 A Um, I -- without reading the transcript I can't say
- exactly what he was told. Or without seeing it on
- 18 tape, I don't know if that was said or not. But he
- 19 was Mirandized earlier.
- 20 Q Do you remember telling him at any time before
- 21 you began the interrogation or the interview on
- 22 March 1 that he was free to leave?
- 23 A Do I recall specifically? No, I don't.
- 24 Q The room that you put him in, um, was a -- I
- 25 think it was -- been referred to in prior

- 1 proceedings as a soft interrogation room?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q That means that -- that there was a couch in the
- 4 room?
- 5 A There was a couch in the room.
- 6 | Q Carpeting on the floor?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And there was also a, um -- a TV -- a capability
- 9 of recording what went on in the room; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A The room was recorded both audio and video.
- 12 Q All right. And then there was also a capability
- within the police department to look at a screen
- outside the room and see what was going on; is
- 15 that right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And while you were interrogating or interviewing
- Brendan, um, it was possible to watch what was
- going on in that room; isn't that right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Um, you went in that room with Brendan; is that
- 22 | correct?
- 23 A I was in the room with Brendan, yes.
- 24 Q And I -- by "you" I mean both you and Agent
- 25 | Fassbender; is that correct?

- 1 A We were both -- all three of us were in the room,
- 2 yes.
- 3 Q And you began to question Brendan; is that right?
- 4 A We did.
- 5 Q Um, and it was at that point that you reminded
- 6 him that he had been advised of his Miranda
- 7 rights; is that correct?
- 8 A I believe you're correct.
- 9 | Q By the way, before, um, conducting this interview
- on March 1, you had had a fair amount of contact
- 11 | with Brendan at that point; right?
- 12 A We had contact with him, sure.
- 13 | Q I mean, you had the interview at Mishicot High
- 14 School?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q And you also had the videotaped conversation with
- 17 him at the Two Rivers Police Department; is that
- 18 | right?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q You could not help but notice that Brendan was,
- 21 | shall we say, slow?
- 22 | A I would disagree with that.
- 23 | Q You would disagree with that?
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 Q Um, would it be fair to characterize him as a

- 1 vivacious, outgoing person?
- 2 A Outgoing? No.
- 3 Q Um, so he was reserved?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 | Q It was difficult to communicate with him?
- 6 A No. Not all the time. It depended. Not all the
- 7 time, no.
- 8 | Q All right. You had -- you had -- you had no
- 9 problem at either of the interrogations that
- 10 preceded 3/1, 2006, having a conversation with
- 11 Brendan?
- 12 | A Again, um, if you take the interviews as a whole,
- there are parts where he was very communicative and
- sometimes he wasn't. It just depended.
- 15 | Q And there was nothing that struck you as unusual
- 16 about his affect or his demeanor?
- 17 A I don't know what you mean by "unusual." I mean, I
- 18 didn't know Brendan very well prior to that. Struck
- me as -- if you want me to answer that?
- 20 | Q Sure.
- 21 A It struck me as somebody who knew information and not
- 22 | necessarily wanted to provide it.
- 23 | Q I see. So his -- you took his seeming reticence
- as being, um, an indication that he didn't want
- 25 to provide you with information?

- 1 A Unfortunately, you'll have to explain reticence to me.
- 3 Q Okay. Reluctance.
- 4 A Thank you. Um, again, he wasn't always reluctant.
- 5 There are times he was, times he wasn't.
- 6 Q When he wrote -- he wrote out a written -- he
- 7 made a written statement on 2/27; is that
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 | Q He had difficulty spelling words; isn't that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A I think some words he did, yes.
- 13 | Q A great deal of difficulty; is that right?
- 14 | A I know he had some difficulty spelling. I don't know
- how many words he misspelled. I don't know that.
- 16 But he did have some difficulty, yes.
- 17 | Q And you noticed that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 | Q Now, you -- you then proceeded to interview
- 20 Brendan for almost three hours on March 1, 2006;
- 21 is that right?
- 22 | A Um, I -- I would disagree with that. There was
- 23 breaks included in there where he was not talked to,
- 24 | not interviewed, um, so it wouldn't -- would not have
- been a total of three hours I don't think.

- 1 | Q All right. But he was in that room for about
- 2 three hours?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. Um, and I'm going to ask you about what
- 5 went on in that room in -- in -- in a moment.
- 6 But I just -- I want to take us through all these
- 7 events first, and then we'll go back and we'll
- 8 talk about the content of the interview. Is that
- 9 | okay?
- 10 A Certainly.
- 11 | Q Okay. Um, at the, um -- at the end of -- or
- 12 torward the end of that interview you made a
- decision to -- that, um, Bren -- you were going
- 14 to have to arrest Brendan; is that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q And, um, you informed him of that; is that right?
- 17 A Absolutely.
- 18 | Q And, again, both you and Agent Fassbender were in
- the room when that happened; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Do you remember approximately what time, um, that
- 22 decision was made?
- 23 A Not without referring back to the transcript or the
- 24 aud -- videotape, no.
- 25 | Q Would -- would that have been in the -- in the

- early -- in the, say, early or mid-afternoon
- 2 of -- of March 1? Would that be fair?
- 3 A I think that's fair.
- 4 Q Okay. And had you learned at that time that --
- 5 that Brendan's mother was present in the -- in
- 6 the Manitowoc County Sheriff's Department?
- 7 A We were made aware of it at one point, yes.
- 8 Q And do you remember when you were made aware of
- 9 that?
- 10 A I don't.
- 11 | Q Do you know whether you were made aware of the
- 12 | fact that his mother was present during the time
- that you were interviewing Brendan and that
- 14 interview was leading toward the eventual
- 15 | charging of Brendan?
- 16 A I don't know when Barb got there.
- 17 | Q Did anybody call you to tell you when Barb got
- 18 there?
- 19 | A Um, call me? No. I believe at some point somebody
- came to the door and we were informed that his mother
- 21 had arrived.
- 22 | Q All right. And did you invite her in?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Why not?
- 25 A Um, I didn't see a need to have her in the room at

- that point. It was at the end of the interview. I

 believe it was almost done at that point when Barb
- 3 arrived.
- 4 Q Did you tell Brendan that his mother was there?
- 5 A Yes.

- 6 Q And that was right after the knock on the door?
- A I don't know when, but I know Brendan was advised that his mother was here.
- 9 Q Okay. Would it be fair to say that Brendan was
 10 advised that his mother was there after he -11 Brendan was informed that charges were going to

be lodged against him?

- 13 A It's possible. And it's probably about the time when his mother arrived.
- Now, there did come a time, um, during that -
 and -- and, um, inter -- would it be -- at some

 point during that, um -- that interview or

 interrogation of -- of Brendan, it did become an

 interrogation; is that correct?
- 20 A I would agree with that.
- 21 Q And there was a point at which Brendan was -- you
 22 determined, even before charging him, that
 23 Brendan, if he had asked to leave, he would not
 24 have been allowed to leave the police station?
- 25 A At some point, yes.

1 And so would it be fair to say that what began as 2 a -- you characterize it as a -- as an 3 interview -- it -- it changed from an interview 4 to an interrogation? 5 Α Um, it did change. Um, it's not your typical 6 interrogation, I think, that people are used to 7 seeing, but the -- the tone changed somewhat. 8 How did it change? 9 Um, it changed from him being, in my opinion, a 10 witness, to him being more of a suspect and trying to 11 get more detail from him. 12 Q All right. And did you tell him when that change 13 occurred? 14 No. 15 You didn't say, Brendan, up until this time we'd 16 been interviewing you, now you're a suspect? 17 Α No. 18 And you didn't say, Brendan, um, we've been 19 interviewing you, now you're a suspect, and I'd 20 like to re-read you your Miranda rights? 21 Α No. 22 Did you do that? No. He had already been read his Miranda 23 Excuse me.

All right. But that's when he wasn't a suspect;

24

25

Q

rights.

1		is that right?
2	A	That's correct.
3	Q	Okay. So when he became a suspect, you did not
4		read him his Miranda rights?
5	A	Um
6		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection.
7		THE WITNESS: Let me
8		ATTORNEY FALLON: Not legally required.
9		Not relevant. Not material.
10		THE COURT: Well, I'm going to overrule the
11		objection. The the witness can answer.
12		THE WITNESS: Thank you. Um, if I could
13		back up a little bit?
14	Q	(By Attorney Dvorak) Sure.
15	A	Um, I don't know that he was a suspect, initially,
16		when we talked to him. It was a interview as a
17		witness. And then he provides us more information,
18		which led us to believe that he knew more and was
19		more involved than he initially told us.
20	Q	But what I'm saying is that when he became a
21		suspect, you did not Mirandize him at that point,
22		did you?
23	A	As I stated, no. He was Mirandized earlier.
24	Q	Okay. All right. Now, at the end of the
25		after you had made a decision to charge him, you,

- 1 um, made arrangements for his mother to come into
- 2 the interrogation room; is that correct?
- 3 | A She wished to speak with him. It was her request.
- 4 Q Okay. So how did you get that request?
- 5 A Um, one of us had left at one point. I -- I -- I
- 6 think it was me, actually, who talked to Barb out --
- 7 outside of the interview room. And she said she
- 8 would like -- she asked if she could see him.
- 9 Q And what did you say?
- 10 A Yes. We provided -- we -- we brought her into the
- 11 room.
- 12 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: May I have a moment,
- Judge? And I -- then I just have one series of
- 14 questions, and could we take a break after the --
- 15 that'd be okay?
- 16 THE COURT: Sure.
- 17 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Officer -- I'm sorry.
- 18 Investigator, may I refer you to Exhibit 315,
- page six, clip 37.
- 20 A I'll have to get another book.
- 21 | Q No. We're going to show this -- show you the --
- 22 A (Unintelligible.)
- 23 | Q -- video.
- 24 A Okay.
- 25 | Q You can follow it on the transcript if you want.

1		I can give him give you a copy. Just just
2		to save time.
3		"You're going to juvie. That's where
4		you're going. To juvie jail. About 45 minutes
5		away."
6		(Inaudible.) "but he says he he
7		admits to doing it?"
8		"What do you mean?"
9		"Like, if his story is different, like,
10		I never did nothing or something."
11		"Did you?"
12		(Inaudible.)
13		"Huh?"
14		"Not really."
15		"What do you mean, 'Not really.'?"
16		"They got to my head."
17		"Huh?"
18		(Inaudible).
19		"What do you mean by that? What do you
20		mean by that, Brendan?"
21		(Inaudible.)
22	Q	(By Attorney Dvorak) So you did were you
23		able to read along?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. Now, um, you you had mentioned before

- 1 that there was a -- a video screen outside the 2 room so that you could watch what was going on 3 during the, um -- whatever was going on in that 4 room; is that right? 5 There is a video, yes. Α 6 All right. And before you came into the room as 7 you've seen on -- on the clip that we just showed 8 you, uh, Exhibit 315, clip 37, were you watching 9 that screen? 10 Boy, I don't know. Um, I really -- I -- I could not 11 tell you if we were or not. We made several phone 12 calls during that time period because they were 13 getting a search warrant ready. Things like that. I -- I don't know if we were or not. 14 15 Um, so it was just a -- sort of a coincidence 16 that you happened to walk in when Brendan was
 - telling his mother that "They got to my head."?
 - I assume it was, yes.

18

22

23

24

25

- 19 Okay. So you didn't -- you weren't watching the 20 screen to see when -- what was going on between 21 Brendan and his mother?
 - I'm not saying I wasn't. I don't recall if I was or Α not. There was a lot of things going on at that point.

ATTORNEY DVORAK: Judge, could we take a

1	break now?
2	THE COURT: Sure. Let's take are are
3	you done questioning this witness?
4	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: No, Judge. I'd I
5	have a I have a I have some more. I could
6	keep going now, if you'd want, but I
7	THE COURT: Why why don't you do
8	how how much longer do you think you're
9	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I think I have another
10	20 20 minutes or so.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Twenty, 25 minutes.
13	THE COURT: Let's do it.
14	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right. Could be
15	longer, all right? You you cut me off when
16	I'm
17	THE COURT: I will.
18	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: when you're tired of
19	me.
20	THE COURT: When I'm tired?
21	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: When you're tired of
22	me, I said.
23	THE COURT: Oh.
24	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Don't invite.

- 1 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Um, now, as of 3/1,
- 2 Brendan was -- was charged, um, and he was
- 3 remanded to a juvenile facility; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Um, you were still interested in the possibility
- 6 that Brendan might be a witness in the Avery
- 7 | case; is this right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And, um, the investigation proceeded, um, and one
- of those -- one of the objectives of the
- investigation, and the work that you were doing,
- 12 was to, um, see under what circumstances it might
- be possible for Brendan to testify in the Avery
- 14 case?
- 15 A Not my job, no.
- 16 | Q All right. Now, were you aware that Brendan was
- 17 appointed a -- an attorney to represent him on or
- about March 8? And this -- this person's name
- 19 was a Mr. Kachinsky?
- 20 | A I'm aware Mr. Kachinsky was appointed. The date I
- 21 don't know.
- 22 | Q Okay. Um, after Mr. Kachinsky was appointed, did
- 23 you have any contact with him about, um -- in
- 24 | connection with your investigation of this case?
- 25 | A There was some e-mails -- or there was an e-mail, I

- 1 believe, I received.
- 2 Q Do you remember when that was?
- 3 A May 5, possibly.
- 4 Q Okay. Exhibit 338, binder five. Could you take
- 5 a look at that?
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. I
- 8 have it.
- 9 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Would you take a
- 10 moment, just read that? Or --
- 11 A I'm pretty familiar with it.
- 12 Q Okay. Is that the -- is that the first contact
- that you had with Mr. Kachinsky, um, during the
- 14 course of, um, your investigation of the Teresa
- 15 Halbach murder?
- 16 A I believe so.
- 17 | Q Prior to that time you had not spoken to
- 18 Mr. Kachinsky about the case?
- 19 A To the best of my recollection, no. I -- if there
- 20 was, I forgot about it. But...
- 21 Q And, um, this is an e-mail that, um -- that tells
- you that, um, there's a Mr. O'Kelly involved in
- 23 the case; is that right?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Mr. Kratz is copied on this e-mail?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And this is a -- an offer from Mr. Kachinsky to
- 3 provide you with some information that might be
- 4 useful in the case; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And it authorizes you to speak directly to
- 7 Mr. O'Kelly about that evidence; is that right?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q It gives you his phone number? That is,
- Mr. Kelly's phone number?
- 11 A This specific e-mail doesn't, but I believe there was
- another one where they -- the phone number was there,
- 13 | yes.
- 14 | Q Okay. And it also gives you Mr. O'Kelly's e-mail
- address; is that right?
- 16 A I believe so.
- 17 | Q And so prior to May 5, you had not received any
- information about the case from Len Kachinsky; is
- 19 | that right?
- 20 A I did not.
- 21 Q Do you know whether anybody else working with
- 22 you, specifically Agent Fassbender, had received
- any information from Mr. Kachinsky?
- 24 A I know Agent Fassbender had received e-mails, but the
- 25 dates of those I could not tell you.

1 So you don't know if those e-mails were received 2 before or after May 5? 3 I do not, no. Okay. Um, do you know whether Agent Dedering had 5 any contact with either Mr. Kachinsky or 6 Mr. O'Kelly around this period of time? A I know I was made aware of some e-mails that 8 Detective Dedering was copied on. Now, the dates of 9 those, I don't know. 10 And how were you made aware of these e-mails? 11 In conversations with Agent Fassbender. 12 Okay. Did you have any conversations with Agent 13 Dedering about those e-mails? 14 Yes. 15 And do you recall when those conversations 16 occurred and what they were about? 17 Well, the conversation was in preparation for 18 testifying. We were reviewing things so that's where 19 it came up. 20 So testifying in -- in this proceeding or --

told they were a matter of -- of focus in this

And was that because, um, the -- the subject of

your contacts with Kach -- Mr. Kachinsky and

Mr. O'Kelly, um, were a matter of -- you were

Yes, in this proceeding. Correct.

21

22

23

24

```
hearing?
 1
 2
     A
          Well, certainly.
          And -- and you reviewed the relevant e-mails and
 3
           communications between Mr. Kachinsky,
 5
          Mr. O'Kelly, and yourself, um, Investigator
           Fassbender, and Investigator Dedering; is that --
 6
 7
     A
          No.
          -- right?
 8
 9
           I inter -- excuse me. I reviewed the ones that were,
10
          um, copied to me. I reviewed those. I did not
11
           review the other ones --
12
     Q
          Okay.
13
          -- specifically.
14
          All right. So you -- you got this e-mail on --
          on May 5, and does it also say that -- that
15
16
          Mr. Kachinsky would prefer to stay unnamed in the
17
          affidavit for search warrant if at all possible?
18
          See that second to the last --
19
     A
          I believe --
20
          -- paragraph?
21
          -- it does, yes.
     A
22
          And pursuant to this e-mail, did you take any
23
          steps?
24
     A
           In regards to the content of this?
```

0

Correct.

- 1 A Um, I believe it was on May 8, myself and, I want to
 2 say Detective Dedering -- Investigator Dedering -3 went out to the Salvage Yard where we spoke to two of
- 4 the Averys on the property. They had given us
- 5 permission to search these two vehicles.
- 6 Q And did you find anything?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Now, did there -- was there a next -- was there
- 9 a -- a -- a time following this when you or your
- 10 investigation team had contact with Mr. O'Kelly
- 11 and Mr. Kachinsky?
- 12 A I know Agent Fassbender again had received some
- e-mails from him.
- 14 Q By the way, going back to May 5, and what
- followed, was Mr. Kratz aware that you went back
- 16 to the crime scene?
- 17 A Eventually he was. At what point he was aware of it,
- 18 I don't know.
- 19 Q Now, let me ask you to look at, um, Exhibit No.
- 20 65.
- 21 A Which binder would that be in, sir?
- 22 O Binder number two.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q All right. Have you seen that, um, e-mail
- 25 before?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Was that one of the e-mails that you took a
- 3 lack -- look at in preparation for this hearing?
- 4 A I've seen it, yes.
- 5 Q Now, did you become aware, um, on or about May 7
- 6 that Mr. Kachinsky and Mr. O'Kelly were planning
- 7 to take a -- a statement from -- from Brendan
- 8 Dassey?
- 9 A I know there were conversations that the district
- 10 attorney had had with Mr. O'Kin -- O'Chinski (sic),
- excuse me, about speaking with Brendan. The specific
- 12 dates --
- 13 Q Tell me about how you knew that, um,
- 14 Mr. Kachinsky was having those conversations with
- 15 the district attorney?
- 16 A Well, I know the district attorney had informed me
- that he had, um, in the context of plea agreements,
- 18 that there were conversations going on. That's as
- 19 much as I knew.
- 20 Q Do you know when you became aware of those
- 21 conversations?
- 22 A I do not.
- 23 Q Would it have been some time, um, after May 5,
- 24 2006, or around that period?
- 25 A It would have been around that period, but specific

1 date, I don't know. 2 And, again, um, were you aware that Mr. Kratz was 3 interested in securing the testimony of -- of 4 Mr. Dassey at Steven Avery's trial? 5 Α Um, in the concept of plea agreements, I know there 6 were those conversations, yes. 7 Q And did you know that, um, as part of that 8 effort, um, Mr. O'Kelly was going to visit 9 Brendan Dassey at the Sheboygan County facility 10 to obtain a further confession from Brendan 11 Dassey? 12 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection to the last 13 part. That he obtained further confession part. 14 THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase the 15 question. 16 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay. 17 (By Attorney Geraghty) Did you know that 18 Mr. O'Kelly was planning to visit Brendan at the 19 Sheboygan County Jail in order to obtain further 20 admissions from Brendan Dassey? 21 What I knew is he was going to meet with him on Α the 12th to try to, um -- my understanding of it was 22 23 to see if there was something or -- or way that he 24 could get him to talk with us for the plea agreement 25 type of thing because I know that the -- the district

1 attorney at that time wanted him to cooperate, 2 obviously, in the premise of the plea agreements. 3 I don't know what his specific actions 4 were going to be on the 12th. I have no idea. 5 So what you knew was that, um -- or what you had 6 heard that -- was that, um, Mr. O'Kelly was going 7 to visit Brendan to try to convince him to speak 8 to you? And by "you" I mean yourself and Agent 9 Fassbender. Is that correct? 10 Α As a part of the plea agreement, I believe that that 11 was what they had talked about, yes. 12 And -- and who mentioned that -- who --13 who -- who mentioned the term "plea agreement"? 14 I mean, where did that come from? 15 In discussions with, uh, Mr. Kratz that had come up. Α 16 And do you remember when those discussions were? 17 I would assume around this time, but pacific (sic) 18 dates, no. 19 So is -- is it your testimony that Mr. Kratz was 20 aware that Mr. O'Kelly and/or Mr. Kachinsky would 21 be visiting Brendan at the Sheboygan facility to, 22 um, as -- as part of the plea process, to see 23 whether Mr. Dassey could be useful in the 24 prosecution against Mr. Avery?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Calls for

speculation on this witness' part as to what Mr. Kratz was thinking.

Secondly, Exhibit 65 speaks for itself insofar as -- as we've discussed ad nauseam in this case. Several witnesses have been CC'd and asked about this, including Mr. Kratz.

So it's cumulative in that respect. So it's -- calls for speculation and cumulative.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, this goes directly to our -- our breach of loyalty contention, which is central to our case. And I think very relevant here.

THE COURT: I don't know if it's very relevant. It may be marginally so. I'll overrule the objection. If the -- off the -- the witness can answer.

THE WITNESS: Do I know what -- I remember the question. Do I know what Mr. Kratz knew at that time? I don't know what he knew.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Why -- could -- could we read that question? Judge, would this be a good time to take a break? Could we take a break at this point? Sorry. You want to keep going. We'll con --

THE COURT: Yeah, let's just --

1		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right. Okay.
2		THE COURT: I think the question was: Was
3		Mr did you know if Mr. Kratz was aware that this
4		meeting was going to take place?
5		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Right.
6		THE WITNESS: Prior to the meeting, I
7		don't know if he knew or not. If that's the
8		question.
9	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) But you knew that the
10		meeting was part of a an ongoing plea
11		negotiation process?
12	A	I knew that they were continually talking to their
13	<u> </u>	client about a plea negotiations, yes.
14	Q	Um, and were you also aware that, um, your
15		Department had been asked to provide various
16	-	items of evidence to Mr. O'Kelly for purposes of
17	i	his May 12 conversation with Brendan Dassey?
18	A	I was not on that e-mail. Um, I made aware of it
19		after, but at the time, no. I did not receive that
20		e-mail.
21	Q	Okay. And you weren't involved in in
22		providing any of, um, the information which is
23		listed on Exhibit 65 to Mr. O'Kelly?
24	A	I was not. No.
25	Q	And before Mr. O'Kelly went to interview

- 1 Mr. Dassey on May 12, did you have any
- conversations with Mr. O'Kelly?
- A Not to my knowledge nor -- excuse me -- my recollection, no.
- Do you know whether Special Agent Fassbender had any such conversations?
- 7 A Um, I know that they had met. Something about
 8 getting these items, I believe. What Mr. Fassbender
 9 did, I -- I think he could testify to that better
 10 than I could. I don't --
- 11 Q Okay.
- 12 A -- know for sure.
- Q And -- and you weren't present during, um, any
- conversations that Agent Fassbender had with
- use in his conversation with Brendan Dassey on

Mr. O'Kelly about physical evidence that he would

17 May 12?

- 18 A No, I was not.
- 19 Q Um, now, were you aware that -- that Mr. O'Kelly
- 20 did go to visit Brendan Dassey on May 12 at the
- 21 Sheboygan County Jail?
- 22 A I'm aware of it, yes.
- 23 Q How did you become aware of it?
- 24 A I don't even -- I -- I don't know. I couldn't tell
- you how I became aware of it. Am I aware of it now?

- Yes. When I became aware of it? I assume it was probably on the 13th when I became aware of it. But that would be an assumption of mine.
- 4 | Q Could you take a look at Exhibit 363?
- 5 A Three sixty-three.
- 6 Q Binder five. When you get there, why don't you
 7 take a minute and -- I've got a copy. I got a
 8 separate copy.
- 9 A Yes, I have it.
- 10 Q Would you take a look at that, um, exhibit,
 11 please?
- 12 A Okay.

25

Q Does that refresh your recollection at all about
when you might have become aware of the May 12
interview of Brendan Dassey by Mr. O'Kelly?

I recognize that this is not your
report. I'm just asking you if it refreshes your
recollection.

- 19 A I assume it was on the 12th from reading this.
- 20 Q Yeah. And then if you -- if you read -- also, if
 21 you go to, um, the fourth page of this exhibit,
 22 um, there's some further information about, um,
 23 the interview that occurred on May 12?
 - A I have three pages here and then I have this document.

1 Let me give you my copy. 2 THE COURT: For the record, the exhibit 3 that was marked has only three pages. 4 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right. Sorry. 5 I had a different version. I thought it had, 6 uh -- Let me remar -- let me mark an additional 7 exhibit. I think the remainder of 8 ATTORNEY FALLON: this has already been marked as an exhibit. 10 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right. 11 ATTORNEY FALLON: This e-mail has 12 already been marked. And the exact number of it 13 escapes me at the moment. But it's an e-mail 14 dated May 12 from Mr. Kachinsky. Copied a number 15 of individuals. If somebody's good enough to 16 recall it, the number, we can go from there. 17 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Let me -- just to move 18 on, and we'll get the exhibit number in a minute. 19 May I, Judge? 20 THE COURT: Go ahead. 21 0 (By Attorney Geraghty) All right. Show you an 22 e-mail dated Friday, May 12, 2006, from Len 23 Kachinsky to you, to Mr. O'Kelly, Mr. Kratz, um,

and I'll show that to you now?

24

25

Α

Sure.

1	Q	Just ask you to take a look at that.
2		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: And that, for the
3		record, is Exhibit 356.
4		ATTORNEY FALLON: Thank you.
5		THE WITNESS: Yes, I I have it.
6	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) All right. And you can
7		keep it.
8	A	Okay.
9	Q	Um, after reading those those documents,
10	<u> </u> -	are is is your memory refreshed about
11		the what you remember about the circumstances
12		of the interview of Brendan Dassey on May 12,
13		2006?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Do you recall that Mr. O'Kelly did conduct
16		an interview of Brendan Dassey on May 12, 2006,
17		at the Sheboygan County Jail?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And do you also recall that, um, Mr. Kachinsky
20		was not present during that interview?
21		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. This calls
22		for, one, speculation. Two, hearsay.
23		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I'll withdraw the
24		question. I agree.
25	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Do do you know whether

	1	
1		Mr. Kachinsky was present during the interview
2		that Mr. O'Kelly conducted of Brendan Dassey on
3		May 12?
4		ATTORNEY FALLON: Same objection. If he
5		wasn't there, then he had to have heard it from
6		somebody else, which is hearsay knowledge.
7		THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Can you tell me,
9		Investigator Wiegert, what, um, you remember
10		about your involvement, if any, in the interview
11		of May 12, 2006? That is, the interview that
12		Mr. O'Kelly conducted of Brendan Dassey?
13	A	I had no involvement in that interview.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	None.
16	Q	Were were you aware of it when it before it
17		happened?
18	A	Not to my recollection. I think we were made aware
19		of it after the way it looks
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	from the e-mail.
22	Q	All right. So when did you first become aware of
23		that interview?
24	A	Let me just read this real

Q

Sure.

- 1 | A -- quick, if I --
- 2 | Q Sure.
- 3 | A -- may?
- 4 | 0 Sure.
- Okay. In this e-mail, it doesn't say anything about
 the 12th interview from what I see in it. So I don't
 know when I became aware of it. I know there was
 discussions again, but I don't know that I knew there
- 10 Q But did you learn at some point on the 12th that
 11 you were going to re-interview or re-interrogate
 12 Brendan Dassey on the 13th?

was a specific interview being done.

13 | A Yes.

9

22

- 14 Q And who told you that?
- I believe me and Agent Fassbender had phone contact.

 Um, as you note on the -- or as I will note on the
 e-mail, it -- it was sent at 9:19 p.m. on the 12th,
 and I would not have been in the office at that time
 and don't have capabilities of retrieving e-mail. So
 I'm assuming Tom and I -- Agent Fassbender and I had
 phone conversations about that.
 - Q Do you know with whom you had those phone conversations?
- 24 A I just -- as I indicated, I think -- I would assume
 25 that Agent Fassbender and I had phone conversations.

Q	Do you know how Agent Fassbender learned of the
	interview on the 12th of May?
	ATTORNEY FALLON: Ob objection. The
	e-mail speaks for itself. Secondly, it calls for
	hearsay. And, third, it's irrelevant.
	THE COURT: Sustained.
Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, when you learned
	of you did learn that you were going to be
	tasked to conduct an a another interview or
	interrogation of Brendan Dassey on the 13th;
	isn't that right?
A	Yes.
Q	At the Sheboygan County Jail?
A	That's correct.
Q	And did you go to the Sheboygan County Jail on
	the 13th to conduct that interview?
A	I did.
Q	And that was in the early morning of of the
	13th of May, 2006?
A	In the morning hours, yes.
Q	All right. And when you went there, um, what was
	your understanding of the purpose of the
	interview?
A	My understanding was that Brendan wished to speak
	with us.
	A Q A Q A Q

- 1 | Q And who told you that?
- 2 A I assume I learned it from Agent Fassbender. I don't
- 3 know.
- 4 Q Did -- were you told what Brendan wanted to speak
- 5 to you about?
- 6 A My understanding as part of a -- plea negotiations
- 7 that he wanted to talk to us about the case.
- 8 | Q Again, I don't mean to sort of be repetitive,
- 9 but, I mean, who -- who mentioned the word plea
- 10 negotiations?
- 11 A Well, I was aware that -- that there were plea
- negotiations going on all along. I was aware of
- 13 that. And -- pard me -- during my conversations
- sometime with Mr. Kratz, I was aware that there were
- 15 | plea negotiations going on. And I knew that involved
- 16 Brendan.
- 17 | Q And did you think that this meeting with Brendan
- on the 13th was part of that process?
- 19 | A Yes.
- 20 | Q Now, when you got to -- and -- and before you
- 21 | went to that interview, did you know that Mr.
- 22 Kachinsky would not be present?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 | Q And you knew at that time that Mr. Kachinsky was
- 25 Brendan's lawyer; is this right?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q Um, did it concern you that Mr. Kachinsky would
- 3 not be present?
- 4 A Um, did it concern me? Um, I don't know how to
- 5 answer that. Was there some concern that he wasn't
- 6 going to be there? Yes. However, he was giving us
- 7 permission to do that.
- 8 Q Did you speak to him to get permission to do
- 9 that?
- 10 A Did I speak to him directly? No. I believe it was
- in a -- in the e-mail if I recall.
- 12 Q Okay. Um, were there any, um, ground rules or
- procedures that were put in place by anyone about
- 14 how the interview of Brendan Dassey should be
- 15 | conducted on the 13th of May?
- 16 A Just what's in the e-mail.
- 17 Q Okay. Nothing more?
- 18 A Not to my recollection, no.
- 19 | Q When you got to the Sheboygan County Jail on
- 20 | May 13, 2006, was Mr. O'Kelly there?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And prior to that time, had you spoken to
- 23 Mr. O'Kelly? And when I say prior to that time,
- I mean either in the evening hours of May 12 or
- 25 the early morning of May 13?

- A Not that I recall. First time I recall speaking was
 when we arrived at Sheboygan from my recollection.
- Q Okay. Did Mr. O'Kelly ever share with you any information that he received from Brendan, um, during his interview of Brendan, on May 12?
- 6 A I don't believe so, no.
- 7 Q Did he ask you whether he could share information 8 about that interview with you?
- 9 A I think the offer was there, but I don't believe he specifically asked us.
- 11 Q All right. And when he offered to share information, what did you say?
- A We didn't take any information from him. We had no knowledge of what that interview on the 12th, um, included.
- 16 Q Was there a reason why you didn't want
 17 information from him?
- A Well, at that point I believe it would be attorney/client privilege. I don't think we would be, um, privy to that information.
- 21 Q All right. But did Mr. O'Kelly offer you information?
- 23 A I know he offered to provide us with things. That I
 24 can tell you.
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A We did not accept anything from him.
- 2 | Q Okay. And then did -- did -- did Mr. O'Kelly say
- 3 that he -- he wished to monitor the
- 4 interrogation?
- 5 A He did.
- 6 | Q And did you make arrangements for him to monitor
- 7 the interrogation?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And did he monitor the interrogation through --
- 10 | with a -- through a -- a video screen outside of
- 11 the interrogation room?
- 12 A That's correct, yes.
- 13 Q Uh, what was your understanding about what, if
- anything, Mr. O'Kelly's role was there on -- on
- 15 | May 13?
- 16 | A I assume he was acting as an agent of Mr. Kachinsky
- 17 at that time.
- 18 Q All right. And -- and how was he to, if you
- 19 know, um, discharge his duty as an agent for
- 20 Mr. Kachinsky?
- 21 A I would have no idea.
- 22 | Q Did, um -- did you ever tell Mr. Kachinsky that
- 23 | if need be that he could interrupt the
- interrogation or the interview and stop it?
- 25 A Did I tell Mr. Kachinsky that?

- 1 Q I'm sorry. Mr. O'Kelly?
- 2 A No, not specifically.
- 3 Q Did that subject ever come up?
- 4 A Not to my recollection, no.
- 5 Q Did Mr. O'Kelly ever, in fact, interrupt that
- 6 interview?
- 7 A There was a point where somebody handed in a picture
- 8 in the room. Um, my recollection of it is I don't
- 9 know who it was. After reviewing the tape, I'm
- 10 assuming it was him. It was a ov -- it was a overall
- 11 photo.
- 12 | Q So he provided some -- some piece of physical
- evidence that you could use during the
- 14 | questioning of Mr. Dassey?
- 15 | A | I wouldn't say physical evidence, no. It was a
- photo -- an overall photo of the salvage yard, I
- believe. And if you watch the tape, I looked at it,
- put it down. That was it. Never used. Nothing.
- 19 Q Did -- did there come a time early in that
- interrogation, um, of Mr. Dassey that -- that you
- 21 and Investigator -- Special -- I'm sorry --
- 22 | Special Agent Fassbender became frustrated that
- you were not obtaining the information that you
- thought you were going to obtain?
- 25 A Any time you do interviews or interrogations, um,

- 1 they're frustrating, um, 'cause people don't want to
- 2 tell you things.
- 3 | Q Right.
- 4 | A It's against self-preservation, as you know, for them
- 5 to admit things that they've done.
- 6 Q Right.
- 7 A And, of course, that was the case here.
- 8 | Q Okay. And at some point did you step out of the
- 9 interrogation room because you were not getting
- 10 | the information you wanted?
- 11 A No. We stepped out to take a break like we always
- do. Had nothing to do with getting information or
- 13 | not getting information.
- 14 Q Okay. Um, didn't you express some frustration
- with -- with Brendan before you stepped out?
- 16 A Could have. I -- I don't know.
- 17 Q Um, when you stepped out, did you have a
- conversation with Mr. O'Kelly?
- 19 A There was a very short conversation, yes.
- 20 | Q Did he make a suggestion about, um, perhaps you
- changing positions with Special Agent Fassbender?
- 22 A Yes. He indicated that Brendan liked me more than he
- 23 | liked Agent Fassbender.
- 24 | Q And so what -- what else did he say?
- 25 A That was it to my recollection.

1	Q	And then did you take any action in response to
2	!	Mr. O'Kelly's observation?
3	A	When we re-entered the interview room, we switched
4		places. I was closer to Brendan at that time.
- 5	Ω	Okay. Did that seem to work better?
6	A ·	I don't think it had any effect.
7	Q	Okay. Now, um, I'd like to show you couple of
8		clips of that 5/13 interview. And, by the way, I
9	!	neglected to say before we started that we want
10	ļ	to confine your testimony to your involvement in
11		the investigation just through the 13th of May,
12	·	okay?
13	А	I understand.
14	Q	Okay.
15		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Um, now, if I could
16		get, um, clip ten on page 22 of Exhibit 315?
17		ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, just so
18		we're clear, is there any reason to confine this
19		witness' testimony to the events of May 13? Is
20		there somehow some privileged matter here that we're
21		not aware of?
22		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge
23		THE COURT: I have no idea.
24		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I it was just

just to cover the -- the issues that we've

1		discussed before. Nothing more.
2		THE COURT: What page?
.3		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I'm sorry. Page 22 of
4		Exhibit 315.
5	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Will you take a look at
6		this, please?
7		(Unintelligible.)
8		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Wait. Could you
9		start I'm sorry. We could you start again?
10		Just hold on a second. I just want to make sure.
11		THE WITNESS: I mean, I can watch it.
12	 	That's fine.
13		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Yeah. I I just
14		wanted to get Josh out of the let's start again.
15		We're at clip ten. I'm sorry.
16	l	(Unintelligible.) "tell your mom
17	İ	about this."
18	!	(Inaudible.)
19		(Unintelligible.) "think you should
20	1	call her and tell her?"
21		"Yeah."
22		(Unintelligible.) "Do that probably
23		tonight."
24		(Unintelligible.)
25	•	"Don't you think she has the right to

....3

1	know?"
2	"Yeah."
3	"Okay. I think she'd like to hear it
4	coming from you rather than from me."
5	"And if she has any questions"
6	(unintelligible) "seeing her tomorrow."
7	"She's coming here tomorrow? Then maybe
8	it would be a good idea to call her and tell her
9	before she gets here. That's what I would do.
10	Otherwise, she's going to be really mad here"
11	(unintelligible) "get her on the phone"
12	(unintelligible) "suggestion."
13	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay. Could you
14	play, um Alex, could you play clip 17 on page
15	23?
16	(Unintelligible.) "mentioned talking
17	to your mom about this" (unintelligible)
18	" okay? If you're truly sorry to the
19	Halbachs" (unintelligible) "you tell your
20	mother the truth about this, okay? Can you do
21	that?"
22	"Yeah."
23	"When are you going to do that?"
24	"Tonight."
25 İ	"Probably be a good idea before we tell

• 1 That'd be the right thing to do. Your mom 2 deserves to know. Okay?" 3 (Unintelligible.) 4 (By Attorney Geraghty) Investigator Wiegert, do Q 5 you remember, um, telling or suggesting to 6 Brendan that -- that he call his mother about 7 what he had just told you? 8 Α I do, yes. 9 All right. And at the time that you told her 10 to -- or you suggested to Brendan that he do 11 that, you knew that the calls from the jail to 12 non-lawyers or family members were recorded, 13 didn't you? 14 Α Yes. 15 Um, and you knew that if Brendan, in fact, made a 16 call to his mother, as you had suggested, that, 17 um -- that the content of any conversation that 18 he had would not be protected by an 19 attorney/client privilege; is that right? 20 That's true. 21 And it also would not be subject to, so far as 22 you knew, any claim of coercion or improper 23 tactics by the police? 24 Α No.

25

No, what?

- 1 A No, I think that would have been fine.
- 2 Q Okay. And you wanted, um, Brendan to call his
- mother and to repeat what he had told you to his
- 4 mother; is that right?
- 5 A Yes, for several different reasons.
- 6 Q One of those reasons was that you knew that if he
- did that, um, the evidence flowing from that --
- 8 or that -- that conversation could be introduced
- 9 against him in court; is that right?
- 10 A No, it was not our thought at that time. Our thought
- 11 was we were dealing with Barb constantly. Barb would
- go back and forth. She would be on our side. She'd
- be with us to help us solve this crime. And then she
- 14 | would be mad at us. Things like that.
- Um, we wanted Barb's cooperation.
- 16 That's -- was the purpose of that.
- 17 Q Did you suggest to Brendan that, perhaps, if that
- was your objective, that he might ask his mother
- 19 to come to the jail and -- and to speak to her
- about that?
- 21 | A Did I ask Brendan to do that?
- 22 | 0 Yeah.
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q I mean, you suggested that there was some --
- 25 basically, what you said here was that, um,

1 unless he called her that night or -- that --2 that you would -- that you would tell her; isn't 3 that right? That's true, yes. 5 Okay. 6 And I would have. 7 Okay. And you weren't willing to -- to give 8 Brendan the time to actually call his mother in 9 and have sit -- sit-down conversation with her 10 about this? 11 Did I -- did I try to arrange that? 12 No, I didn't ask you whether you were -- tried to 13 arrange --14 Right. 15 -- it. But did you suggest to Brendan that maybe 16 the better route would be not to call his mother 17 but to ask his mother to come in and -- and talk 18 about it? 19 No, I didn't suggest to him that. 20 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, I have a --21 Q (By Attorney Geraghty) I just want to ask you 22 other -- one other question. Um, was anybody on

the district attorney's office, Mr. Kratz, or

anybody else, aware that you were going to ask

the -- on the prosecution team, any -- anybody in

23

24

1	}	Brendan to call his mother about this?
2	A	No.
3	Q	This was your idea?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And Agent Fassbender's idea?
6	A	I can speak to myself. It was my idea.
7	Q	Okay.
8		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, I have a
9		just one more section of this examination to do,
10		having to do with the with the content of
11		the the March 1 statement, which, uh, I I'd
12		like to ask you for a break, um, and then
13		continue on.
14		THE COURT: We'll take a 15-minute break.
15		(Recess had at 10:47 a.m.)
16		(Reconvened at 11:05 a.m.)
17		THE COURT: Back on the record.
18		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: May I proceed, Your
19	,	Honor?
20		THE COURT: You may.
21		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Thank you.
22	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Agent Wiegert, going back
23	:	to the interrogation on March 1, um, one of
24		things that you told Brendan Dassey before you
25		began to question him was one of the Miranda

- warnings, and that is, "Anything you say can and will be used against you in court." Do you remember telling him that?

 A Yes.
 - Q And there's some debate about where you said it?
 Whether it was in the car? In -- or at the
 police station? We'll get to that later. But
 you did say that to him; right?
- 9 A Yes.

6

7

8

- Okay. Now, you are aware that, um -- also, that one of the techniques that you referred to earlier for interrogation, um, is minimization; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Um, and also promises to help the person that 16 you're interviewing; is that correct?
- 17 | A Yes.

24

- Now, it, um -- those two techniques tend to run into conflict with the notion that anything you can and -- you say can and will be used against you in court. Isn't that -- there's some -- there's a -- there's a fine line there, isn't there?
 - ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection as to the theory and philosophy behind this. How is that

1		relevant to the interrogation as ef and its
2		effect on Mr. Dassey?
3		THE COURT: I'll take that as an objection
4		on grounds of
5		ATTORNEY FALLON: Relevance and
6		THE COURT: relevance.
7	ļ	ATTORNEY FALLON: materiality.
8		THE COURT: Sustained.
9 .		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right.
10	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) I'd like to show you a
11		a few clips from your 3/1, '06 interview with
12		Brendan Dassey.
13		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Um, Alex, could you
14		play clip 14 on page three.
15		THE WITNESS: What exhibit?
16		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: This is Exhibit 315.
17		"I think Mark and I both feel that maybe
18	•	there's some some more that you could tell us,
19		um, that you may have held back for whatever
20		reasons, and I want to assure you that Mark and I
21		both are in your corner. We're on your side."
22	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Did do you recall
23		Agent Fassbender saying that to Brendan Dassey
24		while you were present in that room?
25	A	I certainly do.

1 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Um, could you play 2 clip 16, please?

THE COURT: What page is that on?

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: This is on page three, Exhibit 315.

"As Mark and I looked at -- looked at the date, looked at the notes, and it's real obvious there's some places where some things were left out or maybe changed just a bit to -- to maybe looking at yourself to protect yourself a little.

Um, from what I'm seeing, even if I fill those in, I'm thinking you're all right. Okay? You don't have to worry about things. Um, we're there for ya, um, and -- and -- and we know what Steven did, and -- and..." (unintelligible)
"...of what happened to you when you did. We just need to hear the whole story from you. As soon as we get that, and comfortable with that, I think you're going to be a lot more comfortable with that. It's going to be a lot easier on you down the road if this goes to trial and stuff like that."

Q (By Attorney Geraghty) Okay. Now, you had previously told Mr. Dassey that "Anything you say

1 can and will be used against you in court." 2 that right? 3 Α Yes. And -- and you have also, I think, testified 4 5 that, um, Mr. Fassbender said what is portrayed 6 in clip 14, and that you said what is portrayed 7 in clip 17, on page three of Exhibit 315; is that 8 right? 9 Yes. 10 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Um, could you play 11 clip 17, please? 12 ATTORNEY FALLON: Your Honor, is there a 13 point to playing the clip? I mean, could the 14 witness just read the transcript and then Counsel 15 could ask a question? We could move this along. 16 THE COURT: That would help. Do you have 17 any objection to that? 18 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I don't have any 19. objection to that. I can do that. 20 (By Attorney Geraghty) Um, page three, Exhibit 21 315, um, Investigator Wiegert? 22 Α Yes, I'm there. 23 Okay. Let me refer you to -- to, um, clip No. 17 24 on page three. You see that?

25

Α

I do.

1 Q Um, you say, "Honesty here, Brendan, is the only
2 thing that's going to help you."

THE COURT: The word "only" -- "only" isn't in there.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I'm sorry.

Q (By Attorney Geraghty) "Honesty there, Bren -here, Brendan, is the thing that's going to help
you. Okay. No matter what you did, we can work
through that. Okay? We can't make any promises,
but we'll stand behind you no matter what you
did. Okay? Because you're being the good guy
here. You're the one that's saying, you know
what? Maybe I made some mistake, but here's what
I did.

The other guy involved in this doesn't want to help himself. All he wants to do is blame everybody else. Okay? And by you talking with us, it's helping you. Okay? Because the honest person is the one who's going to -- gonna get a better deal out of everything. You know how that works."

And that's you speaking; is that correct?

A Yes.

25 Q Okay. And then Brendan says, "Um-hmm." Is that

1	-	correct?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And then you go on and say this is,
4		again, clip 17, page three, Exhibit 315:
5		"You know, honesty is the only thing
6		that will set you free; right? And we know.
7		Like Tom said, we know. We reviewed those tapes.
8		We know there's some things you left out and we
9		know there's some things that maybe weren't quite
10		correct you told us; okay?
11		We've done we've been investigating
12	 	this a long time. We pretty much know
13		everything. That's why we're talking to you
14		again today.
15	ļ	We really need to you to be honest
16		this time with a with everything; okay? If,
17	:	in fact, you did something, which we believe,
18		some things may have happened that you don't want
19		to tell us. It's okay. As long as you can as
20		long as you can be honest with us, it's okay. If
21		you lie, that's going to be problems."
22		Did you say that to Brendan Dassey on
23		March 1?
24	A	Absolutely. Yes.
25	Q	Um, now, when you said in clip 17 at the bottom

1		of page three of Exhibit 315, "We pretty much
2		know everything. That's why we're talking to you
3	<u> </u>	again today," there were some things that you
4		didn't know; is that correct?
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	So that wasn't entirely an honest statement; is
7		that right?
8	A	That is correct.
9	Q	That was a that was not true?
10	A	Parts of it were not true.
11	Q	Okay.
12	A	Yep.
13	Q	And then going on to clip 18 on page four of
14		Exhibit 315, you say: "Come on Brendan." You
15	 	got that? I'm sorry.
16	A	Yes, I do.
17	Q	Okay.
18		"Come on, Brendan, be honest. I told
19		you before that's the only thing that's going to
20		help ya here. We already know what happened
21		okay?"
22		You didn't already know everything that
23		happened, did you?
24	A .	Nope.

So that was not true?

A	That's correct.
Q	And then, um, on page four of Exhibit 315, clip
	20, you ask Brendan:
	"Did you help him?"
	Brendan says: "No."
	You say: "Let's be honest here,
	Brendan. If you helped him, it's okay, because
	he was telling you to do it. You didn't do it on
	your own."
	Is that what you said to Brendan?
A	Yes.
Q	Okay. And, um, you don't have any problem
	stating in court today that that's not
] [.	inconsistent with your Miranda warning to Brendan
	that anything you say can and will be used
ļ	against you in court?
	ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Whether it's
	consistent or inconsistent is not relevant to the
	ultimate determination here.
	THE COURT: The Court's going to sustain
	the objection.
	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Well, Judge, just
	for the record, uh, may I just reply briefly to
	that?
	Q

THE COURT:

Go ahead.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I'm sorry. I know you've ruled. But, um, you know, the point here, I think, is that he's given *Miranda* warnings on the one hand, and told that, uh, to the ex -- that anything you say can and will be used against you, and then he's told precisely the opposite during the course of the interrogation.

It goes to the question of whether the officers have, um, undermined the -- the *Miranda* warning that they give him initially by telling him nothing that he says during this interrogation will, in fact, be held against him.

THE COURT: I understand that to be your argument.

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay. Thank you, Judge.

- Q (By Attorney Geraghty) And just one further example of this, Investigator Wiegert, um, this is page five, Exhibit 315, clip 29. You got that?
- "What happens next? Remember, we already know
 but we need to hear it from you. It's okay.

 It's not your fault. What happened -- what
 happens next?"

1		Did you say that to Brendan Dassey?
2	A	I did.
3	Q	Now, I believe there was some discussion about,
4		um, later on whether, you know, how we know what
5	!	Brendan actually perceived about the nature of
6		the interrogation and the things that you had
7		said to him with respect to what we will call or
8		that fall in the category of minimization or
9		promises. Um, I'd like to ask you to take a a
10		look at clip 33 on page five?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay?
13	A	Um-hmm.
14	Q	And, um, here you say to him or Brendan says
15		to you:
16		"I got a question, though."
17		And you say: "Sure."
18		And Brendan says: "How long is this
19		going to take?"
20		And you say: "It shouldn't take a whole
21		lot longer."
22		And Brendan says: "Do you think I can
23		can get there before 1:29?"
24		And do do you remember that he was
25		referring to get back to school?

1	A	I believe that's true.
2	Q	Okay.
3		And you say, um, "Probably not."
4		And Brendan says: "Oh."
5		"What's at 1:29?"
6		And Brendan says: "Well, I have a
7		project due at sixth hour."
8		Do you recall that conversation?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And, um, did that suggest to you that Brendan
11		understood what you had said with respect to
12		"it's okay" to mean that he was not incriminating
13		himself?
14		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection as to what
15		meaning or what effect those statements had on the
16		defendant. That calls for speculation.
17		THE COURT: Sustained.
18	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) I'd like to direct your
19	!	attention to clip 35 on page six.
20		Mr Investigator Fassbender says:
21		"It's going to be just a bit; okay?"
22		And Brendan Dassey says: "Am I going to
23	•	be at school before school ends?"
24		And Investigator Fassbender says:
25		"Probably not. I mean, we're at 2:30 already.

what have you. Unless Mr. Dassey's going to tell

1		us what he was wondering, thinking, and being
2		surprised, this would call for speculation on
3		this witness' part.
4		THE COURT: Sustained.
5		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right.
6	Q .	(By Attorney Geraghty) Well, isn't it a fact
7		that Brendan in clip 35 says:
8 .		"Am I going to be at school before
9		school ends?"
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	He did say that to you, didn't he?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Now, I would like to show you
14	:	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: And, then, Judge, I
15		think this would be the quickest way to do this,
16	·	um, on page eight and nine, clips seven through
17		sixteen.
18		ATTORNEY FALLON: This is on the revised
19		exhibit, Counsel?
20		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Yes. So, Alex, would
21		you play that?
22		(Unintelligible.) "stab"
23		(Unintelligible) "stomach."
24		"What else did he do to her?
25		(Unintelligible)something else. We know

Т	tnat."
2	(Unintelligible) "she he tied her
3	up" (unintelligible.)
4	"We know he did something else to her
5	her. What else did he do to her?"
6	"He (unintelligible)her."
7	"What else did he do to her? We know
8	something else was done. Tell us. Or what else
9	did you do? Come on. Something with the head?
10	Brendan? What (unintelligible) he
11	(unintelligible) do, Brendan?"
12	"We know he made you do something else."
13	"What was it? What was it? We have the
14	evidence, Brendan. We just need you to be hones
15	with us."
16	"That he cut off her hair."
17	"What else was done to her head?"
18	"That he punched her."
19	"What else? What else? He made you do
20	something to her, didn't he? So he would feel
21	better about not being the only person; right?"
22	"Yeah."
23	"What did he make you do to her? What
24	did he make you do, Brendan? It's okay. What
25	did he make you do?"

1		"Cut her."
2		"Cut her where?"
. 3		"On her throat."
4		"What else happens to her? Extremely,
5		extremely important for you to tell us this for
6		us to believe you. Come on, Brendan, what else?
7		We know. We just need you to tell us."
. 8		"That's all I can remember."
9		"All right. I'm just going to come out
10		and ask you, who shot her in the head?"
11		"He did."
12		"Why didn't you tell us that?"
13		"Because I didn't think of it."
14		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Why don't we stop
15		there.
16	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Investigator Wiegert,
17		were those questions that you and Agent
18		Fassbender asked Brendan Dassey on March 1, 2006?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And were those his responses?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And at that time you you did have some
23		information, or suspected, that that Teresa
24		Halbach had been shot in the head; is that right?
25	A	That's correct.

1	Q	And you wanted Brendan Dassey to tell you that he
2		knew that that had happened; is that correct?
3	A	I wanted Brendan to tell us the truth.
4	Ω	You suggested you finally, at clip 11,
5	<u> </u> -	suggested the answer to the question, didn't you?
6	A	I suggested that she was shot in the head.
7		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Nothing further,
8		Judge.
9		ATTORNEY FALLON: Can we
10		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I guess we have some
11		exhibits to move in, Judge. We can do that.
12		ATTORNEY TEPFER: At this time, Your
13		Honor, we seek admission of Exhibit 91, which is
14		a February 28 police report.
15		Um, Exhibit 363, which I believe was
16		reserved when we tried to admit it previously
17		until the testimony of Investigator Wiegert or
18		or Fassbender. We want to wait until then.
19		Um, and then we also seek admission of
20		Exhibit 69 and Exhibit 211, which is the 5/13
21		video and transcript.
22		Also, this might also be a good time to
23		offer a suggestion, which was something that was
24		not admitted yesterday, regarding Exhibit 97,
25		which is the May 12 transcript of Mr. O'Kelly's

1	interrogation of Brendan Dassey. Um, there were
2	some problems with the transcript. The Court
3	refused to admit it, which, like, say have a
4	transcript admitted, if the court reporter wants
5	to transcribe it, and there's an agreement from
6	Court, or if State wants to correct any of the
7	problems that they see with it so it can be
8	admitted as part of the these court proceedings,
9	we're fine with that. Um, but we can handle
10	those one at a time I guess.
11	THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 91?
12	ATTORNEY FALLON: That's the the police
13	report showing, uh, they'd received the lab report;
14	correct?
15	ATTORNEY TEPFER: Correct.
16	ATTORNEY FALLON: We have no objection to
17	that.
18	THE COURT: All right. That's received.
19	ATTORNEY FALLON: Exhibit 363 is Agent
20	Fassbender's report?
21	THE COURT: Correct.
22	ATTORNEY FALLON: Uh, well, all this
23	witness was asked if that refreshed his
24	recollection, so there's no additional foundation

for its admission at this time. Agent Fassbender

1	can verify it, and then we'll have no objection,
2	I suspect.
3	Um, with respect to 69, is the DVD of
4	the May 13 interview?
5	ATTORNEY TEPFER: Correct.
6	ATTORNEY FALLON: And 211 is the transcript
7	from the State's materials related to that DVD; is
8	it that correct?
9	ATTORNEY TEPFER: Correct.
10	ATTORNEY FALLON: Subject to our our
11	objection already as to its relevance and
12	materiality, um, I don't have any additional
13	objection beyond that. So we don't have a problem,
14	necessarily, with that, at least with respect to the
15	part the clips that were played relative to the
16	phone call. But doesn't really matter.
17	THE COURT: I isn't most of 69 already
18	in the record?
19	ATTORNEY FALLON: I I think it might be.
20	So
21	ATTORNEY TEPFER: I think so.
22 .	THE COURT: Not in the post-conviction
23	record but
24	ATTORNEY TEPFER: The trial?
25	ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. The trial

1	record.
2	THE COURT: Yeah. I think we
3	ATTORNEY TEPFER: I don't think so. Why
4	would it be. I don't
5	THE COURT: Well, at the trial, we played
6	the entirety of the March 1
7	ATTORNEY TEPFER: This is the May 13
8	ATTORNEY FALLON: Thought this was the
9	May 13. But there was a a motion hearing on
10	that. It was never played, but I believe the
11	Court had
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	ATTORNEY FALLON: access to that DVD.
14	So for purposes of completing the record
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	ATTORNEY FALLON: you can have it.
17	THE COURT: Those are received then.
18	ATTORNEY FALLON: All right. Now, with
19	respect to Exhibit 97, they're the proponent of
20	this evidence.
21	Again, we strongly object since the
22	State never had access, knew about it, or had
23	anything to do with it, uh, and didn't receive
24	our copies until a few weeks ago.

Uh, I guess I would object to making the

court reporter sit and listen to a -- a DVD and come up with a transcript.

And we're certainly not the proponents of the evidence, so we're not required to develop a transcript.

And, third, consistent with the State's objections, it's all irrelevant and immaterial as it relates to the evidence which was introduced at the trial which resulted in the conviction.

So...

THE COURT: I'll withhold ruling on 97, but I'll give the defense an opportunity to provide a clean transcript. And, uh, even in the post -- in the post-hearing phase, I'll take a look at it, and if the transcript tracks the -- tracks the video, then -- then it'll be admitted.

ATTORNEY FALLON: All right.

ATTORNEY TEPFER: Thank you, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Judge, just a point of clarification on 97. When we were going through that transcript, was it your understanding that the wording of the transcript was accurate but that the parentheticals were inaccurate, or -- or was it both that --

THE COURT: Well --

11.

ATTORNEY DRIZIN: -- there were problems with?

THE COURT: It was my understanding that a number of the words either were not picked up in the transcript or a wrong word was there in the transcript.

Mr. Fallon made the objection that the parentheticals didn't reflect what was happening on the screen.

I -- I suppose that -- that it's a fair objection, but if the -- if the video's in there, the -- the -- the viewer can make that determination.

I -- I don't mind the video coming in, because, um, it's -- I know it's two hours and, I believe, 39 minutes. So I'm sure there was lots of time down or dead time eliminated, but the reality is if you want the true meaning and understand the context of that interview, I think you need the time space. I think it need -- needs to be parked there. That's why I have no objection to the DVD.

But I do have some questions regarding the -- the transcripts. If they want to put in there's a pause, or we sped up six minutes ahead

1	or something, and that turns out to be accurate,
2	then I suppose the transcript is fine. But
3	I'm I think all of that makes questions to the
4	authenticity.
5	THE COURT: All right. Do we have an
6	understanding on that now?
7	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: I I think so. Yes.
8	ATTORNEY TEPFER: I think so, yes.
9	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: We will we will
10	submit a revised transcript
11	THE COURT: Sure.
12	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: and we'll go from
13	there.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Fallon.
15	ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Briefly. Thank
16	you.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY ATTORNEY FALLON:
19	Q Let's go in reverse order, um, Sergeant. Were
20	the the clips that they just played, um, in
21	Exhibit 315, did they follow, in your
22	recollection, of strict chronological sequence,
23	or were they actually excerpts of a much larger
24	transcript?
25	A They're excerpts of a much larger transcript.

All right. Um, as a law enforcement officer, if

a -- if an -- if a interview session starts out

non-custodial, and at some point during the, um,

interview, it becomes clear to you, the officer,

that it's now shifting into an interrogation

mode, are you required to advise the suspect of

this and Mirandize them?

Q

ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Jud -- Jud -- you know, for the record, Judge, a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Well, it's the practice of the -- the officer, and you raised it in your question. I'll overrule the objection. He can answer if he knows.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any requirement to notify them of that. Not to mention he was already Mirandized prior to that.

(By Attorney Fallon) Uh, Counsel asked you about, um -- and you believed -- in his -- in response to his question that it was happenstance that you walked back into the room while the defendant was speaking with his mother, you indicated there was, I think, lots of stuff going on.

Could you tell us what yourself and
Agent Fassbender were doing during the break, um,

that Counsel questioned you about?

A In my recollection of it was that we were on the phone with, um, other investigators who were trying to secure a search warrant for the Avery property again. We were going to do another search warrant. We had already planned on doing another search warrant, and then this new information came out during this interview that we thought pertinent to have in that search warrant.

So we were on the phone with other investigators and the district attorney staff. And they were securing that search warrant. There was no intent for us to come in at any specific time. Back into the room.

- So what type of -- what new information, if you can recall at this particular point -- and I realize it's been three-plus years -- but what -- what was the new information that you were relaying to the officers as they were preparing an -- an additional search warrant?
- A Well, one thing that sticks out in my mind -- and, again, there were probably several of them -- the one thing that sticks out is, um, Brendan telling us -- giving us the information that he was -- correction -- that Teresa was shot in the garage.

So we knew we had to look for some 1 2 evidence in the garage, bullet fragments, things 3 like that, which we didn't have yet to this point until we secured that search warrant and were 4 5 found later. 6 Any recollection as to how many phone calls that you made during that break period? 7 But if you watch the interview, itself, my phone Α 9 is ringing two, three, four times, 'cause they're wanting to know what's taking us so long, 'cause 10 11 they're ready to go with the search warrant. 12 And I -- at one point, if you remember, in the interview -- I say, don't sign it, don't 13 14 serve it, and I hang up again 'cause I don't want 15 to affect the interview. I don't want them not 16 to get that search warrant finished and signed by

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there's a lot of information that we had to get to them.

a judge until they have our new information.

Q So the process was you're trying to incorporate what would -- what you believe was credible, and give that to the officers so they -- so they could incorporate it in the warrant?

- That's correct. Yes. Α
- Q All right. Um, if you had believed -- I'm

1 directing your attention, briefly, to the 27th of 2 February. If you'd believed Brendan Dassey to be 3 a ~- a murder suspect, would you allow -- would 4 you have allowed him to ride to the police 5 station with his mother? 6 I don't know any murder suspect that's allowed to 7 have their mother come along with them in the car 8 regardless of age. I'm sorry. 9 Um, in Wisconsin, are you legally required to, 10 um -- as they are in New York -- to advise the 11 parents and get their permission to interview? 12 No, we're not. 13 But, yet, you did that in this case. 14 We did. 'Cause we thought it was the right thing to 15 do. 16 Oh. Last question. Counsel asked you, um, some 17 questions about why you suggested to Brendan that 18 he let his mother know that he had given you guys 19 another statement on May 13? 20 Α Yes. 21 You said there were lots of reasons. 22 your reasoning or your thinking regarding that. 23 Well, we had a lot, a lot of contact with Brendan's 24 mother, Barb, in regards to information about this

case, in regards to plea bargaining.

_		we were aware char, pastcarry, the
2		family, Barb included, and a lot of the other
3		Avery family members, were suggesting to Brendan
4 .		not to take a plea deal. And we thought it
5		pertinent that she know what he had said that
6		this had happened. To push that along. So they
7		would know the truth.
8	Q	Did you believe that she had, um, a fair amount
9		of influence over Brendan in his ultimate
LO		decision what to do in this case?
L 1	A	Absolutely. I mean, we monitored phone calls where
L2	•	she was telling him what to do. Not to take a plea
L3		deal. Or to do this or to do that. As well as other
L 4		family members.
L5	Q	All right. And so was it out of some sense of
16		fairness that that you thought that she should
L7		know as soon as possible as to what her son may
18		or may not do with respect to a plea?
L 9	А	Yes.
20	Q	Any other reasons?
21	А	No. Nothing.
22		ATTORNEY FALLON: That's all.
23		THE COURT: Redirect?
24		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY A	TTORNEY GERAGHTY:

- 1 Investigator, present of a -- presence of a 2 parent or guardian is -- is one factor that 3 you're trained to take into consideration in 4 considering how to conduct an interview of a 5 juvenile; isn't that right? Into consideration, yes.
- 6
- 7 Okay. Um, and going to the time just prior to 8 which you came into the interrogation room on 9 March 1 when Brendan and his mother were there, 10 do you recall that --
- 11 Ά Yes.
- 12 -- event? You say that you were busy with a -- a 13 search warrant; is that correct?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 But there was a monitor in the room where you 16 were working on that search warrant; correct?
- 17 Α No. Wrong.
- 18 All right. So you had gone to a different room?
- 19 Yes.
- 20 You -- you did not recall that?
- 21 I recalled speaking with other detectives and people
- 22 from Mr. Kratz's office in another detective's
- 23 office, which was adjacent from where we were
- 24 conducting the interview.
- 25 Q Okay. So now -- so you -- you left that room and

1 you went to a room where you could not see the 2 monitor? Is that your testimony? 3 A Well, what I'm saying is, is I remember making 4 those phone calls. Um, the exact timeframe of those 5 phone calls and things, I -- I couldn't tell you 6 that. 7 All right. And you can't tell me now, as you sit Q 8 there, whether you were watching the monitor 9 while Brendan was with his -- with his mother or 10 whether you weren't? 11 No, I couldn't tell you that. I know there was a lot Α 12 of things going on. 13 0 Okay. And one of those things could have been 14 watching the monitor? 15 Could have been, but I don't believe that to be true. 16 All right. Um, now, in terms of -- just back to 17 this question of the phone call on -- or 18 suggesting the phone call on May 13, um, you 19 suggested a specific way that Brendan get in 20 touch with his mother to tell his mother what he 21 had told you; is that correct?

And you didn't -- and you suggested to Brendan

that Brendan tell his mother what he told you; is

142

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

that right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q And you didn't suggest that Brendan discuss with
- 3 his mother, um, the possibility, or the
- desirability, or advisability of pleading guilty
- 5 and testifying against Steven Avery, did you?
- 6 A Did I tell him to discuss that with his mother?
- 7 0 Yes.

8 A No, I didn't.

research.

- ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Nothing further.
- 10 ATTORNEY FALLON: Nothing.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
- 12 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 ATTORNEY TEPFER: If I may, Your Honor,
- um, if we can make an offer of proof, um, to the
- extent that we were limited from -- to going into
- the February 27, um, on direct, the February 27
- circumstances of that statement, um, on the
- 18 break, one of our co-counsel did some legal
- 19
- 20 | We just think, um, Jerrell J. stands for
- 21 the proposition that under the totality of the
- 22 circumstances surrounding confession, the mental
- state of the offender, is relevant as part of the
- 24 interrogation process, and it's weighed against
- 25 the interrogation process as used -- the

interrogation tactics used by the State to the extent that the argument or the objection was sustained for the reasons that anything outside of the interrogation room is not relevant.

We think it is relevant to the totality of the circumstances, especially the mental state of the offender and what he was told in previous confessions.

We think Harrell, also --

H-a-r-r-e-l-l -- 40 Wis. 2d 536, stands for that proposition where the confession is tain -- obtained after the, um -- the offender viewed the corpse of a murder victim, um, that was relevant in the determination of the subsequent interrogation being found involuntary.

Um, Kiekhifer is another case we're relying on, K-i-e-k-h-i-f-e-r, 2-1-2 Wis. 2d 460, where there was two separate interrogations and both of them were considered in the voluntariness of both the Miranda waiver as well as the voluntariness of the confession.

Finally, we just like -- also like to point out, as we mentioned in our memorandum of law, we think the 2/27 statements -- the interrogation and the statements -- are relevant,

um, under the rule -- are -- are admissible under the rule of completeness. They're also admissible because we're offering them not for hearpay -- say purpose, not for the truth of the matter asserted but, rather, of the effect on Brendan and, as well, again, as the interrogation tactics used.

I'd just like to further supplement this offer of proof. A lot of this arguments are raised in our memorandum of law that supports our petition. So we just use that as an offer of proof.

THE COURT: Court receives it as an offer of proof. Any response, Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, I am familiar with the three cases cited by Counsel and the circumstances. And I'd invite the Court to look at them to refresh recollection if they don't immediately come to your mind. But the circumstances in those cases are vastly different than the circumstances here.

The rule of completeness has no bearing on this case whatsoever, uh, as it pertains to these statements since they're all individual segments. So they're not, uh, part of one grand

1	interview scheme that took three days to
2	complete. So the rule of completeness doesn't
3	apply.
4	And I I think the actual transcript
5	may very well be already in evidence, I could be
6	wrong, on the 2/27 interview.
7	And our objection remains as previously
8	noted that
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	ATTORNEY FALLON: its relevance is
11	debatable.
12	THE COURT: Offer of proof is received.
13	Next witness.
14	ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, the
15	defense would call Barb Tadych.
16	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Tadych, come on
17	up here, please. Just remain standing while the
18	oath is administered to you, then be seated.
19	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
20	BARBARA TADYCH,
21	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
22	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
24	your name and spell your last name for the record.
25	THE WITNESS: Barbara Tadych,

1		T-a-d-y-c-h.
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY A	TTORNEY NIRIDER:
4	Q	Morning, Barb.
5	A	Morning.
6	Q	Can you tell us how you are related to Brendan
7		Dassey?
8	A	He's my son.
9	Q	How would you describe the relationship that you
10		have with Brendan now?
11	A	We're still close as we always were.
12	Q	Can you describe your son's personality for the
13		Court?
14	А	He's shy. Quiet. Likes to be by himself.
15	Ď	Is Brendan the kind of kid who would go along
16		with what others say pretty easily?
17	А	Oh, yes.
18	Q	How would you describe his intelligence level?
19	А	He's slow. He's got a learning disability.
20	Q	Okay. Barb, on October 31, 2005, where were you
21	:	living?
22	А	In my house on the Avery property.
23	Q	Who lived with you in your home there?
24	А	It was me and my four kids; Brian, Bobby, Blaine, and
25		Brendan.

- 1 Q And how long have the five of you lived right 2 there near the salvage yard?
- 3 A Since 2001.
- 4 Q Do you know if Brendan spent a lot of time at the
- 5 salvage yard?
- 6 A Lots of times.
- 7 | Q Do you know what he'd do down there?
- 8 A Um, he'd smash cans for my dad. Um, ride the golf
- 9 cart around the yard. Go swimming in the swimming
- 10 hole.
- 11 Q And who else lived right there near the salvage
- 12 yard?
- 13 | A Um, my brother Chuck, my mom and dad, and Steven.
- 14 | Q Barb, was your family close?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Would you talk often with your family member?
- 17 A Almost every day.
- 18 Q And before Steven was arrested, um, in connection
- 19 with this case, had you ever been over to
- 20 Steven's trailer with Brendan?
- 21 A Lots of times.
- 22 | Q Okay, Barb. I'd like to talk about the time
- period in early November, 2005, immediately after
- 24 Teresa Halbach disappeared. When did you become
- aware that Ms. Halbach had gone missing?

1	A	It was in the beginning of November. Probably around
2		the third or fourth.
3	Q	And what did you hear?
4		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Irrelevant,
5		Judge, what this witness heard.
6		THE COURT: Overruled.
7	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) What did you hear, Barb?
8	А	Just that she was missing and that they were looking
9		for her.
10	Q	And where did you hear that?
11	A	The media or the news.
12	Q	And was that TV? Radio? Newspaper? Can you
13		tell us about the source?
14	A	Newspaper. TV. Radio.
15	Q	Um, Barb, over the next few months, from November
16		through February, did you continue to follow news
17		about the investigation?
18		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Irrelevant,
19		Judge.
20		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, I'm going to
21		tie this up. I've just got a few more foundational
22		questions.
23		ATTORNEY KRATZ: I don't care how many
24		questions she has, Judge, this is still
25.		irrelevant.

1 THE COURT: Let her -- let her see if she 2 can tie it up. Overruled. 3 Q (By Attorney Nirider) Did you continue to follow 4 news about the investigation from November to 5 February? 6 As much as I could, yeah. 7 Q How did you find out information about the 8 investigation? 9 Α Lots of times it was through the radio at work. ·10 Did you ever watch TV? 11 When I'd get home, yeah. 12 Q When you watched the news at home, is there any 13 particular station that you would watch? 14 Α Usually Channel 2 or Channel 11. 15 Any other stations? 16 The radio stations. 17 Was Brendan ever with you when you watched the 18 news about this investigation? 19 Lots of times. 20 Barb, do you have any specific recollection of 21 actually telling Brendan to watch the news? 22 When I'd get out of work, um, if I'd hear it at work, 23 I'd call home and tell him to turn the television on 24 so it would be on when I got there. 25

And why did you want the TV to be on when you got

Q

there? 1 2 Because I wanted to know what was going on. 3 Okay. And you -- you expected there to be a -- a 4 news story on? 5 Most of the times when it's on the radio, yes. 6 And why were you so interested in following this 7 particular news story, Barb? 'Cause it had to do with the area around where I live 9 and my family. Barb, is the TV on a lot, in general, at your 10 11 house? 12 Lots of times, yeah. Most of the time I should say. 13 So anyone who's home and who happened to be 14 passing by the TV might see it? 15 Yes. A 16 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Speculation, 17 Judge. If this is being offered in lieu of the 18 defendant's own testimony about contamination, the 19 State is going to interpose that objection as --20 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection as 21 to this question. 22 (By Attorney Nirider) Was Brendan at home a lot, 23 Barb? 24 All the time.

Barb, do you remember seeing any of your

	1	
1		siblings, or your parents, follow the news about
2		the investigation at the salvage yard?
3	A	Lots of times they did.
4	Q	Okay. Did you talk about the facts of the
5		investigation with any family members?
6		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection, Your Honor.
7		I'm going to ask that this be specifically
8		related, if it can be, to the defendant.
9		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, as I did
10		before, I'm going to tie this up.
11		THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
12		Overruled.
13	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Did you talk about the
14		fact of the investigation with any of your family
15		members?
16	A	Sometimes. Not all the time.
17	Q	About how often, would you say, you talked about
18		the investigation with your family?
19	A	Couple times a week.
20	Q	What kind what kinds of things would you talk
21		about with your family?
22	A	Things that they found.
23	Q	And when you Sorry. Go ahead.
24	A	Evidence that they found, and things that they'd say.
25	Q	And when you say, "evidence that they found,"

1 who's "they"? 2 A Whoever was down in the yard. 3 Was Bren -- was Brendan ever present during some 4 of these discussions? 5 A Plenty of times. Like I said, he never went 6 anywhere. He was always home. 7 And would you talk about the investigation with 0 Brendan? 9 Sometimes. Sometimes he was in his room playing his A 10 Nintendo games so he wasn't always right by me. 11 0 Sure. Did you and your sons eat dinner together, 12 Barb? 13 Yes. 14 Okay. During these family dinners, would you 15 ever talk about the investigation? 16 A Sometimes. Not all the time. 17 Q Barb, I'd like to move forward to the events of 18 February 27, 2006, um, which is the day that 19 Officers Wiegert and Fassbender first questioned Brendan. On that day, what time did the 20 21 investigators first contact you? 22 What day? 23 On February 27. Q

Um, it was around -- I'd have to say about two

24

25

o'clock.

- 1 Q How did they get in touch with you?
- 2 A They called me on my cell phone.
- 3 Q Do you remember which person it was? Which
- 4 investigator placed the call?
- 5 A If I think back, I'm sure it was Mark Wiegert.
- 6 Q And what did Mark tell you?
- 7 A Um, that I should come to school to pick up my son.
- 8 Q Did he tell you that Brendan had already given
- 9 them some statement having to do with Teresa
- 10 Halbach?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. Before you got that call, Barb, did you
- know that the officers had been questioning your
- 14 son already?
- 15 A No, I -- no, I didn't.
- 16 Q How old was Brendan at the time?
- 17 A Sixteen.
- 18 Q What time did you get to Brendan's school that
- 19 day?
- 20 A It was a little after three. I had to work until
- 21 three o'clock before I could leave.
- 22 Q What happened after you got to Brendan's school?
- 23 A We went into a room off the office, and they talked
- 24 to me a little bit, and then they brung Brendan in.
- Q Okay. And then where did you go from there?

1 A From there we went to the Two Rivers Police 2 Department. 3 And how did you get there? 4 In their vehicle. 5 And when you say "their vehicle"? Mark and Tom's vehicle. 7 Okay. What happened to your vehicle? It sat at the high school. 9 What happened after you arrived at the police 10 station? 11 We went in and they took Brendan into a room down at 12 the police station. 13 Did you try to join them? 14 I tried, but they more or less told me that it was in 15 my best interest to go wait in the waiting room 16 because they -- that Brendan was going to give them a 17 gruesome story. 18 Barb, did the officers tell you that you 19 shouldn't be in the room with Brendan? 20 A Yes. 21 How did you feel about not being able to be in 22 that room? 23 Upset. 24 So where were you, exactly, while Brendan was being questioned by the police at the Two Rivers 25

station? 1 2 In a waiting room. 3 How long were the investigators alone with Brendan? 5 A I'd say about an hour. Could you hear or see what was happening to him? 7 No. Okay. Moving on to March 1, 2006, which is the 8 9 day that Brendan told the police that he had been 10 involved in Teresa's death. Um, when did you 11 first talk to Officers Wiegert and Fassbender on 12 that day, March 1? 13 It was after I got out of court. Um, court for me 14 was at one. It must have been about 1:30, 2. 15 Was there something that morning? 16 Oh, yes. Um, they had called me and asked me if they 17 could take Brendan down to the Manitowoc Police -- or 18 the jail and question him some more. 19 Okay. And when they asked you that, what did you 20 say? 21 I told them, yeah, as long as they bring him back to 22 the high school. 23 Okay. Did they invite you to join them and Brendan at the sheriff's office? 24

25

A

No.

1	Q	Why did you think the investigators wanted to
2		bring Brendan to the sheriff's office?
3		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Speculative,
4		Judge.
5		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor
6		THE COURT: Sustained.
7	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Barb, did you at that
8		time, did you have any idea that the police were
9	,	going to accuse your son of murder?
10		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Same ob
11	100	THE WITNESS: No.
12		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Same objection, Judge.
13		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, that's not
14		speculation. I'm asking what was in her head at the
15		time.
16		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Okay. How about
17		irrelevant?
18		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: I think it's highly
19		THE COURT: Overruled. Hang on.
20		Overruled.
21	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) I'm sorry, did you did
22		you answer the question? Should I ask it again?
23	A	Yeah.
24	Q	Barb, did you have any idea that on March 1 the
25		officers were going to accuse your son of murder?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Would you have responded differently to their
3		request to question Brendan if you had known that
4		they were going to accuse him of murder?
5	A	Yes. I would have told them that I wanted to be
6		there.
7	Q	Barb, let's move forward now to the point in time
8		after Brendan was arrested. After he was
9		charged. Did you ever talk to Brendan about a
10		plea deal?
11	A	At one point in time, yes. I told him, "If you did
12		it, take a plea deal, and if you didn't, don't."
13	Q	Was that your consistent advice?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	From when Brendan was when from when
16		Brendan was arrested forward?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Finally, Barb, I just want to talk a little bit
19		about, um, also the time when Len Kachinsky was
20		Brendan's attorney. Uh, you testified at a
21		hearing; is that right?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	When you testified at that hearing, Barb, did you
24		understand what the purpose of the hearing was?

Not really.

25

A

	1	
1	Q	Did you understand what the purpose of you
2		testifying was?
3	A	Not really.
4	Q	Did Mr. Kachinsky do anything to prepare you to
5		testify?
6	A	No.
7		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: I have no further
8		questions, Your Honor. Oh, I'm sorry. One
9		moment. I'm sorry.
10	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Just to do a little
11		cleanup. From March 1, Barb, was there a reason
12		why you couldn't have been there for March 1?
1:3	A	Because I had a court date for my divorce.
14	Q	All right.
15		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: That's all.
16		THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, any questions?
17		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yeah. I just need a
18		second, Judge, to set up a a laptop. There's a
19		couple of clips that I want to play for this
20		witness. But I have no objection to getting this
21		done even before the lunch hour. It'll just take a
22		moment, Judge. I assume you want me to do that?
23		THE COURT: I do.
24		ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'll be right with you
25		then.

1	THE COURT: Is this going to work or should
2	we have you do this set it up over the lunch
3	hour?
4	ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, that would be
5	easier, but, um
6	ATTORNEY KRATZ: But it it's a
7	it's a ten-minute cross, Judge, so even well,
8	we can do that right after the lunch hour. It
9	should be
10	THE COURT: Let's do it then. We'll be
11	back at one.
12	(Recess had at 12:00 o'clock p.m.)
13	(Reconvened at 1:04 p.m.)
14	THE COURT: Let's go back on the record
15	with State of Wisconsin v. Brendan Dassey.
16	Anything we need to talk about before the cross
17	begins?
18	ATTORNEY FALLON: I don't think so,
19	Judge. We were just making sure that my list of
20	exhibits match theirs, and we'll confer with the
21	clerk when we're done. That's the reason for the
22	delay.
23	THE COURT: That's fine. Mr. Kratz.
24	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
25	Ms. Tadych.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2	BY	ATTORNEY	KRATZ:

- 3 Q Do you -- do you prefer to be called Ms. Tadych?
- 4 A It doesn't matter.
 - Q Well, I'll call you that, then, unless -- unless you'd like to be called something else. Mr. -- or I should say, um, you sat through the entire jury trial of your son's case; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q And during that jury trial, do you remember the
 11 State having played a very small portion of the
 12 telephone call that was made between your son
 13 Brendan and you on the 13th of May?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q I'm going to play that for you.

mem -- a matter of concern of the trial record earlier. Uh, we have recovered the actual, um, laptop that was used to play that particular clip. And in going through the scores, if not, hundreds, of clips that we have had set out for cross-examination purposes, we did find the clip that was played at the trial.

And so, uh, that will not only help the record, but I wanted to play that for Ms. Tadych

1		at this time. Remember, the Court was asking
2		what part of the 13th was played? And we have
3		now found that, Judge, so
4		THE COURT: Which part of the trial was
5		this played at?
6		ATTORNEY KRATZ: At the cross-examination.
7		Mr. Fallon played it during cross.
8		THE COURT: All right.
9		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Of Brendan Dassey,
10		Counsel?
11		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Yes. I'm I'm I'm
12		sorry. Yes.
13	Q	(By Attorney Kratz) So, first of all, Barb, or
14		Ms. Tadych, I'm going to I'm going to play
15		that clip. In fact, there's several of these I'm
16		going to play. And then I'm going to ask you
17		questions about them. Ask you to identify the
18		people speaking and that kind of thing, all
19		right?
20	· A	Okay.
21	Q	"Why did you even go over there, Brendan?"
22		"I don't know. But I I don't even
23		know how I'm going to do it in court though."
24		"What do you mean?"
25		"I ain't going to face them."

1			"Face who?"
2			"Steven."
3			"You know what, Brendan?"
4			"What?"
5			"I'm going to tell you something. He
6		did it.	You do what you got to do, okay?"
7			"Well, what happens if he gets pissed
8		off?"	
9			"What makes a difference? He ain't
10		going no	where now, is he?"
11		,	"No."
12			"Okay then. Why didn't you tell me
13		about th	is earlier? Huh?"
14			"I don't know."
15	Q	(By Atto	rney Kratz) That's actually the first of
16	7	two from	the 13th. Let me play the second one,
17		and then	I'll ask you the foundation questions,
18		all righ	t?
19			"Yeah."
20			"Yeah. When did you go over there?"
21			"Well, I went over earlier and then came
22		home bef	ore you did."
23			"Why didn't you say something to me
24		then?"	
25			"I don't know. I was too scared."

1		"You wouldn't of had to been scared
2		because I would have called 9-1-1 and you
3	1000	wouldn't have been going back over there. They
4		would have been here. Maybe she would have been
5		alive yet. (Unintelligible) "those
6		statements, you did all of that to her too?"
7		"Some of it."
8		"Did he make you do it?"
9		"Yeah."
10		First all, Ms. Tadych, do you recall
11		that phone call having been played at the trial?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	If you'd speak into the microphone, I I'd
14		appreciate it. And do you recognize the voices
15	,	on that phone call?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And are those the voices of you and your son
18		Brendan?
19	А	Yes, it is.
20	Q	And to the best of your recollection, um, if you
21		know, was that the phone call that was placed on
22		Saturday, the 13th of of May of 2006?
23	A	It could have been. I don't know what day it was.
24	Q	But you have a memory of that phone call; is that
25		right?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q Now, when Brendan indicated that he made me do
- it, um, who were you talking about? Because you
- 4 talked about a little bit earlier in the context
- 5 of the call.
- 6 A I think he was referring to Steven. Or I was
- 7 referring to Steven.
- 8 Q And Steven is your brother Steven Avery; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now, also, on the -- also on the -- the day of
- 12 trial, there was a clip -- a similar clip that
- was played from May 15, two days after this phone
- 14 call to Brendan. Do you recall that at the trial
- 15 as well?
- 16 A Not really.
- 17 Q It's a clip in which -- and let me just see if
- 18 this refreshes your recollection -- at the end of
- which you express an opinion that if Brendan
- 20 would have said something, Teresa would still be
- 21 alive and he would have been a hero. Do you
- 22 remember telling him that?
- 23 A I could have.
- 24 Q All right. I'm going to have you listen then to
- 25 the clip of May 15 and then I'm going to ask you

1		some questions about that.
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	"If, um "
4		"Can I ask you a question? Why did you
5		even go over there?"
6		"I don't know."
7		"Why didn't you just call 9-1-1 or tell
8		me at five o'clock when I got home? Are you
9		afraid of him?"
10		"Well, yeah. He's"
11		(Unidentified person) "You have one
12		minute left."
13		"What?"
14		"He's stronger than me."
15		"Yeah. Well, if you would have came
16		home and told me."
17		"Yeah, but then I thought that I would
18		have to go to jail, too."
19		"No, you wouldn't have."
20		"Yeah, for knowing about it."
21		"You wouldn't have gotten much out of it
22		then, Brendan, you would have been more or less a
23		hero. She would have probably still been alive."
24		"Yeah."
25		"Yeah. But look at that one on TV where

```
1
           the alligator ate this one girl."
 2
                    "Yeah."
 3
                    "Yeah."
                    (Unintelligible.) "...all you -- that's
 4
 5
           all you would have had to done, because I would
 6
          have put you in the truck and we would have
 7
           left."
                    "Yeah."
 8
 9
                    Ms. Tadych, do you recall that phone
10
          call?
11
     A
          Yes.
12
          Now, there's been considerable testimony at this
13
          hearing as to, um, either the cops making Brendan
14
          say this, or that an explanation for Brendan
15
          having, um, made admissions to the police being
16
          the product of the police making him say that.
17
          Have you heard that during this hearing?
18
     A
          Yes.
19
          At least in part --
20
     A
          Yes.
21
          -- is that right? Now, that was a theme and an
22
           idea that you spoke to Brendan about oftentimes
23
          in phone calls; isn't that right?
24
     A
          Yes.
25
          Do you know who first came up with the idea, or
```

1 who first told Brendan that he should say that 2 the cops made him say it? 3 I think he did. He's the one that told me that they 4 made him say it. 5 All right. Do you remember when he first came up 0 6 with that story? 7 Not really. No. 8 All right. You recall that during May and June 9 of 2006, that his attorney -- his then attorney, 10 Mr. Kachinsky, had been suggesting a plea bargain 11 to Brendan; is that right? 12 A Yes. 13 And do you remember, um, that you -- well, let me 14 start with you. That you had expressed the 15 opinion that Brendan shouldn't take any plea 16 bargain? 17 I more or less told my son if he did it, take the 18 plea bargain, if not, don't. 19 All right. Do you remember you -- and I'm just first of all going to talk about you -- ever 20 21 expressing the opinion for Brendan that if he 22 took a plea bargain, that that would also hurt 23 your brother Steven Avery? 24 A No.

Counsel's asked you questions about, um, family

25

1 members and discussions with family members. 2 you recall discussing the idea of Brendan taking 3 a plea bargain with your family members? Sometimes. But I always left it up to Brendan. 5 All right. 6 Whatever he wanted to do. 7 Now, do you recall that various members of your 8 family, um, render the opinion -- and first I'm 9 going to talk about you -- render the opinion to 10 you that Brendan's pleading guilty would hurt 11 your brother Steven Avery? Do you recall that? 12 I may have said it. They may have said it. But I 13 always told Brendan it was up to his -- his doings. 14 Whatever he wanted to do, I was satisfied with that. 15 Q And here's the, um -- the question, then. You 16 may, then, have had other opinions about, um, 17 either whether Brendan should take a plea bargain 18 or who it might hurt than other members of your 19 family; is that right? 20 Didn't matter who it hurt. Like I said -21 I'm saying, you might have had a different 22 opinion than, let's say, your mother and father, 23 or your brothers; is that right?

All right. Do you recall, um, efforts on behalf

I don't know what they were thinking.

24

25

A

1 of your family -- and we're talking about 2 coercion, now -- efforts on behalf of your family 3 to make sure that Brendan didn't enter a plea in 4 this case? 5 Can you repeat that? 6 Sure. Do you recall efforts on behalf of your 7 family to make sure that Brendan didn't accept any plea bargains in this case? 9 But it wasn't up to my family. 10 I'm just asking the question. If you can't 11 answer it, that's fine. 12 Do you remember efforts, though, to try 13 to, um, dissuade or coerce Brendan not to take a 14 plea? 15 When it first started, yes. 16 I'm going to play a clip, then I'm going to ask 17 you to identify the voices on the clip, and then 18 I'm going to -- I just have a couple of questions 19 about that. 20 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Um, for the record, uh, 21 for Counsel, since you have all of these phone 22 calls, or at least it was part of Mr. Fremgen's 23 records, it's dated June 6, 2006, Mr. Kachinsky 24 is the lawyer.

(By Attorney Kratz) Uh, this phone call begins

25

1	at 2:22 p.m. And then I'm going to ask you to
2	identify these people, okay?
3	"They come down on you. I don't give a
4	shit what they do. You're going to have to be
5	man enough right now and you stick to your
6	Goddamn guns."
7	"Yeah."
8	"And say nothing happened."
9	"Yeah."
10	"This is they made me say all of
11	this. Tell them that."
12	"Yeah."
13	"And stick to your guns. 'Cause this is
14	being monitored. I don't give a shit. But you
15	got to understand one thing. You're a young
16	man."
17	"Yeah."
18	"And you don't want to go to prison for
19	the rest of your life."
20	"No."
21	"Don't go for a plea bargain or this and
22	that."
23	"Yeah."
24	"Because you do that, then you're
25	hurting both of you guys."

1	"rean."
2	"You understand that, Brendan?"
3	"Yeah."
4	"That's why I wanted to talk to you. I
5	can't I don't get a chance, Brendan. I
6	haven't talked to Steven either."
7	"Yeah."
8	"So you hey, I I I feel sorry
9	for where you are."
10	"Yeah."
11	"By the by the way, you got the mail
12	every day? Ha-ha-ha-ha-ha-ha. Brendan?"
13	"What?"
14	"They're them them guys are
15	assholes. They're bastards."
16	"Yeah."
17	"Every one of them. And they're
18	they're bastards. And you got to keep them away
19	from you. Don't talk to them cocksuckers at
20	all."
21	"Yeah."
22	"'Cause their bastards. And I hope
23	and I hope they can play this son-of-a-bitch
24	they'll play it back. Don't worry about that,
25	Brendan."

1		"Yeah."
2		"That Goddamn Tom is a son-of-a-bitch
3		and so is the other one."
4		"Yeah."
5		"Yeah. I'd like to take a rope and put
6		around their balls and pecker and pull them
7		behind my truck until I rip that fucking
8		pepper pecker pecker and the balls right
9		off."
10		"Yeah."
11		"Ha-ha-ha-ha-ha. That'll make their
12		day, won't it?"
13		"Yeah."
14		THE COURT: Mr. Kratz, I think we've heard
15		enough.
16		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, that was a
17		very long clip. I'd object to the relevance of some
18		of that stuff at the end there.
19		ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'd I'd be happy to
20		to ask a question about that.
21	Q	(By Attorney Kratz) First all, who who is
22		that on the on the tape?
23	A	My father.
24	Q	And your father's expression of, um, what he
25		thought of investigators, was that shared by the

- rest of the family?
- 2 A I couldn't tell you if it was or not.
- Well, you certainly, we've heard, were around the family talking about this case; isn't that right?
- 5 A It doesn't mean that we're out saying everything to everybody that other people say.
- Well, you heard the very clear, um, suggestion that Brendan not take a plea bargain? You heard that; right?
- 10 A Yeah, I heard that.
- 11 Q And you heard that "That's going to hurt you and 12 Steven." Is that right?
- 13 A Yeah, but it doesn't mean that he was going to do
 14 what he said.
- 15 Q You heard the very clear suggestion that "You should tell them that they made you say it."

 17 Remember hearing that?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 Q By the way, was that the first time that you or
 20 anybody in your family that you know of had
 21 suggested to your son that he come up with the
 22 story that somebody else made him say this?
- 23 A I don't remember. It's been how long ago?
- Q Well, is that -- what I'm getting at is, is that
 the -- what's called genesis -- but is that the

- 1	
1	start of this false confession claim as far as
2	you know?
3	ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, she said she
4	doesn't remember.
5	THE WITNESS: Don't remember.
6	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Well, if
7	THE COURT: Doesn't
8	ATTORNEY KRATZ: If she doesn't,
9	Judge
10	THE COURT: Counsel, let me ask you: Do
11	you have a a typed transcript of the clip that
12	you played?
13	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I do not, Judge. I have
14	hundreds of phone calls that are on my laptop that
15	were provided to the defense. And we do have a a
16	court reporter.
17	THE COURT: I I'm sure you do. But I
18	would like a typed transcript of that. Part of
19	that, I don't think, was relevant at all. A portion
20	of it certainly is. But
21	ATTORNEY KRATZ: The the family's the
22	family's opinion when this witness talked about them
23	talking to you about investigators and the like?
24	THE COURT: Part of that is relevant. I

would like to see it in transcript form.

ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'll provide that, 1 2 Judge. I'd be happy to. And, um, provisionally, 3 perhaps Madam Clerk could give us a exhibit number and then I'll submit it under that number. 4 5 Can -- can we do that? Can we have what the next number would be? And I'll provide a transcript. 6 7 THE CLERK: Three seventy-three. 8 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you. 9 (By Attorney Kratz) Ms. Tadych, your phone calls Q 10 with Brendan, you personally, did you ever 11 suggest to Brendan that he go along with this 12 story that the investigators made him say what he 13 said on the 1st of March? 14 I don't remember. 15 Do you remember to tell your son Brendan to blame 16 it on Mark? Meaning Mark Wiegert? 17 I don't remember. 18 I'm going to have you listen to a phone call 19 between -- I'm going to have you identify, as 20 between you and Brendan, on May 22. It's a very 21 short clip, but I am going to ask you to identify 22 the people in this. 23 "Yeah. And you tell them how you gave 24 them statements. That's how you tell the

25

jurors."

1	"Yeah. But he said that he listened to
2	the the statement again and that it's really
3	hard to believe that it was well"
4	"What did you tell me, Brendan? You
5	tell me they weren't taping at first."
6	"Yeah."
7	"And they told you what happened.
8	Remember? Remember you telling me that?"
9	"When did I tell you that?"
10	"Uh"
11	"I told you that I was nervous. And I
12	had a lot of stuff on my mind. So I just said
13	stuff."
14	"Yeah."
15	"Yeah. That's what I told you."
16	"No. You told me that they weren't
17	taping at first."
18	"Yeah. They didn't. And"
19	"And they more or less said, 'Well,
20	isn't this what happened?'"
21	"Yeah."
22	"Remember you told me, well, blame it
23	all on Mark?"
24	"Yeah."
25	"Yeah."

First of all, Ms. Tadych, who is that speaking?

ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, I'm sorry.

At this time I'd like to interpose an objection. I don't believe anything after May 13, any of these phone calls so far that Counsel's played, is relevant.

The -- the issue here is what has happened prior to May 13. I believe the State has made some contention that the family was -- was attempting to influence Brendan in his decisions prior to May 13. These phone calls are not relevant to that.

THE COURT: Well, you have put into issue
Brendan's representation after May 13 as well.
Consequently, I think this is relevant and I'm going
to overrule your objection.

- (By Attorney Kratz) The phone call that you just heard, you suggest to Brendan that he should say that they made him say that. Or that he had told you that in the past. Did you hear that part of the tape? I mean, you heard that; right?
- A Yes.

16.

Q But Brendan didn't go along with that. And he said, "When did I say that to you?" Did you hear

1		that part?
2	A	That was in the beginning.
3		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: I I I got to
4	4	object, Your Honor. I think I don't have a
5		transcript in front of me. I've only heard this
6		once. But I think he's mischaracterizing.
7	-	THE COURT: Play it again.
8		ATTORNEY KRATZ: All right.
9		THE COURT: I'm not sure I heard that.
10	Q	(By Attorney Kratz) Listen for you first telling
11		Brendan, "Didn't you tell me they made you say
12		it?" And Brendan said, "When did I say that?"
13		"Yeah. And you tell them how you gave
14		them statements. That's how you tell the
15		jurors."
16		"Yeah. But he said that he listened to
17		the the statement again and that it's really
18		hard to believe that it was well"
19		"What did you tell me, Brendan? You
20		tell me they weren't taping at first."
21		"Yeah."
22		"And they told you what happened.
23		Remember? Remember you telling me that?"
24		"When did I tell you that?"
25		Now, do you recall? When you said to

1		Brendan, "You told me that they made you say
2		that." And Brendan said, "When did I say that?"
3	A	Yeah. But he also corrected himself afterwards.
4	Q	Corrected himself? You told him
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	after three more times of suggesting that the
7		cops made him say this, that he finally says,
8		"Yeah."?
9		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Your Honor, he's
10		arguing with the witness. She's answered the
11		question.
12		THE COURT: Yeah. I I'm going to
13		sustain the objection. Why don't we move on.
14	Q	(By Attorney Kratz) My last question, then, for
15		you, Ms. Tadych, if you know, prior to at least
16		May 22 of 2006, do you ever recall your son
17		suggesting to you an explanation for his
18		confession that somebody had made him say that?
19	A	I don't remember.
20	Q	All right.
21		ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all I have of
22		this witness, Judge. Thank you, ma'am.
23		THE COURT: Any redirect?
24		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: Yes, Your Honor.
25		REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1 BY ATTORNEY NIRIDER: 2 Um, first of all, Barb, there are a few binders 3 around you. And I'd like you to look -- I'm not 4 sure if it's there up in front you or if it's behind you -- but I'm looking for binder number 5 five. 6 7 I'd like you to turn to Exhibit 315. Should be behind tab 315. Just let me know when 8 9 you're there. I'm there. 10 A 11 Could you turn to page six of Exhibit 315, 12 please? 13 A Got it. 14 Q And I'd like you to look at clip number 37 on 15 that page? 16 A Okay. I'm just going to read to you a few lines of that 17 clip. This is Brendan. 18 19 He says: "I got a question." You say: "What's that?" 20 Brendan: "What'd happen if he says 21 22 something -- his story's different? What -- he says he -- he admits to doing it?" 23 Barb: "What do you mean?" 24

25

A

Hold on a second. Which -- you told me page six?

1	Q	I'm sorry. Page six of Exhibit 315. Are you
2		there?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Do you see No. 37 there?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Right next to, "Where am I going?"
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	Okay. I'm starting a little a few lines down.
9	A	All right.
10	Q	Okay.
11		Brendan: "Yeah. But I got a question."
12		Barb: "What's that?"
13		Brendan: "What'd happen if he says
14		something his story's different? What if he
15		says he he admits to doing it?"
16	_	Barb: "What do you mean?"
17		Brendan: "Like, if his story's, like,
18		different. Like I never did nothing or
19		something."
20		Barb: "Did you? Huh?"
21		Brendan: "Not really."
22		Barb: "What do you mean, not really?"
23		Brendan: They got to my head."
24		Did you see what I just read there?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. And that was from the March 1 confession.
2		I'm sorry, the March 1 recording that we viewed
3		in court several times.
4		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Is there a question,
5		Judge?
6	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Barb
7		ATTORNEY KRATZ: I'm sorry.
8	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Barb, when you heard that,
9		did you understand Brendan to be saying that what
10		he had confessed to was not true?
11		ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Speculation,
12		Judge. Those have several different meanings and
13		speculating as to what Brendan might have meant by
14		it. It speaks for itself.
15		THE COURT: Well, it does that, but I think
16		she can ask what she thought it meant. Not leading
17		with the question. Go ahead.
18	Q	(By Attorney Nirider) Barb, what did you think
19		Brendan meant when he said those things?
20	A	That he lied about his statement.
21	Q	And when Brendan said, "They got to my head,"
22		what did you think he meant there?
23	A	More or less that they put stuff in his head.
24	Q	And who's "they"?
25	A	Mark, and Tom Wiegert.

1	Q	Thank you. And, Barb, if you could just keep
2	Į.	that open. Are you still on that page?
3	A	Yep.
4	Q	Can you look down at number 38 there at the
5		bottom? You see that?
6	A	Yep.
7	Q	I'm just going to read you this clip.
8		Barb:
9		This is also from March 1. Um, and this
10		is you speaking to Mark Wiegert.
11		Barb: "Were you pressuring him?"
12		Mark Wiegert: "Who are you talking
13		about?"
14		Barb: "Him."
15		Mark: "What do you mean, 'pressuring
16		him'?"
17		Barb: "In talking to him."
18		Mark Wiegert: "No. We told him we
19		needed to know the truth. We've been doing this
20		job a long time, Barb, and we can tell when
21		people aren't telling the truth."
22		Um, what why did you think that
23		why did you ask Mark Wiegert if if if he
24		had been pressuring Brendan?
25	A	Because if Brendan is pressured, he will come out

1		with anything just to get out of something.
2	Q	Okay. Barb, um, thank you. You heard Counsel,
3		uh, refer to a telephone call that you had, um,
4		with Brendan on May 13. He played a portion of
5		that?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Is it correct in that call that you were
8		advising Brendan to at least consider a plea
9		deal?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Just a few other points. Um
12		ATTORNEY NIRIDER: I don't have anything
13		else, Judge.
14		THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
15		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Your Honor, I would
16		call Investigator I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
17	4	Agent Fassbender.
18		THE COURT: Mr. Fassbender. All right.
19		ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Judge, now that
20		Ms. Tadych has testified, can she stay in the
21		room?
22		THE COURT: Any objection?
23		(No verbal response.)
24		THE COURT: That's fine.

1	There were a number of phone calls that were
2	played by Mr. Kratz and there are no transcripts
3	for any of those phone calls. And I would hope
4	that he would provide transcripts for each of the
5	phone calls that he played as separate exhibit
6	exhibit numbers.
7	ATTORNEY TEPFER: With one correction.
8	There there is the 5/13 telephone call
9	transcript is an exhibit.
10	(Discussion off the record.)
11	THE COURT: Provide just provide the
12	transcripts of the expert of the excerpts, all
13	right?
14	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Can I put all of them
15	under under Exhibit 373? I'll identify all
16	the different clips, Judge. Is that all right?
17	THE COURT: That's fine.
18	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Okay.
19	THOMAS FASSBENDER,
20	called as a witness herein, having been first duly
21	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
22	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
23	your name and spell your last name for the record.
24	THE WITNESS: Thomas Fassbender,
25	F-a-s-s-b-e-n-d-e-r.

	1	
1		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: May I proceed, Your
2		Honor?
3		THE COURT: You may.
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY A	TTORNEY GERAGHTY:
6	Q	Good afternoon, Agent Fassbender.
7	A	Good afternoon.
8	Q	I want to direct your attention to, um, early May
9		of 2006, and ask you if you were one of the lead
10		investigators in looking into the the murder
11		of Teresa Halbach?
12	А	Yes, I was.
13	Q	And were you working with Mark Wiegert?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And, um, were others working on that
16		investigation with you?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	A Detective John Dedering?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And were you also consulting from time to time
21		with Prosecutor Kenneth Kratz?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Um, and prior to early May of 2006, um, you were
24		involved in interviewing and interrogating
25		Brendan Dassey; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes. 2 Q And
- 2 Q And those, um, interviews and interrogations 3 began on February 27, and were essentially 4 concluded by March 1, 2006; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- Okay. Um, now, you were also aware, um, after the interviews, um, were concluded, and that would have been on or about March 1, 2006, that Mr. Dassey came to be represented by Leonard Kachinsky; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And that would have been some time after the 13 first week in May of 2006?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q When Mr. Kachinsky undertook the representation
 16 of Brendan Dassey, the case was still in its -17 against Brendan Dassey was still in its early
 18 stages in terms of court litigation?
- 19 A That's correct.
- Q All right. Um, and -- oh, I meant March 1, I'm sorry. Um, I should have said after March 1, the -- the case was in its early stages; right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And it was March -- I'm sorry. Um,

 March -- in early March -- that Mr. Kachinsky

1 came on board; is that right? 2 A Yes. 3 Okay. Now, when Mr. Kachinsky began to represent 4 Brendan Dassey, um, you recall that he made 5. several statements in the press; is that right? 6 Do you recall those statements? 7 I don't recall them. A 8 Okay. Do you recall being aware that Mr. 9 Kachinsky, at the time that he undertook the 10 representation, stated publicly that, um, it 11 would be in Brendan's best interest to seek a --12 plea deal? 13 He made that statement in the press? 14 Yes. 15 He may have. I don't -- I don't recall. 16 Okay. At the time that Brendan Dassey was -- was 17 arrested, and that was March 1, 2006, um, you 18 were also involved in the investigation of the 19 Steven Avery case; is that correct? 20 A Yes. 21 And one of the reasons why you initially 22 contacted Brendan Dassey was to see whether he 23 might be of use as a witness in the Steven Avery 24

case; is that correct?

That's correct.

25

A

- And even after Mr. Dassey made his statement to you and Agent Wiegert on March 1, 2006, um, you were still hopeful that Mr. Dassey could be a witness against Steven Avery; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.

- Q And, um, you were working with Mr. Kratz and others in an effort to make arrangements to see that Brendan Dassey could be a witness against Steven Avery?
- A I'm not sure I can answer that question. We were continuing to investigate, continuing to move forward, but it really wasn't my place to determine whether he was going to be a witness or agree to some plea bargain or anything like that.
- Q Okay. But during the time that Mr. Kachinsky represented Brendan Dassey, you were aware that there were ongoing discussions, to which Mr. Kratz was a party, regarding whether Brendan Dassey might eventually become a witness in the Steven Avery case?
- 21 A Yes. That's true.
 - Q Now, those discussions, um -- let me ask you if those discussions became more intense, uh, right around the time of May 12, 2006, when Brendan Dassey's motion to suppress statements was

- 1 denied?
- 2 A I believe that's accurate.
- 3 Q Okay. Um, and around that time you had contact
- with Mr. Kachinsky about, um, whether -- about
- 5 the question of whether Mr. Dassey could provide
- a statement or information which would make him a
- 7 valuable witness against Mr. Avery?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And also involved in those discussions was a
- person by the name of Michael O'Kelly; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q And you knew Michael O'Kelly to be an
- investigator hired by Mr. Kachinsky; is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And he was -- and by "he" I mean Mr. O'Kelly --
- Mr. O' Kelly was a defense investigator; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 | Q Can you tell me when you -- if you have any
- 22 memory -- of when you first met Mr. O'Kelly?
- 23 A I believe it was, um, Saturday, May 6.
- 24 Q Why does that date stick in your mind?
- 25 A I located a hard copy of an e-mail that had been

1 faxed to me reference some information that he had, 2 or he could provide, and also a request that, um, he 3 be allowed to look at some aerial photographs. And 4 in looking through, or looking into that further, um, 5 Detective -- or Investigator Dedering was able to 6 locate some information or something on his daily 7 logs indicating that we had met with O'Kelly on that 8 Saturday, May 6, at my office in Appleton. 9 Okay. Was that, um -- was that a document that 10 you discovered just in preparation for your 11 testimony here today? Or was -- did -- are you 12 saying you looked at it a long time ago? 13 No, that was for -- in preparation for this. 14 Q Okay. 15 About two weeks ago I found that. 16 Okay. Let me turn -- let me ask you to look at 17 Exhibit No. 65. Binder two. Sixty-five. 18 19 Got it? 20 Yes. 21 All right. Why don't you take a look at it just 22 for a moment? All right. Agent Fassbender, is -- is that the e-mail or the document that you 23

A No, it isn't.

had just referred to?

24

- 1 Q All right. Was there a document that you had
 2 that was -- that you were made aware of that --
- 3 that came before May 7?
- 4 A Yes. It was a -- an e-mail from Attorney Kachinsky
- 5 to, uh, Investigator Wiegert. I believe it was dated
- 6 May 5 --
- 7 Q Okay. All right. Well, take a look at Exhibit
- 8 338. Binder five. Binder five. It's a
- 9 different binder.
- 10 A Okay.
- 11 Q All right. Is that the e-mail that you recently,
- 12 um, discovered and used to refresh your
- 13 recollection?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Now, that is a, um, e-mail from Len Kachinsky to
- 16 Investigator Wiegert; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes. And copied to, um, I think two other people
- 18 also.
- 19 Q Okay. Um, now, I don't see you copied on this.
- 20 Am I wrong?
- 21 A No, you're right. I believe this -- if I look at the
- 22 top of it on -- on mine, and the copies here, I
- 23 believe this was faxed to me by someone. And I am
- 24 not sure who.
- Q Okay. Do you know when it was faxed to you?

- 1 A The date on this document shows May 5, 2006.
- 2 Q Okay. But you don't remember who faxed it to
- 3 you?
- 4 A I do not.
- Okay. Um, and this e-mail has to do with, um,
- 6 your being notified by Mr. Kachinsky that
- 7 Mr. O'Kelly had developed some information, um,
- in the course of talking to Brendan's relative;
- 9 is that correct? Relatives.
- 10 A Essentially. Investigator Wiegert being notified of
- 11 that fact and then, subsequently, me.
- 12 Q Okay. Um, and this also suggests that you or
- 13 Investigator Wiegert might consider obtaining a
- search warrant for the -- the Avery premises; is
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q And it suggests that you should contact Michael
- 18 O'Kelly directly about that information; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 A Investigator Wiegert. Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. Did you speak to Investigator Wiegert
- about this e-mail of May 5? Exhibit No. 33- --
- 23 3-3-8?
- 24 A I am assuming I did. I don't have independent
- 25 recollection, but I'm assuming I did.

1 Q Did you call Mr. O'Kelly and discuss this with 2 him? 3 Again, I don't have independent recollection, but I'm 4 assuming I did because I did meet with him. 5 Okay. Did you meet with him, um, on Friday, May 6 5? Or I think you mentioned a Saturday? 7 A Saturday, May 6 is -- it appears that's when we met 8 him. I think it was in the morning hours at my 9 office in Appleton, and Investigator Dedering was 10 also there. 11 0 All right. And what was discussed at that time? 12 A The primary purpose of that meeting was to make 13 available to him these aerial photographs. And then 14 these were rather large photographs. And we had, um, 15 I would say, many of them, and he was allowed to use 16 our conference room. And we layed them out on the 17 table and he was allowed to look at them. 18 Okay. And what was the purpose of him -- or did 19 he tell you what the purpose was for him wanting to look at the large photographs and diagrams? 20 21 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Objection. Calls for 22 hearsay. ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Not offered to prove --23 the truth of anything, Judge. 24 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Then what relevance? 25

1		THE COURT: What's it offered for?
2		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Just offered to prove
3		why he wanted to see the the diagrams. It's
4		not
5		THE COURT: That's the I I'm going to
6	100	sustain the objection.
7	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Well, did you know why
8		Mr. O'Kelly wanted to see that material?
9	A	I'm not positive. I could make a guess.
10	Q	I don't want you to guess.
11	A	I imagine it had something to do with
12		ATTORNEY FALLON: Ob objection, if
13		it's a guess.
14		THE COURT: Yeah. If you don't know, you
15		don't know.
16		THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is I don't
17		know if he ever told me exactly why he wanted to
18		look at them.
19	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Was Investigator Wiegert
20		with you at that time?
21	A	No, he wasn't.
22	Q	Was Investigator Dedering there?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And was, to your knowledge, Mr. Kratz aware of
25		this meeting?

1	A	Again, I don't have independent recollection of that,
2		but I believe he was.
3	Q	And do you recall having any discussions with
4		Mr. Kratz about the meeting that you had with
5		Investigator O'Kelly on Saturday, May 6, 2006?
6		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Relevance.
7		We have work product privilege as well, by the way.
8		THE COURT: Sustained.
9		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, just for
10		may I just respond briefly for the record?
11		THE COURT: Go ahead.
12		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: This goes, again, to
13		the loyalty issue. Um, and I'll just I think
14		that you know what I'm saying.
15		THE COURT: Conversation between Kratz
16		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Well
17		THE COURT: and and this witness?
18		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: About Mr. O'Kelly's
19		participation in this meeting and part of the
20		defense team.
21		THE COURT: I understand. The objection's
22		still sustained.
23		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Okay.
24	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Do you recall how long
25		that meeting lasted?

- A Again, I do not have independent recollection. When speaking with Investigator Dedering, from his logs, or his daily log sheets, uh, it sounded like a couple hours. Two, three hours.

 Q Okay. So, um, in preparing your testimony today,
- Okay. So, um, in preparing your testimony today,

 uh, or preparing to testify today, I should say,

 did you consult logs that were prepared by

 Investigator Dedering?
- 9 A I consulted Investigator Dedering. I didn't see 10 these -- these logs.
- 11 Q And -- and it was he who told you that his logs 12 reflected a meeting on Saturday, May 6, 2006?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And, um, those logs, um -- do you have any idea
 15 where those logs would be kept?
- 16 A No, I don't.
- And did you take any notes yourself reflecting
 what happened at this meeting on March 6? I'm
 sorry. May 6, 2006?
- 20 A Not that I can find.
- Q Okay. And were any reports generated that you know of as the result of the May 6, 2006, meeting in Appleton with Mr. O'Kelly and Mr. -- and Investigator Dedering?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q After you had that meeting, um, in Appleton on
- 2 March 6, 2006 -- May 6, 2006 -- did you have any
- 3 further contact with Mr. O'Kelly?
- 4 A Yes, by telephone.
- 5 Q And when was that?
- 6 A I believe May 12, 2006.
- 7 Q And was that the -- was that the -- the -- the
- 8 next time that you spoke to Mr. O'Kelly?
- 9 A I believe so.
- 10 Q Did you have any, um, e-mail, um, correspondence,
- or were you copied on any correspondence,
- 12 relating to an interview to take place of Brendan
- Dassey at the Sheboygan County Jail?
 - 14 A Yes.
 - 15 Q Will you look at Exhibit No. 65, please?
 - 16 A Yes.
 - 17 Q And did you, um, review that e-mail before coming
 - here to testify today?
 - 19 A Yes.
 - 20 Q Okay. Was that e-mail in your file in Appleton?
 - 21 A I think so. I can't remember if this one was in that
 - 22 particular file or not.
 - 23 Q Okay. Now, this is a -- an e-mail that was sent
- to Mr. Kachinsky by Mr. O'Kelly; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you were copied on it; is that right?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Um, Mr. Kratz was copied?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q Mr. Dedering was copied?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Mr. -- Investigator Wiegert was not copied?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q All right. Um, who was taking the lead, if 10 anyone, in -- in your contacts with Mr. O'Kelly?
- 11 A It seemed to have come to me.
- 12 Q Okay. And was Investigator Dedering also
- 13 involved?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And what -- why was he involved in this?
- 16 A I don't know for sure, but I think what happened was
- on that original Friday, May 5, Mr. Wiegert -- or
- 18 Investigator Wiegert -- was unavailable to follow up
- on this. So Investigator Dedering took that role,
- and -- and I took over, too, on that role, and -- and
- 21 took over this -- this issue.
- 22 | Q And when you say "this issue," what was the
- 23 | issue?
- 24 A Number one, meeting with him to -- to make available
- 25 the -- the photographs. And then beyond that, um,

arranging for, with Mr. Kachinsky or him, a potential additional meeting with Brendan.

- Q Okay. So as of Sunday -- let me just back up again. When -- when did it first come to your attention that, um, there was possibly going to be another interview or interrogation of Brendan Dassey? And I'm talking now around the period of May 6, 7, 8. During that time.
 - A Probably around that time. I can't give you an exact time. There was a result of ongoing dialogue between the district attorney and Mr. Kachinsky pertaining to some sort of plea bargaining or agreement.
 - Q Okay. So what was your understanding about why this, um, first the interview with -- by Mr. O'Kelly and Mr. Dassey, and then, perhaps, a subsequent interview of Mr. Dassey by you and Investor Wiegert would -- would take place? Why was that going to happen?
 - A I believe as a part of any plea agreement, it was going to be that -- that Mr. Dassey would be willing to sit down and fill in any gaps, or connect any dots, uh, provide the whole -- the whole truth to the matter.
 - Q Okay. So you -- did you feel that the statement that Mr. Dassey gave on March 1 was not complete?

1 I believe that we probably had questions related to 2 that. 3 And what, in particular, was, if you recall, 4 incomplete about the March 1 statement? 5 I don't know that I can say at this moment in time 6 without going through the statement and the 7 transcript. I think in -- in any interview or 8 interrogation I don't think you ever get all the 9 facts and the whole truth. 10 Okay. Um, did you have any contact with 11 Mr. Kratz the 6th, 7th, or 8th of May, 2006, 12 regarding the planned interview of Mr. Dassey on 13 May 13, 2006? 14 I'm quite sure I had contacts with Mr. Kratz probably 15 starting May 5, uh, to talk about meeting with 16 O'Kelly on the 6th. And then some time during the --17 the subsequent week related to a potential interview 18 or interrogation of -- of Brendan. 19 Okay. And was this, again, all with the 20 objective of hopefully securing Brendan as a 21 credible witness in the Avery trial? 22 I'm sure that was part of it. 23 Now, um, you mentioned, I think, a planned 0 24 meeting between Brendan and his defense team on

May 12, 2006? Recall that?

- 1 Yes, it's in the -- the e-mail, Exhibit 65. A 2 0 Okay. What understanding did you have about who 3 would be conducting that interview at the 4 Sheboygan County Jail? 5 My understanding was Investigator O'Kelly. All right. And did you have any understanding 6 Q about what the purpose of that interview was? 8 My understanding the primary purpose of that 9 interview was to see if Brendan Dassey would get -10 was going to be willing to speak with us again. 11 Q Did -- were you aware that, um -- well, let me 12 strike that question. Did -- did Mr. Kachinsky 13 or Mr. O'Kelly tell you that they were having 14 difficulty securing an admission from Brendan 15 that he had been involved in this -- in the 16 murder? 17 I specifically don't recall that, but I -- I do 18 recall that he -- and I don't know if it was at this 19 time or not -- but that he was now denying
 - Q All right. And was one of the purposes of Mr. O'Kelly's meeting with Brendan Dassey on May 12, 2006, to attempt to convince Brendan to retract his denial?

25 ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection: Calls for

20

21

22

23

24

involvement.

1		speculation as to what the defense was intending to
2		do with Mr. Dassey.
3		THE COURT: I'll overrule it, if this if
4		this witness knows.
5		THE WITNESS: I was going to answer that
6		I could only assume.
7	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) You could only you
8		could only assume. Okay. So Mr Mr. O'Kelly
9		never told you what the purpose of the May 12,
10		2006, meeting was?
11	A	The only thing I really remember about that was to
12		see if Brendan was going to be, or would be, willing
13		to sit down with us and speak with us again.
14	Q	Were you aware that that Mr. O'Kelly was going
15		to take certain equipment and items of evidence
16		to the interview with Brendan Dassey at the
17		Sheboygan County Jail on May 12, 2006?
18	A	Yes. Per per that e-mail, Exhibit 65, again, he
19		makes indications of such.
20	Q	And did you provide him with some of that
21		material?
22	A	I don't believe I did. Um, I believe he I believe
23		he got it through the district attorney's office or
24		through Mr. Kachinsky, but I don't believe I provided
25		any of this stuff.

1	Q	So you don't recall, um, Mr. O' Kelly coming
2		to to your office, uh, and having a
3		conversation with him about, um, obtaining some
4		of this material from law enforcement?
5	A	He came to our office DCI office in Appleton
6		and my memory of that is he primarily looked at those
7		photos on May 6.
8		Now, this e-mail on Exhibit 65 was May
9		7, and my memory of that is that he obtained, if
10		any of this stuff, elsewhere, 'cause I didn't
11		meet him again in my office.
12	Q	Okay. Do you do you know where he obtained
13		this information?
14	А	No, I don't.
15	Q	When you met with Mr. O'Kelly in your office on
16		May 6, did you have any discussions with
17		Mr. O'Kelly about what tactics might implead
18		be employed, or the best way to get Brendan to
19		retract his denials?
20	A	Not that I recall.
21	Q	Do you know whether anybody else had such
22		conversation with Mr. O'Kelly?
23	A	No, I don't.
24	Q	Do you recall saying, um, to Mr. O'Kelly, or did
25		you overhear Investigator Dedering say, um,

1		something to the effect, "Boy, you've got your
2		work cut out for you."?
3	A	No, I don't recall that.
4	Q	Okay. Now, were you made aware that, in fact,
5		um, an interview had been conducted by
6		Mr. O'Kelly of Mis Mr. Dassey on May 12, 2006?
7		Were you notified that that had happened at some
8		point?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And do you recall when you were notified?
11	A	Probably, um, a phone call that I had with
12		Mr. O'Kelly. Or one of the phone calls I had with
13		him on the evening of the 12th. May 12.
14	Q	Will you look at Exhibit 363, please?
15	А	What what book
16		THE COURT: It's not it's not in the
17		book. Just a second. Right there.
18		THE WITNESS: Oop, I got it. Yes.
19	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) And is that another
20		document that you reviewed, um, a short while ago
21		to prepare your your testimony here today?
22	А	Yes.
23		ATTORNEY FALLON: Excuse me, Your Honor. I
24		noticed as the witness paged through the exhibit
25		uh, how many pages are in that? I want to make sure

1	that I have the same copy the defense does.
2	THE WITNESS: Six.
3	ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay.
4	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Is Tom, is that
5	correct?
6	THE WITNESS: Um
7	THE COURT: No, it should be three.
8	THE WITNESS: one
9	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, we I
10	thought
11	ATTORNEY FALLON: You may have replaced it
12	it, and I'm looking for because it was the
13	last document you handed me was a combination of
14	Exhibit 356 and 363, if I remember. But I believe,
15	as originally marked, 363 was a three-page document.
16	Unless I'm mistaken.
17	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: That's correct, Judge.
18	We did, um, label the last three pages of this
19	document as Exhibit No. 356.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: So you have a six-page
22	document before you?
23	THE WITNESS: I have a six-page
24	document. The first three pages appear to be
25	363, and the next three pages I show as no

1		exhibit number. Apparently report number 277.
2		My report.
3		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: All right. So
4		that's the document that we're referring to.
5		Okay.
6		THE COURT: The first three pages.
7		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: The first three
8		pages for now, yes, Judge.
9		ATTORNEY FALLON: Okay.
10	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, this document shows
11		that you received a telephone call from
12		Mr. O'Kelly at approximately 8:33 p.m. on
13		March 12, 2006; is that right?
14	А	That's correct.
15	Q	And you were told during that conversation that
16		Brendan Dassey wanted to speak with with you,
17		but that he wanted to watch a movie that night
18		and that he would speak to you the following
19		morning; is that correct?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And you told you told Mr. O'Kelly that you
22		would like to speak to Mr. Kachinsky, Brendan's
23		lawyer, before going forward with that plan; is
24		that right?
25	А	That, and I'm sure I don't know if I told him

but I'm sure that a -- a -- well, I did make contact
with the district attorney, also, relative to this.

Okay. Um, and why did you want to make sure that
you spoke to Attorney Kachinsky before confirming

that you would meet with Mr. Dassey the next day?

- 6 A Because Mr. Dassey was represented by Attorney
 7 Kachinsky.
- Q Okay. And, what, if anything, did Mr. O'Kelly tell you about whether Mr. Kachinsky could or would be present on May 13?
- 11 A He said that Attorney Kachinsky was aware of
 12 Brendan's desire to speak with us and it was
 13 authorized by Attorney Kachinsky.
- 14 Q But you still felt that you should call the 15 attorney -- Attorney Kachinsky -- to confirm 16 that; is that right?
- 17 A Yes.

- 18 Q And you did that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And what did -- what did Attorney Kachinsky tell
 21 you?
- 22 A I believe he called me, and he confirmed -- or told
 23 me that Mr. Dassey wanted to speak to us. That "us"
 24 being myself and Investigator Wiegert. And that he
 25 wanted to do it on Saturday morning, May 13, 2006.

1	Q	Okay. And did you notify Investigator Wiegert of
2		this?
3	A	Yes. That evening I was on the phone with both
4		Investigator Wiegert and District Attorney Kratz.
5	Q	Okay. And can you, um can you tell us what
6		you said to, um, District Attorney Kratz and what
7		he said to you when you called him on Friday
8		evening, May 12, 2006?
9		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Relevance and
10		hearsay.
11		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Judge, it's part of
12		the
13		ATTORNEY FALLON: And work product.
14		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: the loyalty issue,
15		Judge.
16		ATTORNEY FALLON: The loyalty issue is
17		what they did when
18		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: This is
19		ATTORNEY FALLON: they got there.
20	ļ	ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: This is the this is
21		the defense and the prosecution working together to
22		get another statement from Brendan Dassey.
23		THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection for
24		the moment. Go on.
25	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Um, my question had to do

with whether you remembered the substance of your 1 telephone conversation with District Attorney 2 Kratz on the evening of Friday, May 12, 2006? 3 The substance would have had to do whether, um, 4 Α District Attorney Kratz would authorize us doing 5 6 that. 7 And what were the issues that you were concerned Q 8 about when you spoke to District Attorney Kratz? 9 At that time just that -- I imagine, just that 10 Mr. Dassey was represented by attorney and -- I -- I 11 can't remember if at that time it was a known -- I'm 12 going to assume it was -- that -- that Attorney 13 Kachinsky was not going to be present. So that may 14 have been part of the issue. 15 Q Okay. And was there any -- was there some 16 urgency involved in taking the statement from 17 Brendan Dassey as far as you knew? 18 Α I don't recall the details, but there may have been 19 some urgency. I don't know if there were some 20 hearings coming up or something, but I just -- I just 21 don't recall. 22 Q Okay. I mean, I -- in -- in deciding, you 23 know, whether it was appropriate to interview 24 Mr. Dassey without his -- an attorney present,

um, I -- I take it that you might have discussed,

- well, why don't we wait until he can be present?

 Yes. So there -- there -- again, I can't remember
- 3 why, exactly, but I -- I believe there was some
- 4 urgency.
- 5 Q And eventually, as it -- as it turned out, um,
- everybody seemed to be on board with, um, going
- forward with that interview on the 13th and
- 8 having Michael O'Kelly present; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And, by the way, did you have any contact with
- Mr. O'Kelly on the evening of Friday, May 12,
- 12 2006?
- 13 A Yes, telephone contact.
- 14 Q Who initiated that telephone contact?
- 15 A Could you repeat the date again?
- 16 Q Uh, that was Friday, May 12, in the evening. May
- 17 12, 2006, right after or during the time that
- Mr. O'Kelly was interviewing Brendan Dassey at
- 19 the Sheboygan County Jail.
- 20 A According to my report, um, Mr. O'Kelly telephoned me
- 21 at 8:33 p.m. And then again telephoned me at
- 9:18 p.m. Uh, that call was dropped. And then,
- 23 again, at 9:19 p.m.
- 24 Q In the -- in the -- in the first call, would it
- 25 be fair to say -- and I don't know if you

```
remember -- that Mr. O'Kelly told you that
 1
 2
           Brendan was going to be willing to speak to you
 3
          the next day?
 4
           Yes.
     Α
 5
          And in the second two calls, um, did Mr. O'Kelly
 6
          make an effort to provide you with information
 7
           that he had obtained from Brendan Dassey that
 8
          evening?
 9
     Α
          Yes.
10
          And did you listen to that information?
11
          The -- the -- as the report says, the call was a --
12
          very bad, and I would catch pieces and words, and as
13
          my report even says, I believed he made comments or
14
          said certain things. Um, eventually, either I hung
15
          up or the call was ended.
16
          And did you -- did you end that call because
     0
17
          you -- you -- you couldn't hear or because you
18
          didn't want to listen to what he had to say?
19
          I think it was a little of both. Note that I did not
20
          call him back. I didn't --
21
          Yeah.
     0
22
          -- I wasn't interested in those things.
23
          And --
24
          -- didn't want to know those things.
```

-- did you feel uncomfortable talking to

1		Mr. O'Kelly?
2	A	When he was talking about those types of things,
3		information that he had obtained from his client, so
4		to speak, yes.
5	Q	And was why did you feel uncomfortable about
6		that?
7		ATTORNEY FALLON: Objection. Relevance.
8		It's easily are we going to have the witness
. 9		comment on the legality of of the issue that
10	ĺ	you're going to decide?
11		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I didn't I didn't
12		ask him about the legality.
13	1	ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, that's the
14		import of the question.
15		THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
16	Q	(By Attorney Geraghty) Now, you met with Brendan
17		Dassey the next day; is that correct?
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	And you were with your partner, Investigator
20		Wiegert?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And that was the morn in the morning of
23		Friday I'm sorry Saturday, May 13, 2006?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And you went to the Sheboygan County Jail to
	1	

- conduct an interview of Brendan Dassey; is that right?

 Yes.

 When you got there, um, was Mr. O'Kelly there?
- when you got there, am, was Mr. O'kelly there?
- 5 A Yes.
- And did you, in fact, have a conversation or encounter him before you spoke to Brendan Dassey?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Did you discuss, um -- did -- did Mr. O'Kelly
 10 offer, again, to provide you with information at
 11 that time?
- 12 A I do not recall.
- Q Okay. Were both you and Investigator Wieg -Wiegert present at the time that you had that
 first encounter with Mr. O'Kelly?
- 16 A First encounter on the 13th?
- 17 Q I'm sorry, yes, on the 13th.
- 18 A To my memory, yes.
- 19 Q Did you tell Mr. O'Kelly anything about the
 20 procedures or the process that you intended to
 21 follow with respect to your interview of Brendan
 22 Dassey?
- 23 A We told him that we preferred to -- to speak with
 24 Mr. Dassey without him present. And that had been
 25 addressed the evening before, also, with Attorney

1 Kachinsky and Mr. O'Kelly. Um, that it was going be 2 videotaped and audio recorded. And he wanted to 3 watch the monitor and we had no problem with that. 4 Was -- was there inter -- any understanding 5 that -- that Mr. O'Kelly was -- well, let me ask 6 you this: What was your understanding about 7 Mr. O'Kelly's role being present at the Sheboygan 8 County Jail that day? That is, um, Friday -- I'm 9 sorry -- Saturday, May 13, 2006. 10 All I can say is that Mr. Kachinsky had advised he 11 was not going to be present but that his private 12 investigator would be. 13 And was there any understanding about whether 14. Mr. O'Kelly, um, was there to protect Brendan's 15 rights in any way? 16 Not -- I don't know anything about that. Α 17 Okay. Did you have any discussions with 18 Mr. O'Kelly about circumstances under which he 19 should feel free to -- to interrupt the 20 interview? 21 A I don't know that that was discussed. 22 Now, just taking you back briefly to the evening 23 of Friday, May 12, 2006, um, did, um, you receive

confirmed that you could re-interview Brendan?

an e-mail from Mr. Kachinsky in which he

24

1 A Yes. 2 And did that e-mail also authorize you to --3 authorize Mr. O'Kelly to brief you and Investigator Wiegert before the interview? 5 Yes. A And also to provide any copies of Mr. O'Kelly's 7 work product? 8 Yes. And did, in fact, Mr. O'Kelly brief you before 10 you went into that interview with Mr. -- with --11 with -- with Brendan? 12 I don't believe so. 13 Okay. Did he provide you with any copies of his 14 work product before you interviewed Brendan? 15 A No. 16 Now, I take it that you did, in fact, um, conduct 17 another interview or interrogation of Brendan 18 Dassey; is that correct? 19 A On the 13th? 20 Yes. 21 A Yes. 22 And that interview took most of the morning? 23 Yes.

Did there come a time when you and Investigator

Wiegert left the interview room and left Brendan

24

- 1 in the interview room?
- 2 A Yes.

13

14

- And was that because Brendan was not providing
 you with the information that you had understood
 you would receive?
- A I don't know. It probably was to go out and talk

 about what was transpiring in the interview. I know

 we wanted to check and make sure the video was

 working properly, also. But I'm sure it was to go

 out of the room and discuss where we were going to go

 next.
 - Q Right. But you were having trouble getting the information that you thought you were going to get from Brendan? Was that -- would that be a fair statement?
- 16 A I believe that's a fair statement.
- 17 Q And so you thought it might be a good idea to
 18 take a break, and regroup, and try to strategize
 19 about how to go back at it?
- 20 A If at all, yes.
- 21 Q So there even was some discussion at that time of maybe terminating the interview?
- 23 A Oh, yes.
- Q Okay. And did you discuss this with Mr. O'Kelly?

- A I don't know if we did. He was in the room when we went to check the video to make sure it was working, confirm with him that it was, and I don't -- I would say probably not that we had much of a discussion with him or I'd probably recall it.
- Q All right. Did -- did -- do you recall
 whether Mr. O'Kelly said that he had been
 watching the video?
- 9 A He probably did. He was in there. It was playing.
- 10 Q Did he give you any suggestions about tactics
 11 that you might employ or things you might do to
 12 get information from Brendan?
- 13 A The only thing I recall was a suggestion that

 14 Investigator Wiegert talk to Brendan or question

 15 Brendan, you know, because he believed that Brendan

 16 was more comfortable with him.
- Okay. Did -- did Mr. O'Kelly also suggest that
 Investigator Wiegert, um -- that you change seats
 with Investigator Wiegert in the room?
- 20 A He may have. I'm not sure.
- 21 Q All right. Now, I'd like you to refer you to 22 Exhibit 315.
- 23 A Three-fifteen is --
- 24 Q Three-fifteen is in binder -- binder five.
- 25 A Okay.

1	Q	Okay. Could you turn to, please, to page 22 of
2	1	Exhibit 315 and look at clip ten? That would be
3		number ten on page 22?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	All right. I'm going to read this to you and
6		just ask you whether, in fact, it is what
7		transpired during a a portion of the in
8		interview of the Brendan Dassen Dassey on
9		May 13, 2006, at the Sheboygan County Jail.
10		Investigator Wiegert: "Okay. When are
11		you going to tell your mom about this?"
12		Brendan Dassey: "Probably the next time
13		I see her."
14		Investigator Wiegert: "'Cause your lied
15		to her so far; right? Don't you think you should
16		call her and tell her?"
17		Brendan Dassey: "Yeah."
18		Investigator Wiegert: "When are you
19		going to do that?"
20		Brendan Dassey: "Probably tonight."
21		Investigator Wiegert: "Don't you think
22		she has the right to know?"
23		Brendan Dassey: "Yeah."
24		Investigator Wiegert: "Yeah. I think
25		she'd like to hear it coming from you rather than

from me." 1 2 Brendan Dassey: "And if she has any 3 questions, 'cause I'm seeing her tomorrow." 4 Mr. -- Investigator Wiegert: "Okay. 5 She's coming here tomorrow?" 6 Brendan Dassey: "Uh-huh." 7 Mr. -- Investigator Wiegert: "Maybe it would be a good idea to call her and tell her 8 9 before she gets here tonight. That's what I 10 would do. 'Cause otherwise she's really going to 11 be mad here tomorrow. Better in -- better on the 12 phone, isn't it?" "Uh-huh." 13 14 Mr. -- Investigator Wiegert: "That's up 15 to you, though. That's your decision whether you 16 want to do that or not. It's just a suggestion." 17 Do you recall Investigator Wiegert, um, 18 making those suggestions to Brendan Dassey on 19 May 13, 2006, at the Sheboygan County Jail? 20 Yes, I recall that being discussed. 21 And could you turn, please, to page 23 of the 22 same exhibit? That's Exhibit 315. And we'll --23 I'm -- I'm going to read paragraph 17 to you. Do 24 you have it?

25

A

Yes.

1	Q	Um, and this is you, I believe, Investigator
2		or Special Agent Fassbender.
3		"Mark mentioned talking to your mom
4		about this and being truthful with her now.
5		Okay? If you are truly sorry for the Halbachs,
6		you'll be you'll tell your mother the truth
7		about this. Okay?"
8		And then Investigator Wiegert: "Are you
9		going to do that?"
10		Brendan Dassey: "Yeah."
11		Investigator Wiegert: "When are you
12		going to do that?"
13		Brendan Dassey: "Tonight."
14		Investigator Wiegert: "Probably be a
15		good idea before we tell her. That would be the
16		right thing to do. Your mom deserves to know.
17		Okay?"
18		"Um-hmm. Um-huh. All right."
19		Is that, um do you recall having that
20		conversation with Brendan Dassey on May 13, 2006,
21		at the Sheboygan County Jail?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Now, during those two two portions of the
24		interview with Brendan, Brendan told you that
25		her moth his mother was coming the next day;

1 is that right? 2 A Yes. 3 Um, and that -- the next day would have been a Sunday; is that right? 5 Yes. 6 And -- okay. Now, one final question about the -- the interview on May 13 at the Sheboygan 8 County Jail. Did Mr. O'Kelly ever knock on the 9 door of that interview room and hand you any 10 documents for use during the interrogation? 11 A Someone did. And I remember that from reviewing 12 the video. And I believe it was probably him. I 13 don't know who else it would have been. 14 Okay. 15 ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: I quess, Judge, I 16 have a cup -- couple more questions, then I'll be 17 done. I said I promised one, but I've got two 18 more. 19 (By Attorney Geraghty) Uh, do you recall --0 20 well, do you recall what that document was? 21 It looked like a photograph. Probably an aerial A 22 photograph. And he handed it in. I gave it to 23 Investigator Wiegert, and to my knowledge we never

Did Brendan Dassey ever speak to, um,

used it for anything.

24

1		Michael O'Kelly during the time that you were
2		interviewing Brendan Dassey? In other words, did
3		you ever take a break to allow Brendan to talk
4		to Mr. O'Kelly?
5	A	I don't believe so.
6	Q	Did Brendan, to your knowledge, know that
7		Mr. O'Kelly was there?
8	A	I don't know.
9	Q	Did you ever tell Brendan that Mr. O'Kelly was
10		there?
11	A	I don't remember. Uh, the transcripts or the video
12		would probably show that if we did.
13	Q	Okay.
14		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: Nothing further, Judge.
15	i	THE COURT: All right. We'll break for 15
16		minutes.
17		(Recess had at 2:30 p.m.)
18		(Reconvened at 2:50 p.m.)
19		THE COURT: All right. Let's go back on
20		the record. Mr. Kratz? Or Mr. Fallon?
21		ATTORNEY FALLON: No questions.
22		THE COURT: Can't ask you to redirect on
23		no questions.
24		ATTORNEY GERAGHTY: No. Sorry, Judge.
25		THE COURT: Further witnesses?

2 seek admission of Exhibit 363 at this point. 3 It's been conditionally admitted, I think, twice 4 now, and I think it can be admitted now. 5 ATTORNEY FALLON: No objection. 6 THE COURT: All right. Three sixty-three 7 is received. Now, when I say 363, we're talking 8 about the three pages? 9 ATTORNEY TEPFER: Correct. 10 ATTORNEY FALLON: Right. 11 ATTORNEY TEPFER: The other pages have 12 already been admitted. Um, at this time there's 13 a -- I believe there's a stipulation to a 14 March 7, 2006, videotape that, um, corresponds 15 with Exhibit 317, which is the transcript of the 16 videotape that, um, I think there's a stipulation 17 to the authenticity of that, um, videotape, 18 and -- and we'd like to play that in open court. 19 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Just for the record, 20 Your Honor -- just for the record, this exhibit 21 is being introduced as, um, impeachment evidence 22 of Mr. Kachinsky. 23 Mr. Kachinsky testified on his first day 24 of testimony that, um, with respect to Exhibit

1

25

317, which was an exhibit that talked about him

ATTORNEY TEPFER: Oh. We just want to

1		making comments about Brendan being morally and
2		legally responsible for this crime, and that, um,
3		Steven Avery was evil incarnate.
4		Mr. Kachinsky testified that he did not
.5		make those comments and and they may have been
6		made by Ralph Sczygelski. And this is used to
7		demonstrate that, in fact, Mr. Kachinsky made
8		those comments.
9		THE COURT: You're referring to what is
10		page three of unpaginated Exhibit 317; is that
11		correct?
12		ATTORNEY TEPFER: Um, if I could just
13		double-check that for a second and then I will
14		ATTORNEY FALLON: And, Counsel, this
15		exhibit is marked 3-7-4?
16		ATTORNEY TEPFER: Three-seven-four.
17		Right.
18		THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
19		ATTORNEY TEPFER: Well, this the
20	-	video is marked 3-7-4, the transcript of this
21		video is marked 317
22		THE COURT: Right.
23		ATTORNEY TEPFER: which has
24		previously been admitted. So it corresponds with
25		317 and yes. That is page three well, I

1	think the whole exhibit is or the whole
2	exhibit will be played. The whole transcript of
3	the exhibit will be played. But the part that
4	Mr. Drizin mentioned is the
5	COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. I'm sorry.
6	I'm having a hard time hearing you.
7	ATTORNEY TEPFER: I'm sorry. The part
8	that Mr. Drizin referenced is on found on page
9	three of Exhibit 317.
10	THE COURT: All right. So let me let me
11	get this straight. You're you're going to play
12	the entirety of this Exhibit? That is to say
13	everything that I see in Exhibit 317?
14	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Yes. It's only a
15	couple minutes long, Judge.
16	ATTORNEY FALLON: Actually, if it's what
17	I was viewed last night, it's, uh, 90 seconds.
18	THE COURT: Let's play it.
19	ATTORNEY TEPFER: Can I just make one
20	other point about this? This is our only copy.
21	I'm wondering if we could not have it received
22	today so that we could burn a copy and send it to
23	the Court?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	ATTORNEY FALLON: I do have a comment once

it's moved in, though. But -- but it's better that 1 2 you see it first. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 "I look forward to meeting with him. 5 "Attorney Len Kachinsky says he accepted the 6 Dassey case knowing it would be his greatest 7 professional challenge. He immediately lashed out at Steven 9 Avery." 10 "We have a 16-year-old who, while 11 morally and legally responsible, was, uh, heavily 12 influenced by someone that can only be described 13 as, uh, something close to evil incarnate." 14 "Kachinsky joined Dassey's former 15 attorney in criticizing Special Prosecutor Ken 16 Kratz. They say Kratz went too far when he gave 17 graphic details of what supposedly happened 18 during the murder." 19 "The district attorney is a tough one. 20 Um, Ken Kratz is not somebody that is, um, going 21 to be tremendously easy to work with." 22 "Kratz responded tonight saying, 'I 23 apologize to individuals who believe there's too 24 much in the Complaint. The information in the

Complaint is what was developed during the

investigation. If they think there was too much evidence against his client, I'm sorry about that.'

Attorney David Weber of Green Bay, who is not involved in the Avery case, says 'Kratz may have had reason to include the details.'"

"He's got to drop a Complaint, a legal document, that's going to satisfy a judge that there's probable cause to initiate the criminal proceedings against somebody."

"The greater the detail, the easier to convince a judge that a 16-year-old deserves adult charges."

ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Your Honor, um, we would have no objection to anything beyond the introductory part of this being admitted into evidence. Um, it's up to the State if -- if they want the whole thing to provide greater context. We don't care either way.

THE COURT: Mr. Fallon?

ATTORNEY FALLON: Yes. Our only concern is that it's quite apparent that on one level, yes,
Mr. Kachinsky uttered the words at issue.

The problem with that tape is that when you pay close attention to it, and I did watch it

five times last night, it's quite apparent that
that is an excerpt right out of a statement. So
what was said immediately before the "morally
responsible" language and what was said
immediately thereafter is not reported on the
tape.

So, in a way, how do we know what was said beforehand? Which may have been as a condition precedent to making those comments, which is I think what Mr. Kachinsky was trying to say on the stand.

If I -- and so -- so, anyways, the point being it has limited relevance because it's not the whole statement so we can't really assess it as true impeachment. But that's your call to make.

So with that understanding, it is what it is.

THE COURT: The Court understands what you're saying, Mr. Fallon. I -- I'm going to receive that portion of this exhibit that -- by "that portion" I mean, Mr. Kachinsky's remarks.

Whether those represent the entirety of what Mr. Kachinsky said to the person that was interviewing him, I certainly don't know. Nobody

else here knows. But perhaps someone does. But
we don't have that of the record. So there -there -- there is, we'll call, tangential
relevance here.

So the -- the Court will receive it with that in mind.

Now, any other witnesses?

ATTORNEY TEPFER: Um, there's just one final matter, Your Honor. Um, on the Thursday, the day before this hearing starts, the State filed a mo -- or we had a hearing on motion in limine on -- concerning the exclusion of Dr. Honts' testimony.

We offer Dr. Honts to, uh -- as an expert in polygraphy, who would have testified that he reviewed what's been admitted as Exhibit 231, which are the polygraph charts prepared by Michael O'Kelly.

And he's an expert. We offered him as an expert in polygraphy. And his analysis of the charts demonstrated that Brendan Dassey passed the polygraph given to him, which I believe was on April 16, 2006.

The Court excluded this testimony on relevance. We'd just like a -- to reconsider

that motion. Um, we believe the testimony is relevant to our argument that Len Kachinsky and his agent, Michael O'Kelly, were disloyal to Brendan Dassey.

Michael O'Kelly testified that Brendan

Dailey -- Brendan Dassey failed the polygraph

test in his analysis, and Len Kachinsky testified

that Michael O'Kelly informed him that the

results were inconclusive.

Doctor's tem -- Honts' testimony would be further impeachment on the question of whether Michael O'Kelly told Brendan a truth or a lie when he interrogated him on May 12 regarding his results of the polygraph.

Think it's relevant to the matter of loyalty in his own investigator lying to him in an effort to get a statement.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY FALLON: I had another argument as to why that's inadmissible in addition to the ones I already made.

Um, the real issue in determination is of the voluntariness question. And it comes in the context under Wisconsin law as to when an individual is confronted with the results.

And it doesn't matter, for purposes of
legal discussion, whether somebody's claimed to
have passed, or whether if somebody's claimed to
have failed. It's what was told to the suspect
and did that have any relevance or any
justification whatsoever.

So in addition to the -- the fact that an official polygrapher's certification of the results being inadmissible under *State v. Dean*, I renew my objection that it's inadmissible as it relates to, um, the original argument in the motion.

And for that reason in -- it doesn't matter what the result is for the Court to make the analysis required under Wisconsin law.

ATTORNEY TEPFER: Can I make one quick response?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY TEPFER: As a -- we're not -we're not talking about voluntariness, we were
talking about the loyalty matter on this. And I
think it's relevant to the loyalty of whether or
not he lied. Whether or not he told him the
truth about the polygraph.

ATTORNEY FALLON: Well, if we're not

talking about voluntariness, then we've just wasted 1 2 three days. 3 ATTORNEY TEPFER: Well, we're talking --THE COURT: Here. Look, I made a ruling 5 when this was brought up. I put the -- I put my reasons on the record. I see nothing that has 6 7 been produced in these days of hearings that would cause me to change that ruling. So it 9 stands. 10 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Okay. 11 THE COURT: All right. Further witnesses? 12 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Your Honor, subject to 13 our discussion in chambers with regard to the 14 production of documents by Mr. Dedering to the 15 Court, um, the defense rests. 16 THE COURT: Well, before the defense rests, 17 I'm going to have a colloguy with the defendant. So 18 if would you pass the microphone over there? 19 Which of you are going to be acting as 20 his attorney for purposes of this colloquy? 21 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: I can, Judge. 22 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dassey, do you 23 understand that you have a constitutional right to 24 testify at this matter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1	THE COURT: You also have a constitutional
2	right not to testify. Do you understand that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And that decision whether to
5	testify or not to testify is yours and yours alone
6	to make?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats or
9	promises in an attempt to influence you in making a
10	decision?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you discussed your
13	decision whether or not to testify with your lawyer?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Uh
16	THE COURT: Mr. Drizin.
17	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Yes, we discussed it,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And, Mr. Dassey, have you made
20	a decision?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE COURT: What is that decision?
23	THE DEFENDANT: That I'm not going to
24	testify.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Drizin, you believe that is

a decision that's been freely, voluntarily, and 1 intelligently arrived at? 2 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: 3 I do. THE COURT: The Court will so find and that will be part of this record. 5 6 Now -- now, you're resting? Subject to a discussion that was had in chambers? 7 8 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: That's right, Your 9 Honor. The defense rests on behalf of 10 Mr. Dassey. 11 THE COURT: All right. It's the State's 12 turn. Mr. Kratz. 13 ATTORNEY KRATZ: The State has no 14 rebuttal witnesses, Judge, but we would ask the 15 Court entertain a -- a motion by the State. 16 Specifically, a motion to dismiss a portion of 17 the, um, defense post-conviction motion at this 18 time, but I'll allow the Court to do whatever 19 housekeeping it needs to do, and then I'd like to 20 state that motion for the record, please. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge. 23 State at this time is moving to dismiss that 24 portion of the post-conviction motion which

suggests either ineffective assistance of counsel

from any of the attorneys, or any related matter
that dealt with the issue of false confession.

We would also ask the Court to exclude any testimony offered by any witness in this case, including Dr. White, including Dr. Leo, and any other witness as to the issue of false confession.

To be entitled to a hearing in a post-conviction motion, the defense has a burden to establish a -- a prima facie case to the Court, uh, including specificity and relevant evidence. That's done by way of affidavit or offer of proof.

In this case an affidavit, or several affidavits, were provided to the Court, which necessarily included explanations by Brendan Dassey as to why he made the confession that he did.

The suggestion in affidavit form is wholly insufficient for hearing purposes, although it does -- it is considered by the Court to decide whether a hearing on that issue is appropriate or not.

Quite frankly, Judge, should the defense have indicated to the Court, uh, that they did

not intend to call Brendan Dassey, or another witness to indicate that the confession was, in fact, false, uh, then the State would have argued prior to the commencement of this hearing that the defense was not even entitled to a hearing.

Uh, the affidavit of Mr. Dassey is not evidence. It's not to be received as evidence. Um, and the State would reiterate some of the points made in examination of witnesses that the state of the record at this particular time includes Mr. Dassey's trial testimony.

When asked why he confessed, his answer was, "I don't know."

I pointed out at specific, um, passages in the trial transcript from Mr. Dassey, wh, that he specifically indicated, "I don't know why I confessed."

That "I lied to my mom."

That "I lied to the police because my family doesn't like cops."

That "I never watched TV accounts of Mr. Avery's arrest or around that time."

"That I apologized to the Halbach family without any prompting from the police and that no promises or other inducements were given me by

the police in exchange for my statement to them."

Therefore, Judge, the state of the record at this time is the defendant has indicated there was no contamination, um, nothing suggested or coerced by media, friends, or family, as the affidavit might have promised was going to be elicited at this trial.

Mr. Fremgen and others testified as to the trial testimony.

I also want to direct the Court, specifically, to *State v. Ernst*, E-r-n-s-t, 2005 Supreme Court case, which is cited at 298 Wis. 2d 300, 699 N.W. 2d 92, which indicates in pertinent part that a defendant has no right to set forth facts in his favor during a direct examination or otherwise at a hearing without laying himself open to cross-examination.

Quite frankly, Judge, to, uh, shield oneself by the Fifth Amendment and not make oneself subject to either impeachment or cross-examination, uh, is not allowed in Wisconsin by Wisconsin law.

It is also reiterated in **Brown v. United**States, 356 U.S. 148. That's a 1957 case that deals with shielding oneself from

cross-examination after a decision to put evidence before the Court.

So with that, Judge, with a -- a defendant, like any other witness, not being subject to the rigors of cross-examination or impeachment, uh, I will ask this Court not accept self-serving statements of Mr. Dassey in any form in which they were received, including, um, testimony which necessarily included some indication by Mr. Dassey that the statements, in fact, were false given to the police.

Lastly, Judge, this Court may recall that in the trial in this case, when Dr. Gordon and his testimony was contemplated, the State had noted that somebody had to say that the statement was false for this issue to be relevant.

And even though Mr. Dassey didn't do it at trial, ostensibly that was his reason for testifying, or at least for Dr. Gordon's conditional, um -- admissibility of his statement, the exact same issue applies in post-conviction fashion, that if any of the defense is going to rely on the issue of false confession, somebody has to say it's false. Only the defendant can do that.

And to shield himself, again, by the Fifth Amendment, not allowing cross-examination, is wholly improper.

We would ask that those portions, then, of the defense motion for post-conviction relief be denied at this time.

I think there is a basis for the Court to consider the, um, ineffective assistance claim. That does not include that particular issue. More specifically, I quess, the disloyalty claim. But that the defense motion and our briefing responsibilities in this regard be limited to those issues, and that the Court not require briefing on an issue for which the proof, that is, the promise of the provision of testimony, has not been satisfied by the defense. Thank you, Judge.

ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Judge, do you want to hear argument on this? Or do you want to just wait 'til we brief the issue after we've all had a chance to look at the transcript?

THE COURT: Right. I -- I'm not prepared at this point to rule on an oral motion given at the conclusion of a -- a five-day hearing.

Much, or all, of what Mr. Kratz said may

be true. I don't know. Uh, I would prefer that 1 2 we set a briefing schedule after the transcripts 3 have been prepared. And -- well, actually, uh, we'll set a briefing schedule, and it will be a 5 while before the transcripts are going to be 6 ready. 7 Uh, my sense is we can do this either in 8 open court or we can do it in chambers at the 9 briefing schedule since we're talking about it 10 now. 11 Uh, since you're the movant, how many 12 days is it going to take you to brief this matter 13 after the transcripts are prepared? 14 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Can I have a minute, 15 Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: You have. 17 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: We would like 60 days, 18 Your Honor, after the transcripts are prepared. 19 THE COURT: All right. And I'm going to 20 limit the length of the briefs to 40 pages. 21 ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Okay, Judge. 22 THE COURT: Uh, Attorney Fallon, response? 23 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Judge, if we could have 24 45 days to respond, I think that'd be

25

appropriate.

1	THE COURT: Fair enough.
2	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Same limits apply with
3	regard to length I take it?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Okay. Do we get a
6	reply or no? I don't know what the procedure is,
7	Judge.
8	THE COURT: Well, oftentimes I allow a
9	reply. All right.
10	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: How about
11	ATTORNEY FALLON: They're the moving
12	party.
13	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: How about how
14	about, um
15	THE COURT: Fifteen days would be typical.
16	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: That's fine, Judge.
17	THE COURT: I cannot, at this point, give
18	you any any time as to when the transcript will
19	be done. It's going to be pretty busy around here
20	for awhile. But when it's done, that's when the
21	that's when the time limits start.
22	ATTORNEY KRATZ: I I hate to ask,
23	Judge, are you going to limit the reply to a
24	certain amount of pages? Less than 40? Or do
25	they get 40 and 40?

1	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: We're not going to
2	take 40 pages. How about 20 pages on the reply?
3	THE COURT: That's fine.
4	ATTORNEY DRIZIN: Okay. Your Honor,
5	before these proceedings are brought to a halt, I
6	just want to say, on behalf of the entire team
7	from Northwestern and Milwaukee, I want to thank
8	everybody in your courtroom for the tremendous
9	hospitality they've shown us.
10	I also want to thank the sheriff's
11	department for the hospitality they have shown us
12	and shown Brendan Dassey over the last week.
13	Thank you very much.
14	THE COURT: Very good. You're welcome,
15	certainly, for on behalf of the Court and and
16	staff. Uh, the matter has been abely presented by
17	both sides. I await the briefs.
18	ATTORNEY KRATZ: Thank you, Judge.
19	THE COURT: Stick around. There might be
20	some exhibit things we have to go through.
21	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.)
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF WISCONSIN)
2) SS. COUNTY OF MANITOWOC)
3	
4	I, Jennifer K. Hau, Official Court
5	Reporter for Circuit Court Branch 3 and the State
6	of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported
7	the foregoing matter and that the foregoing
8	transcript has been carefully prepared by me with
9	my computerized stenographic notes as taken by me
10	in machine shorthand, and by computer-assisted
11	transcription thereafter transcribed, and that it
12	is a true and correct transcript of the
13	proceedings had in said matter to the best of my
14	knowledge and ability.
15	Dated this 24th day of march, 2010.
16	
17	
18	Jennifer K. Hau, RPR Official Court Reporter
19	Official Coult Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	